

July 22, 2008

2:54 pm, Jul 24, 2008

RECEIVED

Alameda County Environmental Health

Mr. Barney Chan Hazardous Materials Specialist Alameda County Health Care Services Agency 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, 2nd Floor Alameda, CA 94502

RE: RO#0000010 and RO#0000187_First Semi-Annual 2008 Groundwater Monitoring and Remediation System Operation and Maintenance Report - Port of Oakland, 651 and 555 Maritime Street, Oakland, CA_2008-07-22

Dear Mr. Chan:

Please find enclosed the report entitled *First Semi-Annual 2008 Groundwater Monitoring and Remediation System Operation and Maintenance Report - Port of Oakland, 651 and 555 Maritime Street, Oakland, CA* ("*Report*") dated July 2008, prepared by Micro Search Environmental Corporation ("MSE Group") on behalf of the Port of Oakland ("Port"). This Report is being submitted in accordance with Alameda County Health Care Services Agency ("County") requirements, as specified in County letters dated March 23, 2006¹ and January 19, 2007.²

The Port has retained the MSE Group to perform groundwater monitoring and maintenance of the remediation system. Results of the first 2008 semi-annual sampling event are contained in the enclosed report. The next monitoring event will be performed during the November/December 2008 time frame. If you have any questions or comments regarding the results, please contact Jeff Rubin at (510) 627-1134.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the information and/or recommendations contained in the attached report prepared by the MSE Group are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. Please note that the report is stamped by a Registered Professional Engineer in the State of California.

L. Rubin, CPSS, REA

Port Associate Environmental Scientist

Environmental Programs and Planning

Sincerely,

Jeffrey R. Jones Supervisor Environmental Programs and Planning

Enclosure: noted

Cc (w encl.):

Michele Heffes James McCarty (Baseline Environmental)

Cc (w/o encl.):

John Turney (MSE Group) Yane Nordhav (Baseline Environmental)

530 Water Street Jack London Square P.O. Box 2064 Oakland, California 94604-2064 Telephone: (510) 627-1100 Facsimile: (510) 627-1826 Web Page: www.portofoakland.com D:\irubin\My Documents\AGENCIES\ACHCSA\2277 7th St Coy Ltrs\1st Semi-Annual 2008 Rent\1st Semi-An Coy Ltr 2008.DOC

¹ Letter from Mr. Barney Chan (County) to Mr. Jeff Rubin (Port), regarding *Fuel Leak Cases RO0000010* and RO0000185, 2277 and 2225 7th St., Oakland, CA 94607, dated March 23, 2006.

² Letter from Mr. Barney Chan (County) to Mr. Jeff Rubin (Port), regarding *Fuel Leak Cases RO0000010* and *RO0000185, 2277 and 2225 7th St., Oakland, CA 94607*, dated January 19, 2007.

First Semi-Annual 2008 Groundwater Monitoring and Remediation System Operation and Maintenance Report

651 and 555 Maritime Street Oakland, California

July 2008

Prepared on behalf of:

Port of Oakland 530 Water Street Oakland, California 94607

Prepared by:



302 Pendleton Way Oakland, CA 94621 Phone: 510.383.9600 Fax: 510.383.9300



July 22, 2008

Mr. Jeffrey L. Rubin, CPSS REA Associate Environmental Scientist Port of Oakland 530 Water Street Oakland, California 94607

Subject: First Semi-Annual 2008 Groundwater Monitoring and Remediation System Operation and Maintenance Report, Port of Oakland, 651 and 555 Maritime Street, Oakland, California

Dear Mr. Rubin:

Enclosed please find the First Semi-Annual 2008 Groundwater Monitoring and Remediation System Operation and Maintenance Report for 651 and 555 Maritime Street (formerly 2277 and 2225 Seventh Street, Alameda County Local Oversight Program case numbers RO0000010 and RO0000187, respectively). This report has been prepared for submittal to Alameda County Health Care Services, Department of Environmental Health (ACHCS) on behalf of the Port of Oakland (the Port) as required in ACHCS' letter to the Port dated March 23, 2006. The ACHCS requires semi-annual groundwater monitoring and reporting at these two parcels.

Since assuming operations of the product recovery system on January 1, 2008, the MSE Group (MSE) has continued to operate the product recovery system at the sites during this reporting period. The remediation system recovered approximately 65 gallons of free-phase product during the six month period from January 2008 through June 2008, and approximately 461 gallons since beginning operation on December 14, 2004.

If you have any questions or comments, please contact John Turney of MSE at (925) 787-8304.

Sincerely,

John H. Turney, P.E. Project Manager

Enclosure



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	FIELD ACTIVITIES	2
3.0	ANALYTICAL RESULTS	3
3.1	TPHg	3
3.2	BTEX and MTBE	3
3.3	TPHd and TPHmo	4
4.0	GROUNDWATER FLOW DIRECTION	4
5.0	QUALITY ANALYSIS AND QUALITY CONTROL	4
6.0	PRODUCT THICKNESS	5
7.0	PRODUCT RECOVERY SYSTEM SUMMARY	5
8.0	ORC TREATMENT – MW-4	6
9.0	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	6
10.0	REFERENCES	7
11.0	LIMITATIONS	7

List of Figures

List of Tables

- Table 1 Groundwater Analytical Results June 2008
- Table 2 Groundwater Elevation June 2008
- Table 3 Product Thickness Measurements and Operations and Maintenance Activities -January through June 2008

List of Appendices

- Appendix A Groundwater Sampling Forms
- Appendix B Laboratory Analytical Report
- Appendix C Historical Groundwater Analytical and Elevation Data

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This July 2008 Semi-Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Remediation System Operation and Maintenance Report for 651 and 555 Maritime Street (formerly 2277 and 2225 Seventh Street) in Oakland, California (Site)¹ (Figure 1) has been prepared by the MSE Group (MSE) on behalf of the Port of Oakland (Port). This is the first semi-annual report for 2008, and includes the period from January through June of 2008. The Site has been impacted by petroleum releases from past operations of underground storage tanks (USTs) and the Alameda County Health Care Services (ACHCS) is providing regulatory oversight under the Local Oversight Program (LOP). The ACHCS LOP case number for 2277 Seventh Street is RO000010 and for 2225 Seventh Street RO0000187.

The Site encompasses approximately 13 acres. The Port developed the eight acres of the eastern portion of the Site in 2004 into the Harbor Facilities Complex with an address of 651 Maritime Street. The remaining five acres of the Site were redeveloped by the Port in 2006 into the Maritime Support Center with an address of 555 Maritime Street and is currently leased to Shippers Transport Express (STE) (Figure 2).

In 1993, Uribe and Associates (Uribe) removed four Port-owned USTs from 2277 Seventh Street. Uribe collected soil samples from beneath the tanks at the time of UST removal and submitted them for laboratory analyses. The laboratory reported that the soil contained petroleum hydrocarbons in the diesel and gasoline range, as well as benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX) compounds. Uribe also observed free-phase product on the groundwater within the excavation. In 1994, Uribe installed three groundwater monitoring wells at 2277 Seventh Street (MW-1 through MW-3) and in 1995 Alisto Engineering Group (Alisto) installed five additional wells (MW-4 through MW-8). Quarterly groundwater monitoring was initiated in 1996 in accordance with a workplan (Uribe, 1994) approved by ACHCS, dated 18 April 1995.

Former Port tenant Ringsby Terminals (formerly Dongary Investments) and/or its tenant owned and operated nine USTs at 2225 Seventh Street. One of the tanks in the cluster failed a tank integrity test in 1989 and National Environmental Service Company (NESCO) removed the UST in March 1990. During the UST removal, NESCO collected soil and groundwater samples from the excavation. Analytical results indicated the presence of diesel and BTEX. Ramcon Engineering and Environmental Contracting (RAMCON) removed seven of the USTs (six diesel and one bulk fuel oil) in 1992. RAMCON observed a hole in the bulk fuel tank and an unspecified petroleum product created a sheen on the groundwater in the excavation. During a separate event in 1992, RAMCON removed the remaining UST (a waste oil tank). Soil samples collected from that excavation indicated the presence of diesel, motor oil, benzene, xylenes, and polynuclear aromatic compounds (PAHs). A liquid sample collected from the excavation contained diesel product. In 1993, RAMCON installed three groundwater monitoring wells

¹ The Site has been referred to in the past as the "Shippers" and "Ringsby" sites, based on the Port tenants occupying the site at the time of release discoveries. In addition, prior to site redevelopment in 2004, the site was referred to as 2277 and 2225 Seventh Street; the Site addresses after redevelopment are 651 and 555 Maritime Street.

