

RECEIVED

10:38 am, Dec 23, 2010

Alameda County Environmental Health 321 Court Street Woodland California 95695 Tel (530) 406-1760 Fax (530) 406-1071 A, Haz 933586

November 3, 2010

Paresh C. Khatri
Hazardous Materials Specialist
Alameda County Environmental Health Services
1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250
Alameda, CA 94502-6577

SUBJECT:

Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000022

1310 Central Avenue

Alameda, CA

Report Submittal - Semi-Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report, Third Quarter 2010

Dear Mr. Khatri:

Please find enclosed the *Semi-Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report, Third Quarter 2010* prepared by Matriks for Nissan Saidian, Joe Zadik, and Leon Zektser

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the information and/or recommendations contained in the attached document are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Please call me at 530-406-1760 or email thenderson@matrikscorp.com if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Tom Henderson

President

SEMI-ANNUAL GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT Third Quarter 2010

Alaska Gas 1310 Central Avenue Alameda, California 94501 LOP Case No. RO0000022

PREPARED FOR:

Nissan Saidian 5733 Medallion Court Castro Valley, California 94552

SUBMITTED TO:

Alameda County Environmental Health Services
Local Oversight Program
1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250
Alameda, California 94502

November 3, 2010

Project No. 6022



PREPARED BY:

Matriks Corporation 321 Court Street Woodland, California 95695

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PROFESSION	NAL CERTIFICATIONii
ACRONYMS	AND ABBREVIATIONSiii
INTRODUCT	ION
-	tion and Physical Setting
Site History	
SCOPE OF W	/ORK 4
METHODS A	ND PROCEDURES 5
Groundwat	er Level Measurements5
Monitoring	Well Purging and Sampling5
RESULTS	5
Groundwat	er Levels and Gradient5
Groundwat	er Analytical Results 6
Geotracker	Requirements6
DISCUSSION	l 6
RECOMMEN	IDATIONS 7
FIGURES	
Figure 1	Site Location Map
Figure 2 Figure 3	Site Map Groundwater Elevation Contours
Figure 5	Monitoring Well Hydrograph
Figure 5	MW-1 TPH-g and Benzene Concentration Trends
Figure 6	MW-3 TPH-g and Benzene Concentration Trends

TABLES

Table 1 Well Construction Details

Table 2 Groundwater Levels and Elevations

Table 3 Third Quarter 2010 Groundwater Analytical Results

Table 4 Historical Groundwater Analytical Results

APPENDICES

Appendix A Monitoring Well Purge Logs Appendix B Laboratory Analytical Report

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION

SEMI-ANNUAL GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT Third Quarter 2010

Alaska Gas
1310 Central Avenue
Alameda, California 94501
LOP Case No. RO0000022



Project No. 6022

Matriks Corporation prepared this document under the professional supervision of the person whose seal and signature appears below. No warranty, either expressed or implied, is made as to the professional advice presented herein. The analysis, conclusions, and recommendations contained in this document are based upon site conditions at the time of the investigation, which are subject to change.

The conclusions presented in this document are professional opinions based solely upon visual observations of the site and vicinity, and interpretation of available information as described in this report. The limited scope of services performed in execution of this investigation may not be appropriate to satisfy the needs, or requirements of other regulatory agencies, or of other users. Any use or reuse of this document or its findings, conclusions presented herein is at the sole risk of said user.

Tom Henderson President Fred Mueller Po

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

ACEHS Alameda County Environmental Health Services

AEI All Environmental, Inc.

amsl above mean sea level

ASE Aqua Science Engineers, Inc.

BTEX benzene, toluene, ethyl-benzene, xylenes

COC chain-of-custody

DCA 1,2-dichloroethane

DIPE di-isopropyl ether

EDB ethylene di-bromide

EDF electronic data file

ESL Environmental Screening Level

EtBE ethyl tert-butyl ether

FS/CAP Feasibility Study/Corrective Action Plan

Geotracker Geographical Information Management System

Matriks Corporation

MtBE methyl tert-butyl ether

O&G oil and grease

μg/L micrograms per liter

mg/Kg milligrams per kilogram

ml milliliter

MW monitoring well

PDF portable document format

RWQCB Regional Water Quality Control Board

SC specific conductance

tAME tert-amyl methyl ether

tBA tert butyl alcohol

UST underground storage tank

VOA volatile organic analysis

INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of the second semi-annual groundwater monitoring event for 2010 conducted by Matriks at Alaska Gas (the "Site"), located at 1310 Central Avenue in Alameda, California. The semi-annual groundwater monitoring event (monitoring event) described in this report was conducted on September 30, 2010 and is part of an ongoing subsurface investigation of petroleum hydrocarbons in soil or groundwater that was caused by an unauthorized release of petroleum fuels from the UST system (tanks, associated piping and dispensers) formerly located at the Site. Matriks is conducting this investigation on behalf of the responsible parties, Mr. Leon Zektser, Mr. Nissan Saidian, and Mr. Joe Zadik. The ACEHS is the lead regulatory agency overseeing Site investigation and remediation and the ACEHS case number is R00000022. The semi-annual groundwater monitoring program consists of the collection and laboratory analysis of groundwater samples from five groundwater monitoring wells in order to assess concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbon compounds in shallow groundwater.

Site Description and Physical Setting

The Site is currently a retail gasoline fueling station located in an area of mixed commercial and residential properties in the south-central part of the island of Alameda. The Site is located at the intersection of Encinal Avenue, Sherman Street, and Central Avenue. A Site location map is shown on **Figure 1** and a Site plan showing physical features and groundwater monitoring well locations is shown on **Figure 2**.

The Site is relatively flat and the investigation area has a surface elevation of approximately 25 feet amsl. San Francisco Bay and the Alameda Estuary are located approximately one-half mile to the south.

Site History

In May 1996, Petrotek removed three gasoline USTs including one 10,000-gallon, one 7,500-gallon and one 5,000-gallon UST from the western corner of the Site. A 500-gallon waste oil UST adjacent to the building, was also removed from the southern portion of the Site. Fuel dispensers and associated product piping were also removed.

Free-phase petroleum hydrocarbons were observed floating on the groundwater surface in the gasoline UST excavation following removal of the USTs. According to the laboratory analysis, a groundwater sample collected from the gasoline UST excavation contained 2,800 micrograms per liter (μ g/L) of total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPH-g) and 100 μ g/L benzene. Soil samples collected from the same excavation contained up to 5,000 milligrams per kilogram (μ g/Kg) of THP-g and 31 μ g/Kg benzene. Soil samples collected beneath the former dispenser

island contained up to 6,800 mg/Kg TPH-g and 63 mg/Kg benzene. A ground water sample collected in the waste oil UST excavation contained 35,000 μ g/L of total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel (TPH-d) and motor oil range hydrocarbons, and 1,300 μ g/L of TPH-g. These results are documented in a *UST Closure Report* submitted by Petrotek in May 1996.

Petrotek reportedly excavated and disposed of approximately 600 cubic yards of petroleum hydrocarbon-impacted soil from the UST excavations. It does not appear that confirmation soil samples were collected following removal of the petroleum hydrocarbon-impacted soil. Approximately 15,000 gallons of ground water were also removed from the excavations, treated and discharged to the sanitary sewer. Two new gasoline USTs, dispensers and product piping were installed in the same UST excavation after the petroleum hydrocarbon-impacted soil and ground water were removed.

In November 1998, All Environmental Inc. (AEI) advanced 14 soil borings on the Site and collected soil and groundwater samples for analysis. Up to 5,900 mg/Kg of TPH-g was detected in soil samples collected from the borings. Up to 120,000 μ g/L TPH-g and 7,200 μ g/L benzene were detected in groundwater samples from the borings.

In October 1999, HerSchy Environmental installed three monitoring wells at the Site. The initial sampling yielded up to 43,000 μ g/L TPH-g, 8,700 μ g/L total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel (TPH-d), 480 μ g/L benzene, and 1,600 μ g/L methyl tert-butyl ether (MtBE) were detected in groundwater samples from the wells. The groundwater flow direction was southwesterly under a gradient of 0.0085. Well construction details are presented in **Table 1**.

On May 16, 2000, Aqua Science Engineers (ASE) began quarterly monitoring at the Site. Groundwater samples collected from MW-1 contained 20,000 μ g/L TPH-g, 38 μ g/L benzene, 6.3 μ g/L toluene, 740 μ g/L ethyl benzene, and 1,600 μ g/L total xylenes. No MtBE or other oxygenates were detected in the sample from MW-1. No hydrocarbons were detected in the groundwater sample taken from MW-2. The groundwater sample from MW-3 contained 17,000 μ g/L TPH-g, 2,800 μ g/L benzene, 60 μ g/L toluene, 380 μ g/L ethyl benzene, 190 μ g/L total xylenes, 990 μ g/L MtBE, 9.1 μ g/L tert-amyl methyl ether (TAME), and 350 μ g/L tert butyl alcohol (tBA).

On July 28, 2000, ASE advanced 12 Geoprobe borings (borings BH-A through BH-L) to further delineate the lateral and vertical extent of petroleum hydrocarbons and collected soil and grab groundwater samples from each borings. Laboratory analysis detected 0.00061 mg/Kg of MtBE in a soil samples collected from 3.0 feet (ft) below ground surface in boring BH-J. There were no petroleum hydrocarbons or oxygenates detected in soil samples from the other 11 borings, however, petroleum hydrocarbons and oxygenates were detected in grab groundwater samples collected from borings BH-A, B, C, D, I, J, K, and L.

