

RO 20; Rodding Cleaning Services
2588 Nicholson Street, San Leandro
Meeting May 19, 2011; 10:30 – 11:40

Meet with Tim Berger, Versar, and David Trotter, Bowles & Verna, LLP (Trust attorney), Donna Drogos
Notes by Mark Detterman

Principal point to meeting is to communicate lack of resources (funds) remaining in trust, want to proceed, but want to get the biggest bang for the buck. They have conducted another round of GWM, but have not uploaded to either County or Geotracker website (Dec 2010 sampling), but not all wells, but some useful data. Have not attempted to seek reimbursement, even though Trust removed USTs, so were full owners of tanks, have no employees, and have limited remaining funds; would likely be a Class B, or higher??, so a higher priority.

Discuss site data, significant areas we don't understand at site, i.e. "data gaps"; downgradient extent undefined (180 – 200 ft hole in well grid; discuss transect, bores maybe only to 10 – 12 ft?), huge soil TPH plume on site, former FP in MW-1 (where is it now?), potential conduit in upper 4 ft bgs on site toward south based on early data, no data on soil disposal manifests from UST excavation with vapor probes all described as pushed into low perm soil (even within former UST pit – so tank spoils?), recommended subslab vapor sampling for site next door, lack of vertical definition, and probably something else... Discussed various options that might be useable – excavation, DPE, SVE, chemical injection (direct oxidation to bio-stimulation, or both), all with a cost, it would be a consultant's job to ID the best and cheapest approach.

Tim will send plan of work to address these issues, with remaining funds; will upload gw report to both County and Geotracker sites. Again encourage them to talk to the USTCF. I promise to keep going, once I pick up a site, it's not too hard to get back into that data set, we're allowed 60 days, but with 145-ish cases as a caseload, it can unfortunately get longer.