(MW-1 through MW-3) at the 2225 Seventh Street site and in 1994 quarterly groundwater monitoring began, as required by ACHCS.²

The impacted groundwater area consists of a co-mingled plume containing dissolved and freephase hydrocarbons in the diesel range (Figure 2). In addition, MW-4 on the 2277 Seventh Street parcel has historically contained dissolved hydrocarbons in the gasoline range.

In 1996, the Port installed a remediation system at 2277 Seventh Street to recover the free-phase product. The free product recovery system was operated until it was removed in 2003. Removal of this product recovery system was approved by the ACHCS on 27 March 2003, with the stipulation that a new free product recovery system should be installed. In 1998, Harding Lawson Associates abandoned MW-8 to make possible the expansion of the railroad tracks north of 2277 Seventh Street and a replacement well, MW-8A, was installed in 2001. To facilitate the construction of the new Harbor Facilities Complex, groundwater monitoring wells MW-6 and MW-7 at 2277 Seventh Street and MW-1, MW-2, and MW-3 at 2225 Seventh Street were abandoned in 2002.

The Port has monitored groundwater quality at the Site since 1994. MSE, on the behalf of the Port, currently monitors groundwater quality using a network of six groundwater monitoring wells: MW-1, MW-2, MW-3, MW-4, MW-5, and MW-8A (Figure 2). The ACHCS approved a modification of the groundwater monitoring frequency from quarterly to semi-annually in a letter to the Port dated 23 March 2006. The first semi-annual monitoring event occurred on 28 July 2006. The ACHCS also approved the use of Oxygen Releasing Compound[™] (ORC) socks in MW-4 in that same letter. The ORC increases the dissolved oxygen (DO) concentration in groundwater and stimulates aerobic bio-degradation of the petroleum hydrocarbons reported in the groundwater at that location.

2.0 FIELD ACTIVITIES

On May 30, 2008, approximately one week prior to conducting semi-annual groundwater monitoring, MSE removed the ORC socks from MW-4 that had been placed in MW-4 following the November 2007 semi-annual groundwater monitoring event.

On June 5, 2008, MSE measured the depth to groundwater (and product, if present) from the top of the well casing (TOC) to the nearest one-hundredth of a foot in the monitoring wells using a dual-phase interface probe. MSE decontaminated the dual-phase interface probe after each use by washing with an AlconoxTM and water solution and then triple rinsing with deionized water.

MSE detected measurable free-phase product in monitoring wells MW-1 and MW-3; therefore, groundwater samples were not collected from these wells.

Prior to sampling, MSE purged monitoring wells MW-2, MW-4, MW-5, and MW-8A of at least three well casing volumes of groundwater using a peristaltic pump equipped with new disposable

² Letter from ACHCS to Dongary Investments dated 26 July 1994.

polyethylene and silicon tubing. Purging continued until the electrical conductivity, pH, DO, oxidation and reduction potential, and temperature of the groundwater had stabilized. The monitoring details for each well are provided on the groundwater sampling forms in Appendix A.

MSE collected groundwater samples from MW-2, MW-4, MW-5, and MW-8A using a peristaltic pump with the intake of the tubing placed a foot from the bottom of the well. A duplicate sample was collected from MW-4. MSE decanted the groundwater samples directly into certified-clean containers from the discharge end of the tubing. MSE labeled the sample containers with sample location, date, and time and then stored the samples in a cooler containing ice. The water samples were submitted to Curtis and Tompkins, Ltd. (C&T) – a California certified analytical laboratory – under chain-of-custody protocol and requested the following analyses:

- Total purgeable petroleum hydrocarbons in the gasoline range (TPHg) in accordance with United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Method 8015M;
- Total petroleum hydrocarbons in the diesel (TPHd) and motor oil (TPHmo) range in accordance with EPA Method 8015M with silica gel cleanup; and
- BTEX and methyl tertiary-butyl ether (MTBE) in accordance with EPA Method 8260B.

MSE generated approximately 20 gallons of purge water and decontamination water during the monitoring event. MSE placed the purge water into a 55-gallon drum, which was labeled with the Port's contact information and stored in a hazardous material storage locker located within Harbor Facilities Complex. The Port's environmental services contractor will arrange for proper purge water disposal.

3.0 ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Analytical results for the groundwater samples collected in June 2008 are summarized on Figure 3 and Table 1. The laboratory analytical reports are provided in Appendix B. Historical analytical results for the Site, including samples collected by others, are summarized in Appendix C, Table C-2.

3.1 TPHg

The laboratory reported TPHg in the groundwater sample from monitoring well MW-4 at a concentration of 67 micrograms per liter (μ g/L) (91 μ g/L was reported in the duplicate sample). The laboratory report indicated that the sample exhibited a chromatographic pattern that does not match the gasoline standard. The laboratory did not report TPHg above the reporting limit in any of the groundwater samples from the other monitoring wells.

3.2 BTEX and MTBE

The laboratory reported benzene in the groundwater sample from MW-4 at a concentration of 14 μ g/L (15 μ g/L was reported in the duplicate sample). The laboratory did not report any BTEX constituents above the reporting limits in any of the samples from the other sampled monitoring

wells. The laboratory did not report any MTBE above the reporting limit in any of the collected groundwater samples.

3.3 TPHd and TPHmo

The laboratory did not identify TPHd and TPHmo in any of the groundwater samples collected from the monitoring well network above laboratory reporting limits.

4.0 GROUNDWATER FLOW DIRECTION

MSE used surveyed elevations of the top of each groundwater monitoring well casing and the measured depth to groundwater to calculate the groundwater elevation and flow direction.

The groundwater elevation and product thickness data are summarized in Table 2. Product thickness is discussed in more detail below. Groundwater contours for June 2008 are presented on Figure 4. The groundwater flow direction at the time of measurement was toward the northeast at a gradient of 0.007 foot/foot. Historical groundwater and product levels for the Site are included in Appendix C, Table C-1.

5.0 QUALITY ANALYSIS AND QUALITY CONTROL

MSE collected a field duplicate sample from monitoring well MW-4 (MW-4Dup) to check sample collection procedures and an equipment blank (QC-EB) to check the sample equipment as a possible source of contaminants. Groundwater samples were stored with a trip blank (QC-TB) prepared by C&T until delivered to the laboratory to check for cross-contamination. MW-4Dup and the equipment blank were analyzed for TPHd, TPHg, and BTEX. The trip blank as analyzed for volatile analytes TPHg and BTEX only.

The analytical laboratory reported concentrations of TPHg and benzene in groundwater samples from both MW-4 and MW-4Dup. The relative percent difference (RPD) between the original and the duplicate sample was six and zero percent for TPHg and benzene, respectively:

TPHg RPD |91-67|/[(91+67)/2] = 30%

Benzene RPD |15-14|/[(15+14)/2] = 7%

The RPD for TPHg is greater than the analytical laboratory's allowable RPD for matrix spike duplicates (20%), while the RPD for benzene is less than the analytical laboratory's maximum allowable RPD for matrix spike duplicates (20%). This indicates that the sample collection methodology may not have been as good as it could have been.

MSE prepared an equipment blank by transferring deionized water into sample containers using the same technique as was used to collect groundwater samples. The laboratory did not report any TPHg, TPHmo, BTEX, or MTBE in the equipment blank prepared by MSE, but did report TPHd, which was not detected in any other sample. Upon questioning the sample technician, this may have come from shrink wrap around the dionized water bottle that may have become contaminated from material at the site.