In December 2002, ASE conducted an investigation to assess whether subsurface utility line trenches may provide a groundwater movement pathway. ASE concluded that it did not appear that the utility line trenches act as groundwater movement pathways. This conclusion

was based on ASE's assumption that the utility line trench backfill material is native sandy soil and that the highest concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons in the 12 Geoprobe borings soil samples were located beyond the utility line trenches. Although ASE concluded that the utility line trenches did not provide a groundwater movement pathway, the ACEHS requested that water samples be collected from the sewer in one of the trenches to assess whether petroleum hydrocarbon-impacted groundwater may have entered the sewer line through seams or cracks.

In January 2004, ASE drilled four additional soil borings, BH-M through BH-P and the soil samples collected from each boring contained concentrations of TPH-d, with the highest concentration of 68 mg/Kg detected in BH-M. No TPH-d, BTEX or oxygenates were detected in any of the other soil samples. The groundwater samples collected from the borings contained TPH-d concentrations as high as 170 μ g/Land the groundwater sample collected from boring BH-O also contained 19 μ g/L MtBE. None of the other groundwater samples contained detectable concentrations of TPH-g, BTEX or oxygenates. Groundwater samples were also collected from the sewer line beneath Central Avenue, both up gradient and down gradient of the Site. Low concentrations of TPH-g were detected in both samples. No BTEX or oxygenates were detected in either of these samples. The source of the TPH-g was not conclusively identified.

In December 2005, ASE conducted a records search at the Alameda City Public Works Agency and the California Department of Water Resources to identify water wells with ½ mile radius of the Site. A total of 25 wells were located within the search radius. The results included three domestic wells, 10 irrigation wells, one industrial well, two cathodic protection wells, four groundwater monitoring wells, and five vapor extraction wells. The nearest well is located more than 1,000 feet east of the Site. The nearest, potentially down gradient, well is located approximately 1,260 feet northwest of the Site. Based on the records search, ASE proposed additional soil and groundwater assessment for the Site.

In April 2006, ASE advanced two additional borings and installed two groundwater monitoring wells. Borings BH-Q, BH-R and monitoring wells MW-4 and MW-5 were installed using a drill rig equipped with an 8-inch hollow-stem auger. Petroleum hydrocarbons were detected by laboratory analysis at a concentration of 11 mg/Kg TPH-d in a soil sample from BH-Q and 1.7 mg/Kg TPH-d in a soil sample from the boring MW-5. The laboratory noted that the hydrocarbons reported as TPH-D in each sample did not exhibit a typical diesel chromatogram pattern. None of the soil samples contained detectable concentrations of TPH-g, BTEX or oxygenates.

Groundwater samples collected from BH-Q and BH-R contained detectable concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbon of 220 μ g/L TPH-d and 770 μ g/L TPH-d, respectively. Similar to the soil samples, the laboratory noted the hydrocarbons reported as TPH-d did not exhibit a typical diesel chromatogram pattern. Based on the results of this investigation, ASE recommended no further delineation of the extent of petroleum hydrocarbons in soil or groundwater.

From April 2006 to March 2009, groundwater monitoring well samples have been collected and analyzed on a quarterly basis. The monitoring schedule for the Site has been reduced to a semi-annual basis as directed in a letter from the ACEHS dated, July 24, 2009. Groundwater monitoring takes place during the first and third quarters.

In June 2008, the Site owners contracted with Matriks to conduct groundwater monitoring and prepare for further Site remediation.

Matriks submitted the *Site Investigation Workplan*, dated September 16, 2009, to the ACEHS. The workplan was prepared in accordance with an ACEHS directive issued in a letter dated August 13, 2009. The proposed scope of work included the installation of four soil borings to further investigate the vertical extent of the release, define the contaminate plume, and evaluate on and off-site risks.

The ACEHS approved the *Site Investigation Workplan* (Workplan), with modifications to the proposed scope of work, in a letter date October 22, 2009. The ACEHS requested that two of the four proposed borings be relocated and three additional borings be installed within the contaminant source area. A revised workplan was not requested if the modifications were implemented in conjunction with the Workplan's proposed scope of work.

The appropriate permits were obtained from Alameda County Public Works and the City of Alameda for installation of the soil borings. The Site work is scheduled for late November 2010.

SCOPE OF WORK

The scope of work conducted for this semi-annual groundwater monitoring event included the following tasks:

- Measurement of static water levels in five groundwater monitoring wells;
- Collection of field water quality parameters including pH, temperature, dissolved oxygen (DO), and specific conductance (SC) from groundwater in each well;
- Purging at least three casing volumes from each well;
- Collection and analysis of groundwater water samples from each well for THP-d, TPH-g, BTEX, MtBE, DIPE, EtBE, tAME, tBA, methanol, ethanol, EDB, and DCA (see the Monitoring Well Purging and Sampling section of this report for analytical methods used);
- Update of the Geotracker database; and

• Preparation of this Semi-Annual Monitoring Report.

METHODS AND PROCEDURES

Groundwater Level Measurements

Prior to measuring the depth to groundwater, the cap of each well was removed and the water level was given an opportunity to equilibrate with atmospheric pressure for approximately 30 minutes before recording measurements using an electronic water depth indicator. The static water levels were referenced to the surveyed marks notched into the top of each well casing and the depth-to-water measurements were used to calculate the purge volume of for each monitoring well.

Monitoring Well Purging and Sampling

At least three well volumes were purged from each well using a new disposable bailer. Groundwater temperature, DO, pH, and SC were measured intermittently during purging. Measurements were obtained with a Hanna multi-meter and a YSI DO meter, which were calibrated by the equipment rental service prior to on-site use. Water quality measurements were recorded on monitoring well sampling logs, copies of which are included in **Appendix A**. Well purge water was placed into labeled and sealed 55-gallon, DOT-approved steel drums and temporarily stored on-site.

A new disposable bailer dedicated to each well, was used to remove the groundwater samples and transfer them to the appropriate laboratory prepared containers. Care was taken to remove the headspace in each container. Each sample container was labeled with the project number, sample ID, and collection date. The same information was recorded on the laboratory chain-of-custody form. Samples were stored in a cooler filled with ice for transport to the laboratory.

Samples were transported and submitted to McCampbell Analytical, Inc. of Pittsburg, California (DHS ELAP Certification No. 1644) and analyzed for TPH-g and TPH-d by EPA Method 8015 modified; for BTEX by EPA Method 8021B; and for MtBE, DIPE, EtBE, tAME, tBA, methanol, ethanol, EDB, and DCA by EPA Method 8260B.

RESULTS

Groundwater Levels and Gradient

Depth to water was measured in each monitoring well. The groundwater flow direction for this monitoring event was calculated to be northwest with a gradient of 0.01. Well construction details are presented in **Table 1**. Groundwater levels and elevations are summarized in **Table 2**.

Groundwater elevation contours are depicted on **Figure 3**. Graphs of groundwater elevation versus time for all monitoring wells are presented on **Figure 4**.

Groundwater Analytical Results

TPH-g was detected by laboratory analysis in the highest concentrations in onsite groundwater monitoring wells MW-1, MW-3, and MW-5. TPH-g was detected in MW-1, MW-3, and MW-5 at concentrations of 2,300 μ g/L, 6,300 μ g/L, and 710 μ g/L, respectively. TPH-g was not detected in groundwater samples collected from the other monitoring wells.

Benzene was also detected in MW-1, 3, and 5 at concentrations of 8.5 μ g/L, 110 μ g/L, and 10 μ g/L, respectively. Benzene was not detected in samples collected from the other two groundwater monitoring wells. MtBE was detected in MW-1, MW-3, MW-4, and MW-5 at concentrations of 3.7 μ g/L, 110 μ g/L, 0.76 μ g/L, and 400 μ g/L, respectively.

Groundwater analytical results for the third quarter are summarized in **Table 3** and previous groundwater monitoring events are summarized in **Table 4**. A copy of the laboratory analytical report is included in **Appendix B**. **Figure 5** shows TPH-g and benzene concentration trends in well MW-1 and **Figure 6** shows these same concentration trends in MW-3.

Geotracker Requirements

All analytical data were submitted electronically to the California State Water Resources Control Board Geotracker database as required by AB2886 (Water Code Section 13195-13198). EDFs are prepared and formatted by the laboratory and submitted by Matriks. Well latitudes, longitudes (GEO_XY files), and elevations (GEO_Z files) were previously submitted to the database. A well status and usage report (GEO_WELL file) is submitted for each monitoring event. A complete electronic copy of this report (GEO_REPORT file) in PDF format was also submitted. Updated maps (GEO_MAP files) are submitted when site features such as monitoring wells or soil borings are added.

DISCUSSION

In general, petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations have decreased since groundwater monitoring began in September 1999. This appears to indicate that natural attenuation and degradation are occurring.

The highest concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons were detected in monitoring wells MW-1, MW-3, and MW-5. TPH-g, TPH-d, and benzene in these wells were detected above the ESLs of 100 μ g/L, 100 μ g/L, and 1.0 μ g/L, respectively, established by the San Francisco Bay RWQCB. MtBE was also detected above the ESL in MW-3 and MW-5. TPH-d constituents in wells MW-3 and MW-5 appear to be TPH-g. The laboratory notes indicate that gasoline range compounds are significant.

The detection of TPH-g, benzene, and MtBE above the ESLs in well MW-5 appears to indicate that petroleum hydrocarbons are migrating down gradient from the Site.