C&T prepared a trip blank as a quality control water sample prepared by an analytical laboratory using deionized water. The QC-TB was stored in a cooler to accompany groundwater samples from collection to transport to the laboratory. The laboratory did not report any TPHg, BTEX, or MTBE in the trip blank, indicating that the groundwater samples were not compromised from sample preservation, transportation, storage, and analysis.

MSE also reviewed the laboratory data for completeness and accuracy (see Quality Control Checklist in Appendix B). All of the laboratory QA/QC goals were met, with the exception of high surrogate recoveries were observed for bromofluorobenzene (FID) and trifluorotoluene (FID) in the MS/MSD for batch QA/QC 139097 and the LCS/MS/MSD for batch QA/QC 139140. MW-5 (lab # 203794-003) was analyzed with more than 1 milliliter (mL) of headspace in the VOA vial.

Based on the above QA/QC evaluation, MSE considers the data collected during the first semiannual 2008 groundwater monitoring event sufficiently valid to provide a reasonable representation of Site conditions.

6.0 **PRODUCT THICKNESS**

MSE measured product thickness in monitoring wells MW-1 and MW-3 during the groundwater monitoring event on June 5, 2008. Product thickness in MW-1 was measured at 0.10 foot and in MW-3 at 1.45 foot (Table 2). Product has been removed from MW-3 in January and February 2008 using a peristaltic pump and polyethylene tubing as part of O&M activities. The product thickness in MW-3 has ranged from approximately 0.45 to 1.25 feet from January to June 2008 (Table 3). MSE placed product recovered from MW-3 in a 500-gallon concrete encased aboveground storage tank (Convault).

Product has also been observed in product recovery wells RW-1, RW-3, RW-4, RW-5, RW-6, RW-7, RW-8, and RW-9. RW-1 typically only contains a sheen. No product has been observed in RW-2. The observed area of free-phase product is shown on Figure 2.

7.0 PRODUCT RECOVERY SYSTEM SUMMARY

The Port installed the Free Product Recovery (FPR) system at the Harbor Facilities Complex in 2004 as required by the ACHCS in a letter dated 27 March 2003. The FPR system includes nine recovery wells, RW-1 through RW-9 (Figure 2). The Port installed a utility box around each recovery well wellhead, which includes plumbing for the airline, product discharge line, and a vacuum line. The Port operates six air-actuated skimmer pumps manufactured by Xitech Instruments, Inc. in the nine recovery wells. The placement of skimmer pumps depends on where free-phase product is detected. A programmable controller is used to set the frequency and duration that each skimmer pump runs. The skimmers discharge recovered product into a 500-gallon Convault equipped with primary and secondary containment. The Convault is also equipped with a sensor that activates a warning light and shuts off air supply to the skimmers if the tank is full.

MSE measured the product level in the recovery wells and checked the position of the pumps in the wells during the first six months of 2008. MSE adjusted the skimmer pumps depth, changed filters, and cleaned the skimmer pumps as necessary. Adjustments were made to the frequency and duration of operation for each skimmer pump. A summary of the operations and maintenance activities are included in Table 3.

In early June 2007, the product recovery system was upgraded to include application of low vacuum on the wellheads to improve product recovery. Inducing a vacuum on the wellhead results in an air discharge containing petroleum vapors, which are treated by two vessels arranged in series containing 1,000 pounds of vapor-phase granular activated carbon (GAC), each. Treatment and discharge conditions are provided in a Permit-to-Operate from the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD).

Prior to enhancement of the product recovery system with the installation of the low-vacuum blower, approximately 178 gallons of product were removed in 32 months (December 2004 through July 2007). After installation of the blower, an additional 283 gallons of product were recovered in eleven months (August 2007 through June 2008). A total of 461 gallons of product have been recovered since operation of the new product recovery system began.

8.0 ORC TREATMENT – MW-4

On May 30, 2008, seven days before groundwater monitoring was performed at the site, MSE removed the ORC sock from MW-4. The DO concentration measured in MW-4 during groundwater monitoring on June 5, 2008, was 0.7 mg/L. The DO level in MW-4 was similar to other wells by the time the samples were collected (MW-2 was 0.8 mg/L, MW-5 was 0.7 mg/L, and MW-8A was 0.8 mg/L at the time sampled). The laboratory reported TPHg at 67 μ g/L in the groundwater sample from MW-4, down from 100 μ g/L in June 2007 and 300 μ g/L in November 2006 (Appendix C). The laboratory reported benzene at 14 μ g/L in the groundwater sample from MW-4, up from 10 μ g/L in June 2007 and down from 42 μ g/L in November 2006 (Appendix C). Following sampling on June 5, 2008, a new ORC sock was placed in MW-4.

9.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The results from the first semi-annual 2008 groundwater monitoring event indicated that the free-phase product plume is stable; free-phase product was confined to the wells that historically contained free product; MW-1 and MW-3. Dissolved TPHd and TPHmo were not reported in any of the groundwater samples collected in June 2008, demonstrating the limited mobility of the free-phase product in the subsurface.

Reported concentrations of TPHg and benzene are confined to groundwater samples from MW-4 and the results indicate the concentrations are stable. The TPHg and benzene levels are well below the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board's (RWQCB) Environmental Screening Levels (ESL) for commercial/industrial land uses where groundwater is not a drinking water source (RWQCB, 2007).³

 $^{^3}$ The ESL for TPHg is 500 $\mu g/L$ and the ESL for benzene is 870 $\mu g/L.$

Petroleum hydrocarbons, or petroleum hydrocarbon constituents such as BTEX, have not been reported in the groundwater samples from MW-2 since 16 December 2004, from MW-5 since 28 July 2006, and in MW-8A since 28 July 2006 (Appendix C, Table C-2). Based on the fact that dissolved-phase petroleum hydrocarbons in the groundwater do not appear to be migrating beyond the area of the free-phase product plume, it is recommended that the frequency of groundwater monitoring be reduced to annual. The Port will continue to recover free-phase product and monitor product thickness in the recovery wells. Contingent on approval from the ACHCS, the groundwater sampling would be performed annually during June or July each year with an Annual Groundwater Monitoring and O&M Report submitted to the ACHCS by the first week of September.

10.0 REFERENCES

RWQCB, 2007; California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region; 2007; Screening For Environmental Concerns at Sites with Contaminated Soil and Groundwater, Interim Final, November.

Uribe, 1994; Uribe and Associates, 1994, Port of Oakland Building C-401, 2277 7th Street, Oakland, Report of Underground Storage Tank Removals, Appendix G – Workplan for Additional Site Characterization Activities, 23 February.

11.0 LIMITATIONS

The conclusions presented in this report are professional opinions based on the indicated data described in this report. They are intended only for the purpose, site, and project indicated. Opinions and recommendations presented herein apply to site conditions existing at the time of our study. Changes in the conditions of the subject property can occur with time, because of natural processes or the works of man, on the subject sites or on adjacent properties. Changes in applicable standards can also occur as the result of legislation or from the broadening of knowledge. Accordingly, the findings of this report may be invalidated, wholly or in part, by changes beyond our control.

FIGURES









TABLES

Table 1: Groundwater Analytical Results - June 2008Port of Oakland651 and 555 Maritime StreetOakland, California

Monitoring Well	Date	TPHg μg/L	TPHd μg/L	TPHmo μg/L	Benzene μg/L	Toluene μg/L	Ethylbenzene µg/L	Total Xylenes μg/L	MTBE μg/L
MW-2 MW-4 MW-4 dup MW-5 MW-8A QC-EB QC-TB	6/5/2008 6/5/2008 6/5/2008 6/5/2008 6/5/2008 6/5/2008 6/5/2008	<50 67 Y 91 Y <50 <50 <50 <50	<50 <50 <50 <50 300 Y NA	<300 <300 <300 <300 <300 <300 NA	<0.5 14 15 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5	<0.5 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5	<0.5 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5	<0.5 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5	<0.5 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5

Notes:

See Figure 3 for monitoring well locations and concentrations.

 μ g/L = micrograms per liter.

TPHg = total petroleum hydrocarbons in gasoline range.

TPHd = total petroleum hydrocarbons in diesel range.

TPHmo = total petroleum hydrocarbons in motor oil range.

MTBE = methyl tert-butyl ether.

QC-EB = equipment blank quality control sample.

QC-TB = trip blank quality control sample.

<xx = not detected by the laboratory above the reporting limit, the value following the less than sign.

Bold indicates the analyte was reported above the laboratory reporting limit.

NA = not analyzed.

Y = sample exhibits a chromatographic pattern that does not resemble the standard.

Table 2: Groundwater Elevation - June 2008Port of Oakland651 and 555 Maritime StreetOakland, California

Monitoring Well	Date Measured	Top of Casing Elevation ¹	Depth to Product	Depth to Water	Product Thickness	Groundwater Elevation ¹
	1	(feet)	(feet btc)	(feet btc)	(feet)	(feet)
MW-1	6/5/2008	15.79	11.36	11.46	0.10	4.33
MW-2	6/5/2008	16.42	NP	12.01		4.41
MW-3	6/5/2008	15.65	10.51	11.96	1.45	3.69
MW-4	6/5/2008	15.90	NP	11.67		4.23
MW-5	6/5/2008	15.39	NP	9.74		5.65
MW-8A	6/5/2008	14.98	NP	11.45		3.53

Notes:

See Figure 4 for monitoring well locations and groundwater contour.

NP = no product detected with the interface probe.

-- = no measurable product in the well.

btc = below top of the well casing.

NAVD 88 = North American Vertical Datum of 1988.

¹ Elevation data relative to NAVD 88 datum.

Site Visit Date:	1/4/2008									
Recovery Well	Depth to Product (feet)	Depth to Water (feet)	Product Thickness (feet)	Cycles/Period and Duration	Vacuum (in. H ₂ O)				Comments	
RW-1					Off					
RW-2					Off					
RW-3	10.90	11.83	0.93	P=7, D=10	Off					
RW-4	10.20	10.44	0.24	P=1, D=10	Off					
RW-5	8.79	8.89	0.10	Off	Off					
RW-6	9.20	9.36	0.16	C=3, D=15	Off					
RW-7	8.49	8.88	0.39	C=4, D=15	Off					
RW-8	9.70	10.00	0.30	P=1, D=10	Off					
RW-9	10.69	11.00	0.31	P=7, D=15	Off					
MW-3	10.75	11.41	0.66		Off					
Depth to produce Approximate to PID Readings o	Depth to product in Convault 1.12 Approximate total volume recovered 353 PID Readings on vapor: Inlet: 40.8				Depth to wa Midpoint:	ter in Convault 0 pp	1.28 fe mv	eet Final:	Volume of Product in Convault 0 ppmv	42 gallons

Site Visit Date:	1/11/2008									
	Depth to	Depth to	Product							
	Product	Water	Thickness	Cycles/Period	Vacuum				Comments	
Recovery Well	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	and Duration	(in. H ₂ O)					
RW-1					Off					
RW-2					Off					
RW-3	10.94	11.81	0.87	P=7, D=10	Off					
RW-4	10.19	10.47	0.28	P=1, D=10	Off					
RW-5	8.69	8.80	0.11	Off	Off					
RW-6	9.25	9.38	0.13	C=3, D=15	Off					
RW-7	8.46	8.88	0.42	C=4, D=15	Off					
RW-8	9.75	10.05	0.30	P=1, D=10	Off					
RW-9	10.68	10.79	0.11	P=1, P=7, D=15	Off					
MW-3	10.70	11.95	1.25		Off	Recovered 3 gal. of	product.			
Depth to produc	Depth to product in Convault 1.13 feet					iter in Convault	1.29 feet		Volume of Product in Convault	42 gallons
Approximate to	Approximate total volume recovered 351 gallons		gallons	·					5	
PID Readings or	n vapor:	Inlet:	40	ppmv	Midpoint	: 0 ppmv	F	inal:	0 ppmv	

Site Visit Date:	1/18/2008									
Recovery Well	Depth to Product (feet)	Depth to Water (feet)	Product Thickness (feet)	Cycles/Period and Duration	Vacuum (in. H ₂ O)				Comments	
RW-1					Off					
RW-2					Off					
RW-3	10.90	11.86	0.96	P=7, D=10	Off					
RW-4	10.21	10.49	0.28	P=1, D=10	Off					
RW-5	8.70	8.81	0.11	Off	Off					
RW-6	9.29	9.36	0.07	C=3, D=15	Off					
RW-7	8.50	8.86	0.36	C=4, D=15	Off					
RW-8	9.79	10.15	0.36	P=1, D=10	Off					
RW-9	10.66	10.72	0.06	P=7, D=15	Off					
MW-3	10.79	11.98	1.19		Off					
Depth to produce Approximate tot	ct in Convai tal volume i	ult recovered	1.13 351 48	feet gallons	Depth to wa	ter in Convault	1.27 fee	et Final:	Volume of Product in Convault	37 gallons
PID Readings of	n vapor:	Inlet:	48	ppmv	Midpoint:	0 pp	mv	Final:	0 ppmv	

Site Visit Date:	1/25/2008								
	Depth to	Depth to	Product						
	Product	Water	Thickness	Cycles/Period	Vacuum			Comments	
Recovery Well	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	and Duration	(in. H ₂ O)				
RW-1					Off				
RW-2					Off				
RW-3	10.87	11.88	1.01	P=7, D=10	Off				
RW-4	10.24	10.51	0.27	P=1, D=10	Off				
RW-5	8.71	8.79	0.08	Off	Off				
RW-6	9.24	9.33	0.09	C=3, D=15	Off				
RW-7	8.47	8.81	0.34	C=4, D=15	Off				
RW-8	9.74	10.18	0.44	P=1, D=10	Off				
RW-9	10.69	10.79	0.10	P=7, D=15	Off				
MW-3	10.94	11.90	0.96		Off				
Depth to produc Approximate tot	t in Conva al volume i	ult ecovered		feet gallons	Depth to wat	ter in Convault	feet	Volume of Product in Convault	 gallons
PID Readings or	n vapor:	Inlet:	48	ppmv	Midpoint:	0 ppmv	Final:	0 ppmv	

Site Visit Date:	2/1/2008									
	Depth to	Depth to	Product							
	Product	Water	Thickness	Cycles/Period	Vacuum				Comments	
Recovery Well	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	and Duration	(in. H ₂ O)					
RW-1					Off					
RW-2					Off					
RW-3	10.77	11.91	1.14	P=7, D=10	Off					
RW-4	9.79	9.95	0.16	P=1, D=10	Off					
RW-5	8.00	8.17	0.17	Off	Off					
RW-6	8.24	8.41	0.17	C=3, D=15	Off					
RW-7	8.12	8.45	0.33	C=4, D=15	Off					
RW-8	9.71	10.00	0.29	P=1, D=10	Off					
RW-9	10.71	11.05	0.34	P=7, D=15	Off					
MW-3	10.86	11.91	1.05		Off	Unable to pump.				
Depth to produce Approximate to	t in Conva al volume i	ult recovered	1.77 183	feet gallons	Depth to wa	ter in Convault	1.95 fee	t	Volume of Product in Convault	47 gallons
PID Readings of	n vapor:	Inlet:	40	ppmv	Midpoint:	0 ppm	IV	Final:	0 ppmv	

Site Visit Date:	2/8/2008									
	Depth to	Depth to	Product							
	Product	Water	Thickness	Cycles/Period	Vacuum				Comments	
Recovery Well	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	and Duration	(in. H ₂ O)					
RW-1					Off					
RW-2					Off					
RW-3	10.70	11.94	1.24	P=7, D=10	Off					
RW-4	9.77	9.94	0.17	P=1, D=10	Off					
RW-5	8.20	8.36	0.16	Off	Off					
RW-6	8.21	8.38	0.17	C=3, D=15	Off					
RW-7	8.10	8.33	0.23	C=4, D=15	Off					
RW-8	8.65	8.74	0.09	P=1, D=10	Off					
RW-9	9.69	9.95	0.26	P=7, D=15	Off					
MW-3	10.71	11.20	0.49		Off					
Depth to produc Approximate to	pepth to product in Convault feet						feet	Vo	lume of Product in Convault	 gallons
PID Readings or	n vapor:	Inlet:	40	ppmv	Midpoint:		0 ppmv	Final:	0 ppmv	