MtBE was detected in down gradient well MW-4 at a concentration of 0.76 $\mu g/L$. This concentration is less than the ESL for MTBE of 5 $\mu g/L$ but this also appears to indicate that petroleum hydrocarbons are migrating down gradient within groundwater.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Matriks will be performing a final site investigation on the property to delineate vertical extent of petroleum hydrocarbons in November 2010. The appropriate permits have been obtained from Alameda County Public Works and the City of Alameda. A Feasibility Study will be submitted upon definition of the vertical and lateral extents of the groundwater constituents.

FIGURES






Lic. No. 933586

Fax No. (530) 406-1071

321 Court Street Woodland, CA 95695 (530) 406-1760 Site Location Map Alaska Gas 1310 Central Avenue, Alameda, CA

FIGURE 1






Figure 4 Monitoring Well Hydrograph
Alaska Gas




Figure 5. TPH-g and Benzene vs. Time in Well MW-1 Alaska Gas





Figure 6. TPH-g and Benzene vs Time in Well MW-3
Alaska Gas
Alameda, California



TABLES

Table 1 Well Construction Details Alaska Gas

Well ID	Date Installed	Total Depth (feet bg)	Screened Interval (feet bg)	Water- Bearing Zone	Screen Slot Size (inches)	Filter Pack Interval (feet bg)	Bentonite Interval (feet bg)	Grout Interval (feet bg)	TOC Elevation (feet amsl)	Northing Coordinates (feet)	Westing Coordinates (feet)
MW-1	10/11/99	18	17.35-2.5	Silty Sand	0.02	18-1.5	1.5-0.5	0.5-0	29.18	15.20394	46.13606
MW-2	10/11/99	18	18-4	Silty Sand	0.02	18-3	3-1.5	1.5-0	29.55	14.93558	45.97882
MW-3	10/11/99	20	19-4	Silty Sand	0.02	20-3	3-1.5	1.5-0	27.74	15.28672	47.24157
MW-4	04/03/06	16	15-5	Sand-Clayey Sand	0.02	15-4.5	4.5-4	4-0.5	26.23	17.12115	48.05243
MW-5	04/04/06	17	15-5	Sand-Clayey Sand	0.02	15-4.5	4.5-4	4-0.5	26.78	16.21022	47.48996

Well ID	Date	Top of Casing Elevation (msl)	Depth to Water (feet)	Groundwater Elevation
MW-1	11/06/99	26.85	5.16	21.69
	05/16/00		3.24	23.61
	08/03/00		4.15	22.70
	12/05/00		4.90	21.95
	03/05/01		3.04	23.81
	06/04/01		4.01	22.84
	06/05/02		3.73	23.12
	09/09/02		5.06	21.79
	12/19/02		4.09	22.76
	03/10/03		3.50	23.35
	06/03/03		3.66	23.19
	09/19/03		4.91	21.94
	12/22/03		4.30	22.55
	03/12/04		2.93	23.92
	06/11/04		4.23	22.62
	09/13/04		5.02	21.83
	12/16/04		3.76	23.09
	03/21/05		2.81	24.04
	06/23/05		3.66	23.19
	09/30/05		4.55	22.30
	12/08/05		4.21	22.64
	03/01/06		2.90	23.95
	05/25/06	29.18	2.84	26.34
	08/10/06		4.35	24.83
	11/21/06		4.22	24.96
	02/06/07		4.39	24.79
	05/08/07		3.88	25.30
	08/06/07		5.02	24.16
	12/26/07		4.87	24.31
	06/28/08		4.77	24.41
	09/27/08		6.29	22.89
	12/30/08		6.04	23.14
	03/28/09		3.78	25.40
	09/12/09		6.59	22.59
	03/30/10		3.52	25.66
	09/30/10		5.61	23.57

Well ID	Date	Top of Casing Elevation (msl)	Depth to Water (feet)	Groundwater Elevation
MW-2	11/06/99	27.18	5.56	21.62
	05/16/00		3.54	23.64
	08/03/00		4.44	22.74
	12/05/00		5.24	21.94
	03/05/01		3.28	23.90
	06/04/01		4.33	22.85
	06/05/02		3.98	23.20
	09/09/02		5.34	21.84
	12/19/02		4.33	22.85
	03/10/03		3.58	23.60
	06/03/03		3.87	23.31
	09/19/03		5.24	21.94
	12/22/03		4.47	22.71
	03/12/04		3.40	23.78
	06/11/04		4.51	22.67
	09/13/04		5.35	21.83
	12/16/04		4.09	23.09
	03/21/05		3.01	24.17
	06/23/05		3.91	23.27
	09/30/05		4.86	22.32
	12/08/05		4.49	22.69
	03/01/06		3.09	24.09
	05/25/06	29.55	3.16	26.39
	08/10/06		4.98	24.57
	11/21/06		4.81	24.74
	02/06/07		4.37	25.18
	05/08/07		4.12	25.43
	08/06/07		5.36	24.19
	12/26/07		5.03	24.52
	06/28/08		5.06	24.49
	09/27/08		6.64	22.91
	12/30/08		6.28	23.27
	03/28/09		4.03	25.52
	09/12/09		6.24	23.31
	03/30/10		3.80	25.75
	09/30/10		6.00	23.55

Well ID	Date	Top of Casing Elevation (msl)	Depth to Water (feet)	Groundwater Elevation
MW-3	11/06/99	25.3	4.02	21.28
	05/16/00		2.06	23.24
	08/03/00		3.20	22.10
	12/05/00		3.71	21.59
	03/05/01		1.90	23.40
	06/04/01		2.72	22.58
	06/05/02		2.75	22.55
	09/09/02		3.88	21.42
	12/19/02		2.79	22.51
	03/10/03		2.36	22.94
	06/03/03		2.65	22.65
	09/19/03		3.15	22.15
	12/22/03		2.83	22.47
	03/12/04		2.00	23.30
	06/11/04		3.11	22.19
	09/13/04		3.90	21.40
	12/16/04		2.89	22.41
	03/21/05		1.93	23.37
	06/23/05		2.69	22.61
	09/30/05		4.54	20.76
	12/08/05		3.05	22.25
	03/01/06		1.95	23.35
	05/25/06	27.74	2.11	25.63
	08/10/06		3.25	24.49
	11/21/06		3.35	24.39
	02/06/07		3.34	24.40
	05/08/07		3.53	24.21
	08/06/07		3.91	23.83
	12/26/07		3.57	24.17
	06/28/08		3.66	24.08
	09/27/08		4.98	22.76
	12/30/08		4.63	23.11
	03/28/09		2.73	25.01
	09/12/09		4.80	22.94
	03/30/10		2.62	25.12
	09/30/10		4.38	23.36

Well ID	Date	Top of Casing Elevation (msl)	Depth to Water (feet)	Groundwater Elevation
MW-4	05/25/06	26.23	2.54	23.69
	08/10/06		4.65	21.58
	11/21/06		4.63	21.60
	02/06/07		3.87	22.36
	05/08/07		4.21	22.02
	08/06/07		4.54	21.69
	12/26/07		2.90	23.33
	06/28/08		3.02	23.21
	09/27/08		4.78	21.45
	12/30/08		3.91	22.32
	03/28/09		2.50	23.73
	09/12/09		4.93	21.30
	03/30/10		3.43	22.80
	09/30/10		3.79	22.44
MW-5	05/25/06	26.78	2.60	24.18
	08/10/06		3.40	23.38
	11/21/06		3.27	23.51
	02/06/07		3.10	23.68
	05/08/07		3.00	23.78
	08/06/07		3.79	22.99
	12/26/07		3.38	23.40
	06/28/08		3.70	23.08
	09/27/08		4.65	22.13
	12/30/08		4.04	22.74
	03/28/09		2.85	23.93
	09/12/09		4.48	22.30
	03/30/10		2.80	23.98
	09/30/10		4.11	22.67

All measurements are in feet. DTW = Depth to water below top of PVC casing.

 $\label{eq:TOC} \mbox{TOC = Top of casing.} \quad \mbox{ELEV = Elevation above mean sea level}.$

Wells resurveyed on April 27, 2006

Table 3 Groundwater Analytical Results Third Quarter 2010 September 30, 2010 Alaska Gas

Alameda, California

Well ID	Date	TPH-g	TPH-d	benzene	toluene	ethyl- benzene	xylenes	MtBE	tAME	tBA	Other Oxygenates
MW-1 [‡]	09/30/10	2300 [*]	6,500 ^{+†}	8.5	23	150	29	3.7	<0.5	2.2	<0.5
MW-2 [‡]	09/30/10	<50	310^	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0	<0.5
MW-3 [‡]	09/30/10	6300 [*]	5,100 ^{+^†}	110	14	6.2	16	110	3.8	16	<2.5
MW-4	09/30/10	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	0.76	<0.5	<2.0	<0.5
MW-5	09/30/10	710 [*]	310 ^{+^}	10.0	2.6	<1.0	3.1	400	<10	<40	<10
	ESL	100	100	1.0	40	30	20	5	NE	50,000	NA

Notes:

Units are micrograms per liter (ug/L).