Site Visit Date:	2/15/2008									
Recovery Well	Depth to Product (feet)	Depth to Water (feet)	Product Thickness (feet)	Cycles/Period and Duration	Vacuum (in. H ₂ O)				Comments	
RW-1					Off					
RW-2					Off					
RW-3	10.71	11.86	1.15	P=7, D=10	Off					
RW-4	9.65	9.88	0.23	P=1, D=10	Off					
RW-5	8.81	8.89	0.08	Off	Off					
RW-6	8.23	8.41	0.18	C=3, D=15	Off					
RW-7	8.10	8.33	0.23	C=4, D=15	Off					
RW-8	8.71	8.78	0.07	P=1, D=10	Off					
RW-9	8.95	9.34	0.39	P=7, D=15	Off					
MW-3	10.79	11.24	0.45		Off					
Depth to produce Approximate to PID Readings of	t in Convai al volume i n vapor:	ult recovered	feet gallons	Depth to wa	er in Convault -	feet	Final:	Volume of Product in Convault	 gallons	

Site Visit Date:	2/25/2008								
	Depth to	Depth to	Product						
	Product	Water	Thickness	Cycles/Period	Vacuum			Comments	
Recovery Well	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	and Duration	(in. H ₂ O)				
RW-1					Off				
RW-2					Off				
RW-3	9.41	13.25	3.84	P=7, D=10	Off				
RW-4	9.25	9.95	0.70	P=1, D=10	Off				
RW-5				Off	Off	Unable to check - true	ck parked on top		
RW-6	8.12	8.20	0.08	C=3, D=15	Off				
RW-7	7.15	7.33	0.18	C=4, D=15	Off				
RW-8	7.25	7.55	0.30	P=1, D=10	Off	Need to fix petcock.			
RW-9	9.85	9.95	0.10	P=7, D=15	Off				
MW-3					Off	Truck parked on top.	Returned to site	2/26 to pump well. Removed 17 gal.	
Depth to produc Approximate to	t in Conva tal volume i	ult recovered	1.80 175	feet gallons	Depth to wa	ter in Convault	2 feet	Volume of Product in Convault	52 gallons
PID Readings or	n vapor:	Inlet:	40.6	ppmv	Midpoint:	0 ppmv	Final:	0 ppmv	

Site Visit Date:	2/28/2008									
Recovery Well	Depth to Product (feet)	Depth to Water (feet)	Product Thickness (feet)	Cycles/Period and Duration	Vacuum (in. H ₂ O)				Comments	
RW-1					Off					
RW-2					Off					
RW-3	10.20	11.40	1.20	P=7, D=10	Off					
RW-4	9.29	9.95	0.66	P=1, D=10	Off					
RW-5	7.84	8.66	0.82	Off	Off					
RW-6	8.80	8.90	0.10	C=3, D=15	Off					
RW-7	7.29	7.56	0.27	C=4, D=15	Off					
RW-8	7.22	7.56	0.34	P=1, D=10	Off					
RW-9	9.80	9.95	0.15	P=7, D=15	Off					
MW-3	10.39	11.55	1.16		Off					
Depth to produc Approximate to PID Readings o	ct in Convai tal volume i n vapor:	ult recovered Inlet:	1.80 175 40.7	feet gallons ppmv	Depth to wa Midpoint:	ter in Convault 0 pp	2.00 fe mv	eet Final:	Volume of Product in Convault 0 ppmv	52 gallons

Site Visit Date:	3/7/2008									
	Depth to	Depth to	Product							
	Product	Water	Thickness	Cycles/Period	Vacuum				Comments	
Recovery Well	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	and Duration	(in. H ₂ O)					
RW-1					Off					
RW-2					Off					
RW-3	10.27	12.15	1.88	P=7, D=10	Off					
RW-4		9.57		P=1, D=10	Off					
RW-5	8.20	8.75	0.55	Off	Off					
RW-6	8.00	8.15	0.15	C=3, D=15	Off					
RW-7	7.65	7.70	0.05	C=4, D=15	Off					
RW-8	8.30	8.35	0.05	P=1, D=10	Off					
RW-9	8.10	8.32	0.22	P=7, D=15	Off					
MW-3					Off	Truck parked on to	p of well.			
Depth to produc Approximate to	t in Conva tal volume i	ult recovered	1.79 178	feet gallons	Depth to wa	ater in Convault	1.91 feet		Volume of Product in Convault	31 gallons
PID Readings o	n vapor:	Inlet:	40	ppmv	Midpoint:	: 0 ppm	v	Final:	0 ppmv	

Site Visit Date:	3/12/2008									
	Depth to	Depth to	Product							
	Product	Water	Thickness	Cycles/Period	Vacuum				Comments	
Recovery Well	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	and Duration	(in. H ₂ O)					
RW-1					Off					
RW-2					Off					
RW-3	10.22	12.19	1.97	P=7, D=10	Off					
RW-4	9.77	9.80	0.03	P=1, D=10	Off					
RW-5	8.21	8.79	0.58	Off	Off					
RW-6	8.01	8.14	0.13	C=3, D=15	Off					
RW-7	7.66	7.74	0.08	C=4, D=15	Off					
RW-8	7.21	7.39	0.18	P=1, D=10	Off					
RW-9	8.14	8.30	0.16	P=7, D=15	Off					
MW-3					Off	Truck parked on	top of well.			
Depth to produc Approximate to	ct in Convai tal volume i	ult recovered	1.79 178	feet gallons	Depth to wa	ter in Convault	1.91 fe	et	Volume of Product in Convault	31 gallons
PID Readings of	n vapor:	Inlet:	40.6	ppmv	Midpoint:	0 ррі	mv	Final:	0 ppmv	

Site Visit Date:	3/21/2008					
	Depth to	Depth to	Product			
	Product	Water	Thickness	Cycles/Period	Vacuum	Comments
Recovery Well	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	and Duration	(in. H ₂ O)	
RW-1					Off	
RW-2					Off	
RW-3	10.48	11.95	1.47	P=7, D=10	Off	
RW-4	9.78	9.81	0.03	P=1, D=10	Off	
RW-5	8.29	8.49	0.20	Off	Off	
RW-6	8.80	9.20	0.40	C=3, D=15	Off	
RW-7	8.10	8.29	0.19	C=4, D=15	Off	
RW-8	9.29	7.44	-1.85	P=1, D=10	Off	
RW-9	8.20	8.35	0.15	P=7, D=15	Off	
MW-3					Off	Truck parked on top of well.
Depth to produc Approximate to	t in Conva al volume i	ult recovered	1.79 178	feet gallons	Depth to wa	ter in Convault 1.91 feet Volume of Product in Convault 31 gallons
PID Readings of	n vapor:	Inlet:	40.6	ppmv	Midpoint:	: 0 ppmv Final: 0 ppmv

Site Visit Date:	3/28/2008									
	Depth to	Depth to	Product							
	Product	Water	Thickness	Cycles/Period	Vacuum			Comments		
Recovery Well	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	and Duration	(in. H ₂ O)					
RW-1					Off					
RW-2					Off					
RW-3	10.55	11.75	1.20	P=7, D=10	Off					
RW-4	9.79	9.82	0.03	P=1, D=10	Off					
RW-5	8.30	8.50	0.20	Off	Off					
RW-6	8.80	9.25	0.45	C=3, D=15	Off					
RW-7	8.15	8.20	0.05	C=4, D=15	Off					
RW-8	9.30	9.40	0.10	P=1, D=10	Off					
RW-9	10.04	10.25	0.21	P=7, D=15	Off	Product in line, Station #2.				
MW-3					Off	Truck parked on top of well.				
Depth to produce Approximate to	et in Convai tal volume i	ult ecovered	1.78 181	feet gallons	Depth to wa	ter in Convault 1.91 fee	t	Volume of Product	in Convault	34 gallons
PID Readings of	n vapor:	Inlet:	21.9	ppmv	iviidpoint:	U ppmv	Final:	u ppmv	Flowrate:	44 CFM

Site Visit Date:	4/4/2008	1/2008											
	Depth to	Depth to	Product										
	Product	Water	Thickness	Cycles/Period	Vacuum	Comments							
Recovery Well	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	and Duration	(in. H ₂ O)								
RW-1					Off								
RW-2					Off								
RW-3	10.83	11.69	0.86	P=7, D=10	Off								
RW-4	9.93	10.24	0.31	P=1, D=10	Off								
RW-5				Off	Off	Truck parked on well vault							
RW-6	8.31	9.15	0.84	C=3, D=15	Off								
RW-7	7.80	8.02	0.22	C=4, D=15	Off								
RW-8	8.99	9.10	0.11	P=1, D=10	Off								
RW-9	8.37	9.48	1.11	P=7, D=15	Off								
MW-3					Off	Truck parked on well vault							
Depth to produc	t in Conva	ult recovered	1.75 189	feet	Depth to wa	ater in Convault 1.91 feet Volume of Product in Convault 42 gallons							
PID Readings of	n vapor:	Inlet:	71.5	ppmv	Midpoint:	t: 0 ppmv Final: 0 ppmv							

Site Visit Date:	4/11/2008					
	Depth to	Depth to	Product			
	Product	Water	Thickness	Cycles/Period	Vacuum	Comments
Recovery Well	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	and Duration	(in. H ₂ O)	
RW-1					Off	
RW-2					Off	
RW-3	10.85	11.71	0.86	P=7, D=10	Off	
RW-4	9.95	10.26	0.31	P=1, D=10	Off	
RW-5				Off	Off	Truck parked on well vault
RW-6	8.33	9.17	0.84	C=3, D=15	Off	
RW-7	7.79	8.10	0.31	C=4, D=15	Off	
RW-8	8.95	9.09	0.14	P=1, D=10	Off	
RW-9	8.36	9.46	1.10	P=7, D=15	Off	
MW-3	10.77	11.54	0.77		Off	
Depth to produc Approximate to PID Readings or	t in Conva al volume i n vapor:	ult recovered Inlet:	1.77 183 68	feet gallons ppmv	Depth to wa Midpoint:	ter in Convault 1.91 feet Volume of Product in Convault 37 gallons

Site Visit Date:	4/18/2008									
	Depth to	Depth to	Product							
	Product	Water	Thickness	Cycles/Period	Vacuum				Comments	
Recovery Well	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	and Duration	(in. H ₂ O)					
RW-1					Off					
RW-2					Off					
RW-3	10.85	11.70	0.85	P=7, D=10	Off					
RW-4	10.00	10.25	0.25	P=1, D=10	Off					
RW-5				Off	Off	Truck parked on v	/ell vault			
RW-6	8.33	9.17	0.84	C=3, D=15	11	Product in line				
RW-7	7.75	7.80	0.05	C=4, D=15	15					
RW-8	8.98	9.10	0.12	P=1, D=10	14					
RW-9	8.35	9.42	1.07	P=7, D=15	Off					
MW-3	10.75	11.57	0.82		Off					
Depth to produc	t in Conva	ult	1.75	feet	Depth to wa	ter in Convault	1.90 fee [,]	t	Volume of Product in Conva	ult 39 gallons
Approximate to	al volume i	recovered	189	gallons	•					Ŭ
PID Readings or	n vapor:	Inlet:		ppmv	Midpoint:	0 ppn	۱V	Final:	0 ppmv	

Site Visit Date:	4/25/2008									
Recovery Well	Depth to Product (feet)	Depth to Water (feet)	Product Thickness (feet)	Cycles/Period and Duration	Vacuum (in. H ₂ O)				Comments	
RW-1					Off					
RW-2					Off					
RW-3	10.63	11.69	1.06	P=7, D=10	14					
RW-4	10.10	10.25	0.15	P=1, D=10	13					
RW-5				Off	Off					
RW-6	8.36	9.19	0.83	C=3, D=15	13					
RW-7	7.77	7.81	0.04	C=4, D=15	14					
RW-8	8.99	9.11	0.12	P=1, D=10	11					
RW-9	8.37	9.41	1.04	P=7, D=15	Off					
MW-3	10.77	11.55	0.78		Off					
Depth to produce Approximate to PID Readings o	ct in Convai tal volume i n vapor:	ult recovered Inlet:	1.70 202 77	feet gallons ppmv	Depth to wa Midpoint:	ter in Convault 0 pp	1.88 fe	eet Final:	Volume of Product in Convault 0 ppmv	47 gallons

Site Visit Date:	5/2/2008									
	Depth to	Depth to	Product							
	Product	Water	Thickness	Cycles/Period	Vacuum				Comments	
Recovery Well	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	and Duration	(in. H ₂ O)					
RW-1					Off					
RW-2					Off					
RW-3	10.64	11.66	1.02	P=7, D=10	14					
RW-4	10.09	10.23	0.14	P=1, D=10	13					
RW-5				Off	Off					
RW-6	8.33	9.17	0.84	C=3, D=15	13					
RW-7	7.75	7.81	0.06	C=4, D=15	14					
RW-8	8.89	9.16	0.27	P=1, D=10	11					
RW-9	8.34	9.41	1.07	P=7, D=15	Off					
MW-3	10.70	11.57	0.87		Off					
Depth to produc Approximate to	t in Conva tal volume i	ult ecovered	1.68 207	feet gallons	Depth to wat	er in Convault	1.87 fee	et	Volume of Product in Convault	50 gallons
PID Readings of	n vapor:	Inlet:	75	ppmv	Midpoint:	0 ppi	nv	Final:	0 ppmv	

Site Visit Date:	5/9/2008									
Recovery Well	Depth to Product (feet)	Depth to Water (feet)	Product Thickness (feet)	Cycles/Period and Duration	Vacuum (in. H ₂ O)				Comments	
RW-1					Off					
RW-2					Off					
RW-3	10.66	11.65	0.99	P=7, D=10	14					
RW-4	10.01	10.24	0.23	P=1, D=10	13					
RW-5				Off	Off					
RW-6	8.39	9.18	0.79	C=3, D=15	13					
RW-7	7.77	7.80	0.03	C=4, D=15	14					
RW-8	8.88	9.19	0.31	P=1, D=10	11					
RW-9	8.37	9.40	1.03	P=7, D=15	Off					
MW-3	10.77	11.57	0.80		Off					
Depth to produc Approximate to PID Readings o	ct in Conva tal volume i n vapor:	ult recovered Inlet:	1.68 207 75	feet gallons ppmv	Depth to wat	ter in Convault 0 pr	1.87 fe	eet Final:	Volume of Product in Convault 0 ppmv	50 gallons

Site Visit Date:	5/16/2008									
	Depth to	Depth to	Product							
	Product	Water	Thickness	Cycles/Period	Vacuum				Comments	
Recovery Well	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	and Duration	(in. H ₂ O)					
RW-1					Off					
RW-2					Off					
RW-3	10.66	11.65	0.99	P=7, D=10	14					
RW-4	10.10	10.22	0.12	P=1, D=10	13					
RW-5				Off	Off					
RW-6	8.41	9.17	0.76	C=3, D=15	13					
RW-7	7.78	7.83	0.05	C=4, D=15	14					
RW-8	8.86	9.15	0.29	P=1, D=10	11					
RW-9	8.35	9.46	1.11	P=7, D=15	Off					
MW-3	10.79	11.61	0.82		Off					
		-14	4.05	()	Denth (a sur		4.00 () (alumna of Drashuatin Oamaadh	44
Depth to produc	t in Convai	ult .	1.65	teet	Depth to war	er in Convault	1.82 fee	et	Volume of Product in Convault	44 gallons
Approximate to	al volume i	recovered	215	gallons						
PID Readings of	n vapor:	Inlet:	77	ppmv	Midpoint:	0 ppr	nv	Final:	0 ppmv	