TPH-g total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline

TPH-d total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel

- * Laboratory noted that weakly modified or unmodified gasoline is significant
- + Laboratory noted that TPH-d range is significant
- ^ Laboratory noted that TPH-g range is significant
- ‡ Groundwater sample contains greater than ~1 vol. % sediment
- † Laboratory noted that TPH-g range is significant; and/or stoddard solvent/mineral spirit

MtBE methyl tert-butyl ether tAME tert-amyl methyl ether

tBA tert-butanol

Groundwater Analytical Results

Alaska Gas

						ethyl-					Other
Well ID	Date	TPH-g	TPH-d	benzene	toluene	benzene	xylenes	MtBE	tAME	tBA	Oxygenates
MW-1	11/06/99	5,700	8,700	170	59	22	85	20,000	NA	NA	NA
	05/16/00	20,000	<7,500	38	6.3	740	1,600	<5.0	<5.0	<50	<5.0
	08/03/00	20,000	<6,000	56	9.7	920	1,600	<0.5	<0.5	<50	<0.5
	12/05/00	31,000	<4,000	64	27	820	2,200	<10	<5.0	<50	<5.0
	03/05/01	20,000	<4,000	19	<5.0	480	870	<5	<5.0	<50	<5.0
	06/04/01	23,000	<7,000	58	50	710	2,100	5.1	<5.0	<50	<5.0
	06/05/02	7,400	<1,500	9.3	6.7	180	230	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<1.0
	09/09/02	8,300	<3500	32	20	390	670	<2.0	<2.0	<20	<2.0
	12/19/02	5,100	NS	7.9	2.5	56	93	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<1.0
	03/10/03	2,000	<2,000	3.4	2.9	80	98	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0	<0.5
	06/03/03	7,300	<4,000	6.8	9.9	300	1,000	2.3	<0.5	<5.0	<0.5
	09/19/03	9,000	<3,000	26	22	420	1,200	4.5	<1.5	<20	<1.5
	12/22/03	4,300	<2,000	12	6.7	200	290	9.1	<1.0	<10	<1.0
	03/12/04	7,000	<3,000	8.3	8.2	250	760	3.9	<2.0	<20	<2.0
	06/11/04	13,000	<4,000	26	27	530	1,700	<2.5	<2.5	<15	<2.5
	09/13/04	17,000	<4,000	37	42	840	2,000	<5.0	<5.0	<50	<5.0
	12/16/04	1,800	<1,000	5.9	1.9	100	35	16	<0.5	<5.0	<0.5
	03/21/05	7,500	<3,000	3.4	4.2	290	760	<1.5	<1.5	<20	<1.5
	06/23/05	11,000	<8,000	15	11	370	910	2.4	<1.5	<7.0	<1.5
	09/30/05	9,800	<4,000	32	25	540	680	1.6	<1.5	<7.0	<1.5
	12/08/05	9,200	<4,000	27	21	500	490	2.2	<1.5	<7.0	<1.5
	03/01/06	6,500	<4,000	8.1	9.4	370	660	18	<1.5	<6.0	<1.5
	05/25/06	10,000	<3,000	19	14	900	620	<1.5	<1.5	<7.0	<1.5
	08/10/06	9,800	<1,500	16	8.1	640	180	<1.5	<1.5	<7.0	<1.5
	11/21/06	2,900	<1,000	7.8	2.5	160	12	2.5	2.5	<5.0	<0.5
	02/06/07	4,600	<1,500	9.4	6	380	220	1	<0.50	<5.0	<0.50
	05/08/07	3,700	<800	10	4.6	320	86	1.5	<0.50	<5.0	<0.50
	08/06/07	8,200	<2,000	14	8.8	730	180	<0.50	<0.50	<5.0	<0.50
	12/26/07	1,200	<300	2.3	1.1	89	21	4.8	<0.50	<5.0	<0.50
	03/31/08	2,000	<800	2.2	1.6	99	75	1.8	<0.50	<5.0	<0.50
	06/28/08	8,400	3900*	18	26	670	1,100	<2.5	<2.5	<10	<2.5
	09/27/08	12,000	4600*	32	49	1,200	680	<25	<25	<100	<25
	12/30/08	5,300	3,700	12	31	300	27	7.1	<5.0	<20	<5.0
	03/28/09	1,900	920*	<1.7	<1.7	77	58	22	<1.7	<6.7	<1.7
	09/12/09	7,800	9,400	34	110	690	200	3.0	<0.5	140	<0.5
	03/30/10	1,700	700 [*]	2.1	14	40	9.5	14	<0.5	7.8	<0.5
	09/30/10	2,300	6,500 [*]	8.5	23	150	29	4	<0.5	2.2	<0.5

Groundwater Analytical Results

Alaska Gas

						ethyl-					Other
Well ID	Date	TPH-g	TPH-d	benzene	toluene		xylenes	MtBE	tAME	tBA	Oxygenates
MW-2	11/06/99	6,000	70	1,300	92	50	400	6,800	NA	NA	NA
•	05/16/00	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0	<0.5
	08/03/00	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0	<0.5
	12/05/00	<50	1,400	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0	<0.5
	03/05/01	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0	<0.5
	06/04/01	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0	<0.5
	06/05/02	<50	2,300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0	<0.5
	09/09/02	<50	1,300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1.4	<0.5	<5.0	<0.5
	12/19/02	<50		<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	16	<0.5	<5.0	<0.5
	03/10/03	<50	3,000	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1	<0.5	<5.0	<0.5
	06/03/03	<50	700	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	2	<0.5	<5.0	<0.5
	09/19/03	<50	1,400	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	4.7	<0.5	<5.0	<0.5
	12/22/03	<50	1,000	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	39	<0.5	<5.0	<0.5
	03/12/04	<50	250	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	2.1	<0.5	<5.0	<0.5
	06/11/04	<50	920	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	0.75	<0.5	<5.0	<0.5
	09/13/04	<50	140	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1.5	<0.5	<5.0	<0.5
	12/16/04	<50	150	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	12	<0.5	<5.0	<0.5
	03/21/05	<50	130	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0	<0.5
	06/23/05	<50	1,100	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0	<0.5
	09/30/05	<50	300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1.6	<0.5	<5.0	<0.5
	12/08/05	<50	600	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1.9	<0.5	<5.0	<0.5
	03/01/06	<50	920	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0	<0.5
	05/25/06	<50	160	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0	<0.5
	08/10/06	<50	870	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0	<0.5
	11/21/06	<50	130	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1.8	<0.5	<5.0	<0.5
	02/06/07	<50	450	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0	<0.5
	05/08/07	<50	160	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0	<0.5
	08/06/07	<50	180	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0	<0.5
	12/26/07	<50	190	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	2.9	<0.5	<5.0	<0.5
	03/31/08		ble Not S							I	
	06/28/08	<50	180	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0	<2.0
	09/27/08	<50	78	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	7	<0.5	<2.0	<0.5
	12/30/08	<50	100	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	13	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	03/28/09	<50	60	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	5.4	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	09/12/09	<50	91	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	4.7	<0.5	<2.0	<0.5
	03/30/10	<50	150	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	2.5	<0.5	<2.0	<0.5
	09/30/10	<50	310	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0	<0.5

Groundwater Analytical Results

Alaska Gas

						ethyl-					Other
Well ID	Date	TPH-g	TPH-d	benzene	toluene	benzene	xylenes	MtBE	tAME	tBA	Oxygenates
MW-3	11/06/99	43,000	870	860	70	<0.5	65	120,000	NA	NA	NA
	05/16/00	17,000	<5,000	2,800	60	380	190	990	9.1	350	<5.0
1	08/03/00	16,000	<2,000	1,600	29	210	53	1,200	21	260	<2.0
	12/05/00	17,000	5800	1,700	45	460	240	1,100	21	230	<5.0
	03/05/01	29,000	<1,300	2,100	68	280	100	180	<8.0	<80	<8.0
	06/04/01	17,000	<6,000	2,000	56	340	230	300	<10	130	<10
	06/05/02	11,000	<2,000	1,600	46	210	47	790	<10	220	<10
	09/09/02	12,000	<800	1,400	44	130	27	760	<10	160	<5.0
	12/19/02	10,000	NS	740	32	180	38	86	<5.0	<50	<5.0
	03/10/03	13,000	<6,000	1,200	42	240	35	470	5.3	140	<2.5
	06/03/03	6,500	<3,000	750	21	46	15	1,300	<50	280	<10
	09/19/03	9,800	<3,000	1,500	38	170	32	420	<10	150	<5.0
	12/22/03	8,800	<2,000	1,100	32	82	20	330	5.8	52	<2.5
	03/12/04	7,600	<3,000	590	23	69	17	470	9.2	63	<1.5
	06/11/04	7,800	<2,000	840	19	58	15	710	12	140	<2.5
	09/13/04	7,500	<1,500	840	17	23	7.8	730	15	93	<2.5
	12/16/04	9,300	<2,000	1,100	26	76	13	600	12	130	<2.5
	03/21/05	11,000	<3,000	1,200	37	190	24	460	9.3	100	<2.5
	06/23/05	9,600	<4,000	1,100	28	93	23	370	8.2	67	<1.5
	09/30/05	9,000	<3,000	690	18	32	14	380	8.4	72	<1.5
	12/08/05	8,700	<3,000	560	23	38	12	350	6.9	82	<1.5
	03/01/06	8,400	<2,000	410	24	42	13	360	8	58	<1.5
	05/25/06	9,900	<2,000	630	25	13	13	190	5.3	59	<1.5
	08/10/06	14,000	<3,000	690	43	130	26	200	5.4	70	<1.5
	11/21/06	10,000	<3,000	580	37	96	25	240	6.3	72	<1.5
	02/06/07	7,700	<1,000	520	36	90	23	260	7.4	54	<1.5
	05/08/07	4,700	<800	150	0.86	<0.5	<0.5	170	5	52	<0.5
	08/06/07	6,000	<1,000	240	26	34	17	180	5	55	<0.5
	12/26/07	8,100	<1,500	76	14	17	12	150	4.3	37	<0.9
	03/31/08	7,900	<1,500	250	30	62	20	140	4.5	47	<0.90
	06/28/08	6,400	3,100*	97	17	19	13	200	5.6	38	<5.0
	09/27/08	11,000	15,000*	190	24	29	16	160	<5.0	40	<5.0
	12/30/08	9,100	2,300*	160	24	31	18	150	5	100	<5.0
	03/28/09	9,200	4,300*	150	25	34	22	120	<5.0	38	<5.0
	09/12/09	6,100	2,700*	110	21	14	18	170	<5.0	38	<0.5
	03/30/10	12,000	12,000*	200	25	35	23	96	<5.0	58	<5.0
	09/30/10	6,300	5,100	110	14	6.2	16	110	3.8	16	<2.5

Groundwater Analytical Results

Alaska Gas

Alameda, California

Well ID	Date	TPH-g	TPH-d	benzene	toluene	ethyl- benzene	xylenes	MtBE	tAME	tBA	Other Oxygenates
MW-4	05/25/06	410	<80	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	1800	28	44	<2.5
	08/10/06	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1.2	<0.5	<5.0	<0.5
	11/21/06	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	0.59	<0.5	<5.0	<0.5
	02/06/07	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0	<0.5
	05/08/07	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0	<0.5
	08/06/07	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	0.82	<0.5	<5.0	<0.5
	12/26/07	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1.3	<0.5	<5.0	<0.5
	03/31/08	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1.4	<0.5	<5.0	<0.5
	06/28/08	<50	88	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1.1	<0.5	<2.0	<0.5
	09/27/08	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1.3	<0.5	<5.0	<0.5
	12/30/08	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1.2	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	03/28/09	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	0.9	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	09/12/09	<50	240	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1.0	<0.5	<2.0	<0.5
	03/30/10	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	0.58	<0.5	<2.0	<0.5
	09/30/10	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	0.76	<0.5	<2.0	<0.5
MW-5	05/25/06	<50	86	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1.2	<0.5	<5.0	<0.5
	08/10/06	55	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1,100	19	9.1	<0.5
	11/21/06	<250	<50	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	1,500	25	28	<2.5
	02/06/07	430	<50	6.9	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	1,600	26	34	<2.5
	05/08/07	<250	<50	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	1,200	20	38	<2.5
	08/06/07	330	<80	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	1,000	20	39	<2.5
	12/26/07	490	<50	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	1,000	18	28	<2.5
	03/31/08	520	<100	6.0	1.9	<1.5	2.5	520	16	33	<1.5
	06/28/08	510	290*	6.2	1.0	<0.5	2.3	550	11	<40	<10
	09/27/08	670	320*	<17	<17	<17	<17	650	<17	95	<17
	12/30/08	210	130*	<0.5	0.8	0.99	<0.5	610	12	<40	<10
	03/28/09	200	100*	<17	<17	<17	<17	610	<17	<67	<17
	09/12/09	230	130*	1.6	1.3	<0.5	1.4	540	11	<40	<10
	03/30/10	360	170*	2.0	1.7	<0.5	1.3	490	13	<40	<10
	09/30/10	710	310	10	2.6	<1.0	3.1	400	<10	<40	<10
	ESL	100	100	1.0	40	30	20	5	NE	50,000	NA

Notes:

Units are micrograms per liter (ug/L).

NT analyte not tested

TPH-g total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline

TPH-d total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel

* Laboratory noted that TPH-g range is significant

MtBE methyl tert-butyl ether tAME tert-amyl methyl ether

tBA tert-butanol



Project #:	6027			Station #:	Alam	eda Ga	N
Sampler: (1. Thes	dale IT.	Henderson	Date: (7-30-	10	
Weather:	Clen &	Warm		Ambient Ai	r Temperat	ure:	
Well ID:	MW)-1			Well Diame	eter:2" 3"	4" 6" 8	4F
Total Well	Depth:	8'		Depth to W	/ater: 5	1.61'	
Depth to F	ree Product	•		Thickness	of Free Pro	duct (feet):	
Reference	d To:			D.O. Meter	(if req'd):	YSI	HACH
DTW with	80% Recha	rge [(Heigh	t of Water C	olumn x 0.2	20) + DTW]		
Purge Method:	Bailer Disposable Bailer Positive Air Displa Electric Submersit	cement	Waterra Peristaltic Extraction Pump Other		Sampling Method		Bailer Disposable Bailer Extraction Port Dedicated Tubing
1,98	(Gals.) X	Specified Volumes	= 5.9 Calculated Voi	Gallons	Well Diameter 1" 2" 3"	Multiplier Well D 0.04 4" 0.16 6" 0.37 Ott	iameter Multiplier 0.65 1.47 radius² * 0.163
			Cond. (mS	Turbidity	Gallons		
Time	Temp (°F)	рН	or µS)	Turbidity (NTUs)	Removed	Observations	s
Time	Temp (°F)	pH 6.58				Observation:	s Slightodor
	Temp (°F) 73.2 71.9		or µS)		Removed		s Slightodor
12:14	Temp (°F) 73.2 71.9	6.58	or μS) 733		Removed		s Slightodor
12:14	Temp (°F) 73.2 71.9	6.58	or μS) 733		Removed		s Slightodor
12:14	Temp (°F) 73.2 71.9	6.58	or μS) 733		Removed	Grey,	Slightodor
12:14	Temp (°F) 73.2 71.9	6.58	or μS) 733		Removed	Grey,	s Slightodor "," "," echango
12:14	73.2	6.58	or μS) 733	(NTUs)	Removed	Grey,	Slightodor
12:14 12:34 Did well de	73.2 71.9 water? Y	6.58 6.76 es No	or μs) 733 732	(NTUs)	Removed 1,5 3	Slow Mated:	Slightodor
12:14 12:34 Did well de	73.2	6.58 6.76 es No	or μS) 733	(NTUs)	Removed 1.5	Slow Mated:	Slightodor
12:14 12:34 Did well de	73.2 71.9 water? Y	6.58 6.76 es No	or µs) 733 732	(NTUs)	Removed 1,5 3	Slow Mated:	Slightodor
Did well de Sample ID:	73.2 71.9 water? Y	6.58 6.76 es No	or µs) 733 732 atory: M. (Gallons ac	Removed 1,5 3	Slow A	slightodor in "
Did well de Sample ID:	73.2 71.9 water? Y	6.58 6.76 es No	or µs) 733 732 ng Time: [2	Gallons ac	Removed 1,5 3	Slow A	Slightodor
Did well de Sample ID:	73.2 71.9 water? Y Date: 9-30-	es No Sampli Labora	or µs) 733 732 atory: M. (Gallons ac	Removed 1.5 3 tually evacu	Slow A	slightodor in "
Did well de Sampling Did well de Sample ID	73.2 71.9 water? Y Date: 9-30- : MW-1 or: (PH-9)	es No Sampli Labora BTEX MtE	or µs) 733 732 ng Time: 12 atory: M. (Gallons ac	Removed 1.5 3 tually evacuable between the Vector of th	Slow A sated: Vater: Ob Scare Other:	echango
Did well de Sampling Dample ID:	73.2 71.9 water? Y Date: 9-30- MW-L or: (PH-)	es No Sampli Labora	or µs) 733 732 ng Time: 12 atory: M. (Gallons ac	Removed 1.5 3 tually evacu Depth to V	Slow A sated: Vater:	slightodor in "

Project#: 6022	Station #: Alameda Gas					
Sampler: C. Tresdalo /T. Henderson	Date: 9-30-10					
Weather: Clean & Warm	Ambient Air Temperature:					
Well ID: MW-Z	Well Diameter: 2" 3" 4" 6" 8"					
Total Well Depth: 18	Depth to Water:					
Depth to Free Product:	Thickness of Free Product (feet):					
Referenced To:	D.O. Meter (if req'd): YSI HACH					
DTW with 80% Recharge [(Height of Wate	er Column x 0.20) + DTW]:					
Purge Method: Bailer Waterra Disposable Bailer Peristaltic Positive Air Displacement Extraction Pu Electric Submersible Other	Sampling Method: Disposable Bailer Extraction Port Dedicated Tubing Other					
	Well Diameter Multiplier Well Diameter Multiplier					
$\frac{1.92}{1 \text{ Case Volume}}$ (Gals.) X $\frac{3}{3} = \frac{5.4}{2}$	1" 0.04 4" 0.65 Gallons 2" 0.16 6" 1.47 d Volume 3" 0.37 Other radius ² * 0.163					
Cond. (r Time Temp (°F) pH or μS)	, , ,					
12:00 73.4 6.82 340	1 Cloudy SlightOder					
12:03 73.04 6.53 342	3 " 3 "					
12:07 75.92 6.48 347	6 '' ''					
Did well dewater? Yes No	Gallons actually evacuated:					
Sampling Date: 9-30-10 Sampling Time:	Depth to Water:					
Sample ID: ֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈ	1 c Campbell					
Analyzed for: (TPH-g BTEX MtBE) Oxys	Other: TOH-d & 2 Abs scavengers					
Duplicate ID: Analyzed for: TPH-ç	g BTEX MtBE Oxys Other:					
Duplicate ID: Analyzed for: TPH-q D.O. (if req'd): Pre-purge:	g BTEX MtBE Oxys Other: mg/L Post-purge: mg/L					