Site Visit Date:	5/23/2008										
	Depth to	Depth to	Product								
	Product	Water	Thickness	Cycles/Period	Vacuum				Comments		
Recovery Well	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	and Duration	(in. H ₂ O)						
RW-1					Off						
RW-2					Off						
RW-3	10.64	11.62	0.98	P=7, D=10	14						
RW-4	10.13	10.19	0.06	P=1, D=10	13						
RW-5				Off	Off						
RW-6	8.39	9.20	0.81	C=3, D=15	13						
RW-7	7.81	7.87	0.06	C=4, D=15	14						
RW-8	8.89	9.18	0.29	P=1, D=10	11						
RW-9	8.33	9.43	1.10	P=7, D=15	Off						
MW-3	10.80	11.69	0.89		Off						
Depth to product in Convault1.60 feetApproximate total volume recovered228 gallons			Depth to water in Convault 1.81 feet			t	Volume of Product in Convault	55 gallons			
PID Readings of	n vapor:	Inlet:	86	ppmv	Midpoint:	0 ppm	V	Final:	0 ppmv		

Site Visit Date:	5/30/2008										
	Depth to	Depth to	Product								
	Product	Water	Thickness	Cycles/Period	Vacuum				Comments		
Recovery Well	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	and Duration	(in. H ₂ O)						
RW-1					Off						
RW-2					Off						
RW-3	10.96	11.00	0.04	P=7, D=10	10						
RW-4	10.67	11.19	0.52	P=2, D=10	12						
RW-5				Off	Off						
RW-6	8.71	10.29	1.58	C=2, D=15	11						
RW-7	8.10	9.33	1.23	P=1, D=10	11						
RW-8	9.30	10.55	1.25	P=1, D=10	12						
RW-9	9.89	11.17	1.28	P=5, D=10	10						
MW-3	10.85	11.77	0.92		Off						
Depth to product in Convault 2.30 feet				Depth to wa	ter in Convault	2.32 fe	et	Volume of Produc	t in Convault	5 gallons	
Approximate tot	al volume r	recovered	45	gallons	Convault em	ptied.					5
PID Readings or	n vapor:	Inlet:	69	ppmv	Midpoint:	0.2 pp	mv	Final:	0 ppmv	Flowrate:	46 CFM

Site Visit Date:	6/6/2008										
Recovery Well	Depth to Product (feet)	Depth to Water (feet)	Product Thickness (feet)	Cycles/Period and Duration	Vacuum (in. H ₂ O)	Comments					
RW-1					Off						
RW-2					Off						
RW-3	11.11	11.31	0.20	P=7, D=10	12						
RW-4	10.71	11.50	0.79	P=2, D=10	11						
RW-5				Off	Off						
RW-6	8.55	10.25	1.70	C=2, D=10	12						
RW-7	8.17	9.38	1.21	C=3, D=10	13						
RW-8	9.29	10.81	1.52	P=1, D=10	12						
RW-9	9.90	11.10	1.20	P=5, D=10	12						
MW-3	10.81	11.65	0.84		Off						
Depth to product in Convault2.33 feetApproximate total volume recovered37 gallon		feet gallons	Depth to wa	ter in Convault	2.35 fee	et	Volume of Produc	t in Convault	5 gallons		
PID Readings o	n vapor:	Inlet:	65	ppmv	Midpoint:	0.2 pp	πv	Final:	0 ppmv	Flowrate:	44 CFM

Site Visit Date:	6/13/2008										
	Depth to	Depth to	Product								
	Product	Water	Thickness	Cycles/Period	Vacuum				Comments		
Recovery Well	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	and Duration	(in. H ₂ O)						
RW-1					Off						
RW-2					Off						
RW-3	10.95	10.99	0.04	P=7, D=10	12						
RW-4	10.69	11.15	0.46	P=2, D=10	12						
RW-5				Off	Off						
RW-6	8.58	10.22	1.64	C=2, D=10	11						
RW-7	8.15	9.35	1.20	P=1, D=10	11						
RW-8	9.27	10.50	1.23	P=1, D=10	12						
RW-9	9.89	11.15	1.26	P=5, D=10	12						
MW-3					Off						
Depth to product in Convault2.34 feetApproximate total volume recovered34 gallons		feet gallons	Depth to wat	ter in Convault	2.36 feet		Volume of Produc	t in Convault	5 gallons		
PID Readings or	n vapor:	Inlet:	66	ppmv	Midpoint:	0.2 ppr	nv F	inal:	0 ppmv	Flowrate:	43 CFM

Site Visit Date:	6/20/2008									
	Depth to	Depth to	Product							
	Product	Water	Thickness	Cycles/Period	Vacuum			Comments		
Recovery Well	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	and Duration	(in. H ₂ O)					
RW-1					Off					
RW-2					Off					
RW-3	11.14	11.31	0.17	P=7, D=10	13					
RW-4	10.23	11.07	0.84	P=2, D=10	11					
RW-5				Off	Off	Truck parked on to	p. No one availa	ble to move it.		
RW-6	8.57	10.23	1.66	C=2, D=10	10					
RW-7	8.20	9.40	1.20	C=3, D=10	13					
RW-8	9.25	10.77	1.52	P=1, D=10	12					
RW-9	9.88	11.09	1.21	P=5, D=10	Off					
MW-3					Off	Street cleaning vel	nicle parked on to	p. No one available t	o move [it].	
Depth to product in Convault 2.40 feet			Depth to wa	ater in Convault	2.44 feet	Volume of Produc	ct in Convault	10 gallons		
PID Readings o	n vapor:	Inlet:	63	ppmv	Midpoint:	: 0.4 ppm	iv Final	: 0 ppmv	Flowrate:	44 CFM

Site Visit Date:	6/27/2008									
	Depth to	Depth to	Product							
	Product	Water	Thickness	Cycles/Period	Vacuum			Comments		
Recovery Well	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	and Duration	(in. H ₂ O)					
RW-1					Off					
RW-2					Off					
RW-3	10.55	11.40	0.85	P=7, D=10	10					
RW-4	10.10	11.94	1.84	P=1, D=10	14					
RW-5				Off	Off	Truck is once again	parked on top of	location. No one available	ailable to remove	e truck.
RW-6	8.40	9.56	1.16	C=3, D=15	10					
RW-7	7.85	8.00	0.15	C=4, D=15	14					
RW-8	9.08	9.20	0.12	P=1, D=10	11					
RW-9	8.40	9.55	1.15	P=7, D=15	10					
MW-3	10.80	11.62	0.82		Off					
Depth to product in Convault2.42 feetApproximate total volume recovered13 gallons		Depth to wa	ter in Convault	2.45 feet	Volume of Produc	et in Convault	8 gallons			
PID Readings or	n vapor:	Inlet:	62.5	ppmv	Midpoint:	0.2 ppmv	Final:	0 ppmv	Flowrate:	44 CFM

Notes:

See Figure 2 for recovery well locations. D = Duration (length of time in minutes the skimmer will run upon activation) P = Period (P=1 would indicate skimmer activated every day; P=4 would be skimmer activated every fourth day) C = Cycles (C=2 would indicate skimmer activated twice per day; C=4 would indicate skimmer activated four times per day) CFM = cubic feet per minute gal .= gallons H₂O = water lbs = pounds PID = Photo-ionization detector (hydrocarbons in gas measurement) ppmv = parts per million by volume -- = not measured. Sheen = less than 0.01 foot thickness of product. Product purging in is conducted using a peristaltic pump.