Project#: 6022 Sampler: C. Truesdale / T. Henderson			Station #: Alameda Gas					
			lendorson	Date: 9-30-10				
Weather: Clear & Warm				Ambient Air Temperature:				
Well ID:	MW-3			Well Diameter: 2" 3" 4" 6" 8"				
Total Well	Depth: 2	20'						
Depth to F	ree Product:			Thickness of Free Product (feet):				
Reference	d To:			D.O. Meter (if req'd): YSI HACH				
DTW with	80% Recha	rge [(Height	t of Water C	olumn x 0.2	20) + DTWJ	•		
Purge Method:	Bailer Disposable Bailer Positive Air Displa Electric Submersib		Waterra Peristaltic Extraction Pump Other		Sampling Method	<	Bailer Disposable Bailer Extraction Port Dedicated Tubing	
2.49 1 Case Volume	(Gals.) X	Specified Volumes	= 7,49 Calculated Vol	Gallons	Well Diameter 1" 2" 3"	0.04 4" 0.16 6"	0.65 1.47 her radius ^{2 •} 0.163	
			Cond. (mS	Turbidity	Gallons			
Time	Temp (°F)	pН	or µS)	(NTUs)	Removed	Observation		
12:21	74.1	6.56	639		1.5	Greenish	Slight Shador	
12:26	71.9	6.66	660		7	11	V ₁₀ 10	

F-::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::								
Did well de	water? Y	es (No)		Gallons ac	tually evacu	uated:		
Sampling [Date: 9-30	-)-/∂ Sampli	ng Time:		Depth to V	Vater:		
2 ID			111 /	7 0/ //				
Sample ID		Labora	atory: Mc(ampoe!				
Analyzed for	or: (PH-g	BTEX MtB	BE Oxys C	Other: TX	H-d \$2	ph scare	ngers	
Duplicate I	<u> </u>	Analyzed fo	or: TPH-g E	BTEX MtB	E Oxys C	 Other:		
Dupiloate i	<u>ر.</u> .	Allalyzed to	<u>//. 11119 = </u>) L/\ 1411.D.	_ OAyo c	7ti (G) .	***************************************	
D.O. (if red	('d): F	Pre-purge:		mg/L		Post-purge:	mg/L	
ORP (if red	a'd): I	Pre-purge:		mV		Post-purge:	mV	

Project #: 6022			Station #: Alameda Gas						
Sampler: C. Truesdale II. Henderson			Date: 9-30-10						
Weather: Plan \$ (a) prin			Ambient Air Temperature:						
Well ID:	MW-L	l		Well Diameter 2" 3" 4" 6" 8"					
Total Well	Depth:	1		Depth to Water: 3.79					
Depth to Free Product:				Thickness of Free Product (feet):					
Reference	d To:		:	D.O. Meter (if req'd): YSI HACH					
DTW with	80% Recha	rge [(Height	of Water C	olumn x 0.2	(0) + DTW				
Purge Method: Bailer Waterra Disposable Bailer Peristaltic Positive Air Displacement Extraction Pump Electric Submersible Other			Sampling Method: Disposable Bailer Extraction Port Dedicated Tubing Other						
					Well Diameter	Multiplier Well	Diameter Mi	ultiplier	
100			T 101	. "	1"	0.04 4"	•	0.65	
195	(Gals.) X		= 5.86	Gallons	2" 3"	0.16 6° 0.37 O		1.47 radius ² * 0.163	
1 Case Volume		Specified Volumes	Calculated Vol	ume	<u> </u>	0.57	(101	1adios 0.103	
Time	ne Temp (°F) pH or µS)				Gallons Removed Observations				
12:58	69.4	7.06	422		2				
Did well de	water? Y	es No		Gallons ac	tually evacu	ıated:			
Sampling I	Date: 9-36	- IO Sampli	ng Time:		Depth to V	Vater:			
Sample ID		Labora	tory: Mc(Cam Dbell					
				!		7			
Analyzed f	or: (TPH-g I	BTEX MtB	E Oxys) C	Other: Th	H-d & 2	2 ph sea	venger	<u>c5</u>	
Duplicate I	D:	Analyzed fo	r: TPH-g E	STEX MtBI	Oxys C	Other:			
D.O. (if red	 'd):	Pre-purge:		mg/L		Post-purge:	1	mg/L	
ORP (if red	Pre-purge:		Post-purge:		mV				

MATRIKS CORPORATION MONITORING DATA SHEET

Project#: 6022	Station #: Alameda Gas
Sampler: C. Triesdale / T. Henderson	Date: 9-30-10
Weather: Clear & Warm	Ambient Air Temperature:
Well ID: W(x)-5	Well Diameter: 2" 3" 4" 6" 8"
Total Well Depth: 17	Depth to Water: 4 11
Depth to Free Product:	Thickness of Free Product (feet):
Referenced To:	D.O. Meter (if req'd): YSI HACH
DTW with 80% Recharge [(Height of Water C	Column x 0.20) + DTW]:
Purge Method: Bailer Waterra Disposable Bailer Peristaltic Positive Air Displacement Extraction Pump Electric Submersible Other	Sampfing Method: Bailer Extraction Port Dedicated Tubing Other
	Well Diameter Multiplier Well Diameter Multiplier
$\frac{2.06}{1 \text{ Case Volume}}$ (Gals.) X $\frac{3}{3 \text{ Specified Volumes}} = \frac{6.18}{3 \text{ Calculated Volumes}}$	1"
Time Temp (°F) pH or µS)	Turbidity Gallons (NTUs) Removed Observations
12:46 69.4 6.84 803	2.5 slightly cloudy
12:48 69.1 6.84 768	4 760 9
12:49 69.4 6.84 774	6 11 9
Did well dewater? Yes No	Gallons actually evacuated:
Sampling Date: 9 - 3b → Sampling Time:	Depth to Water:
Sample ID: Laboratory: Mc	Campbell
Analyzed for: TPH-g BTEX MtBE Oxys	Other. TPH-1 & 2 ob scavenaers
Duplicate ID: Analyzed for: TPH-g I	BTEX MtBE Oxys Other:
D.O. (if req'd): Analyzed for: TPH-g I Pre-purge:	BTEX MtBE Oxys Other: mg/L Post-purge: mg/L

APPENDIX B LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORTS FOR GROUNDWATER SAMPLES

McCampbell Analytical, Inc.

1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701
Web: www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com
Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269

Matriks Corporation	Client Project ID: #6022; Alameda Gas	Date Sampled: 09/30/10
321 Court Street		Date Received: 10/04/10
321 Count Bucct	Client Contact: Tom Henderson	Date Reported: 10/11/10
Woodland, CA 95695	Client P.O.:	Date Completed: 10/08/10

WorkOrder: 1010062

October 11, 2010

1	Dear	Т	'n	m	٠.

Enclosed within are:

- 1) The results of the 5 analyzed samples from your project: #6022; Alameda Gas,
- 2) A QC report for the above samples,
- 3) A copy of the chain of custody, and
- 4) An invoice for analytical services.

All analyses were completed satisfactorily and all QC samples were found to be within our control limits.

If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to give me a call. Thank you for choosing

McCampbell Analytical Laboratories for your analytical needs.

Best regards,

Angela Rydelius Laboratory Manager

McCampbell Analytical, Inc.

McCAMPBELL ANALYTICAL, INC. 1534 WILLOW PASS ROAD

PITTSBURG, CA 94565-1701

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD DUND TIME

	-		
TURN	AROUND	TIME	

	Q.
-	7

We Tel	bsite: <u>www.me</u> ephone: (877) 252-92	Lcom En	nail: n	nain@ Fax	: (92	mpt 5) 25	oell.c	om 269					G	eo'	Γra	cke	er E	EDI					X	E	xce		1		ite (On (I	W) □
Report To: Tox	n Hende		F	Bill To	n: W/	ah	· V «	- /	1/	E	_	-	+	_					A	nal	_				amp	1e 19	em	uen	tar	_	ther	is required Comments
Company: Ma 32 N Tele: (530) 40 Project #: 602 Project Location:	mks/HC 1 Comb opdland 16-1766 12 € 1310 Ce	E Street CA C	ison E F Aven	E-Mai	il: 25 (530	1 4 me:	dale 06	@ # -/0	Wat 71	nk	50	η-4 1	60	021 + 8015) / NTBE	12	: (1664 / 5520 E/B&F)	ns (418.1)	(HVOCs)							(%)	/ PNAs)	/ 6010 / 6020)	/ 6010 / 6020)	20)		Scawengers	**Indicate here if these samples are potentially dangerous to handle:
Sampler Signatur	re:		PLING		Т	Τ,	MA.T	TRIX	,		MET			(602 / 8021 +		Greas	carbon	8021	(EPA	Pesti	ONLY	sticide	CHE	(VOC	(SVOC	PAHs	/ 200.8	200.8	10 / 60	OLVE	2 pb	
SAMPLE ID	LOCATION/ Field Point Name	Date	Time	# Containers	Type Containers	er		Sludge		ICE	HCL	RV CONH	Other	BTEX & TPH as Gas (6	TPH as Diesel (8015)	Total Petroleum Oil & Grease (1664 / 5520 E/B&F)	Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (418.1)	EPA 502.2 / 601 / 8010 / 8021 (HVOCs)	MTBE / BTEX ONLY (EPA 602 / 8021)	EPA 505/ 608 / 8081 (CI Pesticides)	EPA 608 / 8082 PCB's ONLY; Aroclors / Congeners	EPA 507 / 8141 (NP Pesticides)	EPA 515 / 8151 (Acidic Cl Herbicides)	EPA 524.2 / 624 / 8260 (VOCs)	EPA 525.2 / 625 / 8270 (SVOCs)	EPA 8270 SIM / 8310 (PAHs / PNAs)	CAM 17 Metals (200.7 / 200.8 / 6010 / 6020)	LUFT 5 Metals (200.7 / 200.8 / 6010 / 6020)	Lend (200.7 / 200.8 / 6010 / 6020)	Fifter sample for DISSOLVED metals analysis	7 oxys & 3	
MW-I		9/30/10		4	34	X				X	X		T	X	×																×	
MW-2				4		I)																						
MW-3				4	1	Ш		_		1	1		4	1	-								-	_	_	_			Ш		4	
MW-4				4	11	111	_	_	_	1	1		4	1	1											-			Н		V	
MW-5		V		4	V	4				v	<i>\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\</i>				6		•															
**MAI clients MUST gloved, open air, samp allowing us to work sa Relinquished By: Relinquished By: Relinquished By:	ple handling by herely.	Date:	rime:	Reco	eived I	an imi	in the media	eir sul	bmitt 50 su	ted :	samp	ples i	in co	ICI GO HE DE AP	E/t°_COD	SPAC OPRI	DIT	ION BSE CO! CO!	NT_IN L	AB_INE	RS_	ate h	narm	suff	ered	Tha	ank y	ealth ou fo	r yo	ur ui	nderstan	s a result of brief, ding and for

McCampbell Analytical, Inc.

6022 MW-2

6022 MW-3

6022 MW-4

6022 MW-5

Water

Water

Water

Water

1534 Willow Pass Rd
Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701
(925) 252-9262

CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD

В

В

B B Page 1 of 1

	52-9262					Work	Order:	1010	062	C	ClientCo	ode: M	ICW				
		WaterTrax	WriteOn	✓ EDF		Excel		Fax		✓ Email		Hard	Сору	Third	dParty	☐ J -1	flag
Report to:	rcon	Email: t	handarsan@ı	matrikscorp.com			Bill to:	bert Ne	oly				Req	uested	TAT:	5 c	lays
Matriks Corp 321 Court S Woodland, ((530) 406-176	poration treet CA 95695	cc: PO: ProjectNo: #	#6022; Alame	·			Ма 32	atriks Co 1 Court podland	orporati Street					e Recei e Print		10/04/2 10/04/2	
									Req	uested [*]	Tests (See leg	jend b	elow)			
Lab ID	Client ID		Matrix	Collection Date	Hold	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1010062-001	6022 MW-1		Water	9/30/2010		С	Α	Α	В								

9/30/2010

9/30/2010

9/30/2010

9/30/2010

С

Α

Α

Α

Tast	ם ו	a	0	n	ч

1010062-002

1010062-003

1010062-004

1010062-005

1	9-OXYS_W	2 G-MBTEX_W	3 PREDF REPORT	4 TPH(D)_W	5
6		7	8	9	10
11		12			
					Prepared by: Melissa Valles

Comments:

Sample Receipt Checklist

Client Name:	Matriks Corpora	ition			Date a	and Time Received:	10/4/2010	12:33:16 PM
Project Name:	#6022; Alameda	Gas			Check	list completed and r	eviewed by:	Melissa Valles
WorkOrder N°:	1010062	Matrix <u>Water</u>			Carrie	r: <u>EnviroTech (Re</u>	<u>C)</u>	
		<u>Chain</u>	of Cu	stody (C	OC) Informa	ition		
Chain of custody	y present?		Yes	V	No 🗆			
Chain of custody	/ signed when relinqu	uished and received?	Yes	V	No 🗆			
Chain of custody	/ agrees with sample	labels?	Yes	✓	No 🗌			
Sample IDs noted	d by Client on COC?		Yes	V	No 🗆			
Date and Time of	f collection noted by C	Client on COC?	Yes	✓	No 🗆			
Sampler's name i	noted on COC?		Yes	V	No 🗆			
		<u>s</u>	ample	Receipt	Information	l		
Custody seals in	tact on shipping cont	ainer/cooler?	Yes		No 🗆		NA 🔽	
Shipping contain	er/cooler in good con	dition?	Yes	V	No 🗆			
Samples in prope	er containers/bottles	?	Yes	✓	No 🗆			
Sample containe	ers intact?		Yes	✓	No 🗆			
Sufficient sample	e volume for indicated	d test?	Yes	✓	No 🗌			
		Sample Prese	rvatio	n and Ho	old Time (HT)	Information		
All samples recei	ived within holding tir	ne?	Yes	✓	No 🗌			
Container/Temp l	Blank temperature		Coole	er Temp:	3.2°C		NA 🗆	
Water - VOA via	ls have zero headsp	ace / no bubbles?	Yes	✓	No 🗆	No VOA vials subm	itted \square	
Sample labels ch	hecked for correct pr	eservation?	Yes	✓	No 🗌			
Metal - pH accep	otable upon receipt (p	H<2)?	Yes		No 🗆		NA 🗹	
Samples Receive	ed on Ice?		Yes	✓	No 🗆			
		(Ice Typ	e: WE	T ICE)			
* NOTE: If the "I	No" box is checked, s	see comments below.						
=====	======	======				=		======
Client contacted:		Date contact	ted:			Contacted	by:	
Comments:								

Matriks Corporation
Client Project ID: #6022; Alameda Gas
Date Sampled: 09/30/10
Date Received: 10/04/10
Client Contact: Tom Henderson
Date Extracted: 10/07/10
Woodland, CA 95695
Client P.O.:
Date Analyzed: 10/07/10

Oxygenated Volatile Organics + EDB and 1,2-DCA by P&T and GC/MS*

Extraction Method: SW5030B	Anal	ytical Method: SW826	0В		Work Order:	1010062					
Lab ID	1010062-001C	1010062-002C	1010062-003C	1010062-004C							
Client ID	6022 MW-1	6022 MW-2	6022 MW-3	6022 MW-4	Reporting Limit for DF =1						
Matrix	W	W W W									
DF	1	1	5	1	S W						
Compound	ug/kg	μg/L									
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	ND	ND	3.8	ND	NA	0.5					
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	2.2	ND	16	ND	NA	2.0					
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	ND	ND<2.5	ND	NA	0.5					
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	ND	ND	ND<2.5	ND	NA	0.5					
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	ND	ND	ND<2.5	ND	NA	0.5					
Ethanol	ND	ND	ND<250	ND	NA	50					
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)	ND	ND	ND<2.5	ND	NA	0.5					
Methanol	ND	ND	ND<2500	ND	NA	500					
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	3.7	ND	110	0.76	NA	0.5					
	Surr	ogate Recoveries	s (%)								
%SS1:	88	89	87	89							
Comments	b1	b1	b1								

* water and vapor samples are reported in µg/L, soil/sludge/solid samples in mg/kg, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples and all TCLP & SPLP

 $ND\ means\ not\ detected\ above\ the\ reporting\ limit/method\ detection\ limit;\ N/A\ means\ analyte\ not\ applicable\ to\ this\ analysis.$

surrogate diluted out of range or coelutes with another peak; &) low surrogate due to matrix interference.

%SS = Percent Recovery of Surrogate Standard

extracts are reported in mg/L, wipe samples in µg/wipe.

DF = Dilution Factor

b1) aqueous sample that contains greater than ~1 vol. % sediment



Matriks Corporation	(Client Pro	oject ID: #6022;	Alameda Gas	Date Sampled:	09/30/10	
321 Court Street					Date Received:	10/04/10	
	(Client Co	ontact: Tom Hen	derson	Date Extracted:	10/07/10	
Woodland, CA 95695	(Client P.0	10/07/10				
Oxygenat	ed Volati	ile Organ					
Extraction Method: SW5030B		Anal	Work Order:	1010062			
Lab ID	1010062	2-005C					
Client ID	6022 N	MW-5				Reporting DF	
Matrix	W	V					
DF	20	0				S	W
Compound			Conce	entration		ug/kg	μg/L
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	ND<	<10				NA	0.5
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	ND<	<40				NA	2.0
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND<	<10				NA	0.5
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	ND<	<10				NA	0.5
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	ND<	<10				NA	0.5
Ethanol	ND<1	1000				NA	50
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)	ND<	<10				NA	0.5
Methanol	ND<1	0,000				NA	500
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	40	00				NA	0.5
		Surre	ogate Recoveries	s (%)			
%SS1:	89	9					
Comments							

ND means not detected above the reporting limit/method detection limit; N/A means analyte not applicable to this analysis.

surrogate diluted out of range or coelutes with another peak; &) low surrogate due to matrix interference.

%SS = Percent Recovery of Surrogate Standard

DF = Dilution Factor

b1) aqueous sample that contains greater than ~1 vol. % sediment



^{*} water and vapor samples are reported in μ g/L, soil/sludge/solid samples in mg/kg, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples and all TCLP & SPLP extracts are reported in mg/L, wipe samples in μ g/wipe.

Matriks Corporation	Client Project ID: #6022; Alameda Gas	Date Sampled:	09/30/10
321 Court Street		Date Received:	10/04/10
	Client Contact: Tom Henderson	Date Extracted:	10/06/10-10/08/10
Woodland, CA 95695	Client P.O.:	Date Analyzed:	10/06/10-10/08/10

Gasoline Range (C6-C12) Volatile Hydrocarbons as Gasoline with BTEX and MTBE*

Analytical methods: SW8021B/8015Bm Extraction method: SW5030B Work Order: 1010062

Extraction	raction method: SW5030B Analytical methods: SW8021B/8015Bm						Work Order: 1010062				
Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix	TPH(g)	MTBE	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Xylenes	DF	% SS	Comments
001A	6022 MW-1	W	2300	ND<10	8.5	23	150	29	2	95	d1,b1
002A	6022 MW-2	W	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	1	98	b1
003A	6022 MW-3	W	6300	ND<250	110	14	6.2	16	5	90	d1,b1
004A	6022 MW-4	W	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	1	100	
005A	6022 MW-5	W	710	430	10	2.6	ND<1.0	3.1	2	109	d1
	rting Limit for DF =1;	W	50	5.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5		μg/L	_
	eans not detected at or ve the reporting limit	S	1.0	0.05	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005		mg/K	

* water and vapor samples are reported in ug/L, soil/sludge/solid samples in mg/kg, wipe samples in µg/wipe, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples and all
TCLP & SPLP extracts in mg/L.

- # cluttered chromatogram; sample peak coelutes w/surrogate peak; low surrogate recovery due to matrix interference.
- %SS = Percent Recovery of Surrogate Standard; DF = Dilution Factor
- +The following descriptions of the TPH chromatogram are cursory in nature and McCampbell Analytical is not responsible for their interpretation:
- b1) aqueous sample that contains greater than ~1 vol. % sediment
- d1) weakly modified or unmodified gasoline is significant



Matriks Corporation	Client Project ID: #6022; Alameda Gas	Date Sampled: 09/30/10
321 Court Street		Date Received: 10/04/10
	Client Contact: Tom Henderson	Date Extracted: 10/04/10
Woodland, CA 95695	Client P.O.:	Date Analyzed 10/05/10-10/08/10

Total Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons*

Extraction method SW3510C Analytical methods: SW8015B Work Order: 1010062

Extraction method SW	3510C	Analytica	il methods: SW8015B		Work Ord	er: 1010062	
Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix	TPH-Diesel (C10-C23)	DF	% SS	Comments	
1010062-001B	6022 MW-1	W	6500	1	116	e11,e2,b1	
1010062-002B	6022 MW-2	W	310	1	111	e4,b1	
1010062-003B	6022 MW-3	W	5100	1	109	e4/e11,e2,b1	
1010062-004B	6022 MW-4	W	ND	1	114		
1010062-005B	6022 MW-5	W	310	1	110	e4,e2	
	g Limit for DF =1;	W	50		μg/L		
	s not detected at or he reporting limit	S	NA		N	A	

* water samples are reported in ug/L	, wipe samples in μg/wipe,	, soil/solid/sludge	samples in mg/kg,	product/oil/n	ıon-aqueous lıqı	11d samples	ın mg/L,
and all DISTLC / STLC / SPLP / T	CLP extracts are reported i	in μg/L.					

%SS = Percent Recovery of Surrogate Standard

DF = Dilution Factor

- +The following descriptions of the TPH chromatogram are cursory in nature and McCampbell Analytical is not responsible for their interpretation:
- b1) aqueous sample that contains greater than ~1 vol. % sediment
- e2) diesel range compounds are significant; no recognizable pattern
- e4) gasoline range compounds are significant.; and/or e11) stoddard solvent/mineral spirit (?)



[#] cluttered chromatogram resulting in coeluted surrogate and sample peaks, or; surrogate peak is on elevated baseline, or; surrogate has been diminished by dilution of original extract.

QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8260B

W.O. Sample Matrix: Water QC Matrix: Water BatchID: 53502 WorkOrder 1010062

EPA Method SW8260B Extraction SW5030B Spiked Sample ID: 1010062-002C								02C				
Analyte	Sample	Spiked	MS	MSD	MS-MSD	LCS	LCSD	LCS-LCSD	Acc	eptance	Criteria (%)	1
7 mary to	μg/L	μg/L	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	MS / MSD	RPD	LCS/LCSD	RPD
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	ND	10	81.6	79.1	3.08	79.7	82	2.77	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	ND	50	92.5	91.1	1.53	85.5	86.2	0.879	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	10	101	98.2	3.28	95.3	98.3	3.14	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	ND	10	106	101	4.37	102	105	2.85	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	ND	10	110	107	2.44	109	112	2.56	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)	ND	10	104	100	3.44	102	105	2.36	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	10	111	108	3.21	105	109	4.02	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
%SS1:	89	25	93	93	0	91	94	2.82	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30

All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions: NONE

BATCH 53502 SUMMARY

Lab ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	
1010062-001C	09/30/10	10/07/10	10/07/10 3:02 AM	1010062-002C	09/30/10	10/07/10	10/07/10 3:47 AM	l
1010062-003C	09/30/10	10/07/10	10/07/10 4:56 PM	1010062-004C	09/30/10	10/07/10	10/07/10 5:40 PM	l
1010062-005C	09/30/10	10/07/10	10/07/10 6:24 PM					

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.


% Recovery = 100 * (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 * (MS - MSD) / ((MS + MSD) / 2).

MS / MSD spike recoveries and / or %RPD may fall outside of laboratory acceptance criteria due to one or more of the following reasons: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) the spiked sample's matrix interferes with the spike recovery.

N/A = not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.

NR = analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds 2x spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content.

Laboratory extraction solvents such as methylene chloride and acetone may occasionally appear in the method blank at low levels.



QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8021B/8015Bm

W.O. Sample Matrix: Water QC Matrix: Water BatchID: 53504 WorkOrder 1010062

EPA Method SW8021B/8015Bm				S	Spiked San	nple ID	: 1010062-0	02A				
Analyte	Sample	Spiked	MS	MSD	MS-MSD	LCS	LCSD LCS-LCSD Acceptance Criteria				Criteria (%)	
raidiyto	μg/L	μg/L	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	MS / MSD	RPD	LCS/LCSD	RPD
TPH(btexf)	ND	60	109	108	0.687	106	106	0	70 - 130	20	70 - 130	20
MTBE	ND	10	109	108	0.763	99.2	101	2.22	70 - 130	20	70 - 130	20
Benzene	ND	10	95.9	94.7	1.25	94.7	92.3	2.55	70 - 130	20	70 - 130	20
Toluene	ND	10	96.4	94.6	1.92	94.3	92.6	1.77	70 - 130	20	70 - 130	20
Ethylbenzene	ND	10	94.9	93.1	1.95	93	91.8	1.30	70 - 130	20	70 - 130	20
Xylenes	ND	30	97.7	95.3	2.51	95.8	94.1	1.71	70 - 130	20	70 - 130	20
%SS:	98	10	97	97	0	97	96	1.38	70 - 130	20	70 - 130	20

All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions: NONE

BATCH 53504 SUMMARY

Lab ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed
1010062-001A	09/30/10	10/07/10	10/07/10 7:46 AM	1010062-002A	09/30/10	10/06/10	10/06/10 5:51 PM
1010062-003A	09/30/10	10/07/10	10/07/10 8:15 AM	1010062-004A	09/30/10	10/06/10	10/06/10 6:21 PM
1010062-005A	09/30/10	10/06/10	10/06/10 5:45 PM	1010062-005A	09/30/10	10/08/10	10/08/10 6:31 PM

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.

% Recovery = 100 * (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 * (MS - MSD) / ((MS + MSD) / 2).


MS / MSD spike recoveries and / or %RPD may fall outside of laboratory acceptance criteria due to one or more of the following reasons: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) the spiked sample's matrix interferes with the spike recovery.

£ TPH(btex) = sum of BTEX areas from the FID.

cluttered chromatogram; sample peak coelutes with surrogate peak.

N/A = not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.

NR = matrix interference and/or analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds 2x spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content, or inconsistency in sample containers.



QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8015B

W.O. Sample Matrix: Water QC Matrix: Water BatchID: 53505 WorkOrder 1010062

EPA Method SW8015B	EPA Method SW8015B Extraction SW35100							s	piked Sample ID: N/A Acceptance Criteria (%) MS / MSD RPD LCS/LCSD RPD N/A N/A 70 - 130 30 N/A N/A 70 - 130 30			
Analyte	Sample	Spiked	MS	MSD	MS-MSD	LCS	LCSD	LCS-LCSD	Acc	eptance	Criteria (%)	1
rinaryto	μg/L	μg/L	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	MS / MSD	ceptance Criteria (%) RPD LCS/LCSD RPD N/A 70 - 130 30	RPD	
TPH-Diesel (C10-C23)	N/A	1000	N/A	N/A	N/A	112	113	0.799	N/A	N/A	70 - 130	30
%SS:	N/A	625	N/A	N/A	N/A	102	102	0	N/A	N/A	70 - 130	30

All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions: NONE

BATCH 53505 SUMMARY

Lab ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed
1010062-001B	09/30/10	10/04/10	10/06/10 4:29 PM	1010062-002B	09/30/10	10/04/10	10/08/10 12:36 AM
1010062-003B	09/30/10	10/04/10	10/06/10 5:33 AM	1010062-004B	09/30/10	10/04/10	10/05/10 10:54 AM
1010062-005B	09/30/10	10/04/10	10/06/10 4:22 AM				

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.

% Recovery = 100 * (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 * (MS - MSD) / ((MS + MSD) / 2).

MS / MSD spike recoveries and / or %RPD may fall outside of laboratory acceptance criteria due to one or more of the following reasons: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) the spiked sample's matrix interferes with the spike recovery.

N/A = not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.

NR = analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds 2x spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content.