APPENDIX A GROUNDWATER SAMPLING FORMS

GROUNDWATER SA	MPLING						Well No.: MW			
Project No.				Re	ecorded by	Mike Jor	nes, MSE	Date:	06/05/08	
Project Name: Harbor Faci	lities Center					Depth	of well from	TOC (feet):	17.65	
Location: Port of Oak	land			Well diameter (inches):						
2277 7th St	reet, Oakland			Screened interval from TOC (feet): 7.65-17					7.65-17.65	
Weather:	Sun	ny, light w	ind		TOC elevation, NAVD88 (feet):					
Precip. in past 5 days (in.)		0		Groundwater elevation (feet):						
Source:	Oakland Fire	Services Age	ency "ONO"	Wate	er level fror	m TOC (feet):	11.36	Time:	14:04	
Water level instrument:	Water level instrument: Dual-phase interface probe (Solinst)				ct level fror	m TOC (feet):	11.40	Time:	14:04	
CALCULATION OF W	/ELL VOLU	ME:								
(well depth - water level) x (well radius) ² x π x gal/ft ³										
(17 65	ft = ft x 0	083 ft) ² x π x	$7.48 \text{ cal/ft}^3 -$				gallons in c	ne casina v	olume	
(17.05	II - II) X 0	.003 10 X 11 X	1.40 gai/it =					s removed	olume	
							total gallon	stemoved		
CALIBRATION:										
		Temp		DO	ORP	EC				
	Time	(°C)	рН	(%)	(mV)	(µmho/cm)	NTU	T		
Calibration Standard	:							•		
Before Purging:								-		
After Purging:								<u> </u>		
FIELD MEASUREME	NTS:									
		Tomp		DO		FC		Cur	nulativo	
	Time	(°C)	рН	(mg/L)	(mV)	(µmho/cm)	NTU	Gallon	s Removed	
						7			T	
Purge method:						_	Sa	mple Time:	-	
Duplicate/blank number:							Duplicate Sa	mple Time:	-	
Sampling equipment:						VOA	attachment:			
Sample containers:	N/A					4			T	
Sample analyses:	N/A					4	Laboratory:	N/A		
Decontamination method:	Triple rins	se Aquinox				Rinsa	ate disposal:			
Comments: Triple riv	nse [with disti	lled water]								

TOC = top of casing bgs = below ground surface

GROUNDWATER SAM	MPLING						Well No.: MW-2				
Project No.				Re	ecorded by	Mike Jon	es, MSE	Date:	06/05/08		
Project Name: Harbor Facil	lities Center					Depth c	f well from	TOC (feet):	17.78		
Location: Port of Oakl	and					N	Vell diamet	er (inches):	2		
2277 7th St	reet, Oakland				7	Screened in	terval from	TOC (feet):	8.06-18.06		
Weather:	Sun	ny, light w	ind	ſ		/D88 (feet):	16.42				
Precip. in past 5 days (in.)		0				ration (feet):	4.41				
Source:	Oakland Fire	Services Age	ency "ONO"	Wat	er level fror	m TOC (feet):	12.01	Time:	12:10		
Water level instrument:	Dual-phase in	nterface probe	e (Solinst)	Produ	ct level from	m TOC (feet):	NA	Time:	-		
CALCULATION OF WELL VOLUME:											
(well depth	n - water level)	x (well radius) ² x π x gal/ft ³								
(18.06	ft - ft) x 0	.083 ft)² x π x	7.48 gal/ft ³ =		0).43	gallons in d	one casing \	volume		
						1.5	total gallon	s removed			
[Note: One of	casing volume	should be =	0.9	gallons.]							
CALIBRATION:											
	Time	Temp	ъН	DO (%)	ORP	EC					
Calibration Standard		(0)	pri	(70)	(111)		NIO				
Before Purging:								-			
After Purging:								-			
			•					1			
	15:										
	Time	Temp (°C)	Нq	DO (mg/L)	ORP (mV)	EC (µmho/cm)	NTU	Cur Gallon	nulative s Removed		
	12:30	20	7.4	0.7	80	1.7	25	(0.43		
	12:33	20	7.2	0.8	80	1.5	20	(0.86		
	12:35	20	7.1	0.8	80	1.5	20		1.5		
						7			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Purge method:	GEO peris	staltic pum	р			4	Sa	mple Time:	12:35		
Duplicate/blank number:	-					D	uplicate Sa	mple Time:	-		
Sampling equipment:	GEO peris	staltic pum	р			VOA a	ttachment:		-		
Sample containers:	Three 40-ml	VOAs and two	o 1-L AG			4			T		
Sample analyses:	TPHg, TPHd	, BTEX, MTB	E			4	Laboratory:	Curtis & To	ompkins		
Decontamination method:	I riple rins	se Aquinox				Rinsat	e disposal:				
Comments: Iriple rin	nse [with disti	lled water]							<u> </u>		

TOC = top of casing

bgs = below ground surface
GROUNDWATER SA	MPLING						Well No.:		MW-3	
Project No.				R	ecorded by	Mike Jor	nes, MSE	Date:	06/05/08	
Project Name: Harbor Fa	cilities Center			•		Depth o	of well from	TOC (feet):	17.47	
Location: Port of Oa	kland					١	Nell diamet	er (inches):	2	
2277 7th S	Street, Oakland				-	Screened interval from TOC (feet): 7.47-17.4				
Weather:	Sur	nny, light w	vind			TOC ele	vation, NA	/D88 (feet):	15.65	
Precip. in past 5 days (in.)		0				Groun	dwater elev	vation (feet):		
Source:	Oakland Fire	Services Age	ency "ONO"	Wat	er level fror	m TOC (feet):	11.96	Time:	12:50	
Water level instrument:	Dual-phase i	nterface probe	e (Solinst)	Produ	ct level from	m TOC (feet):	10.51	Time:	12:50	
CALCULATION OF \	WELL VOLU	ME:								
(well dep	th - water level)	x (well radius	$(x)^2 \times \pi \times \text{gal/ft}^3$							
(17.4	, 7 ft - ft) x ().083 ft)² x π x	, 7.48 gal/ft ³ =				gallons in g	one casina v	olume	
							total gallon	is removed		
CALIBRATION:										
		Temp		DO	ORP	EC				
	Time	(°C)	pН	(%)	(mV)	(µmho/cm)	NTU	1		
Calibration Standar	d:							-		
Before Purging	g:							-		
After Purging	g:									
FIELD MEASUREME	ENTS:									
		Temp		DO	ORP	EC		Cur	nulative	
	Time	(°C)	pН	(mg/L)	(mV)	(µmho/cm)	NTU	Gallon	s Removed	
Duran and the l						7	~		_	
Purge method:							Sa		_	
Sampling oquipment:							uplicate Sa	inple rime:		
Sampling equipment:						VUA a	allachment:	L		
Sample analyses:						-	Laborators"			
Decontamination method:	Triple rin	se Aquinox	:			Pinco	to disposal.			
Comments: Triple r	imse [with dist	illed water]				111154	io uispusal.	L		

GROUNDWATER SAI	MPLING						Well No.:		MW-4
Project No.				Re	ecorded by	Mike Jon	ies, MSE	Date:	06/05/08
Project Name: Harbor Faci	lities Center			•		Depth c	of well from	TOC (feet):	22.00
Location: Port of Oakl	and					٧	Vell diamet	er (inches):	2
2277 7th St	reet, Oakland					Screened in	terval from	TOC (feet):	11.25-22.05
Weather:	Sun	iny, light w	ind			TOC ele	vation, NA	/D88 (feet):	15.90
Precip. in past 5 days (in.)		0				Groun	dwater elev	ation (feet):	4.23
Source:	Oakland Fire	Services Age	ncy "ONO"	Water level from TOC (feet): 11.67			Time:	15:22	
Water level instrument:	Dual-phase i	nterface probe	e (Solinst)	Produ	ct level fror	m TOC (feet):	-	Time:	-
CALCULATION OF W	ELL VOLU	ME:							
(well depth	n - water level)	x (well radius	2 x π x gal/ft ³						
(22.05	ft - ft) x 0	.083 ft)² x π x	7.48 gal/ft ³ =		0.8	57 = 1	gallons in c	one casing \	olume
					2.5	57 = 3	total gallon	s removed	
[Note: One	casing volume	should be =	1.7	gallons.]			-		
CALIBRATION:									
	Time	Temp (°C)	рН	DO (%)	ORP	EC	NTU		
Calibration Standard:	:			(/0)	()	(µ)]	
Before Puraina:									
After Purging:									
FIELD MEASUREMEN	15:								
	Time	Temp (°C)	рH	DO (mg/L)	ORP (mV)	EC (µmho/cm)	NTU	Cur Gallon	nulative s Removed
	15:37	21	7.2	0.7	-41	1.2	22		1
	15:48	21	7.3	0.8	-42	1.2	22		2
	16:00	21	7.2	0.7	-41	1.2	22		3
						_			
Purge method:	GEO peris	staltic pum	р				Sa	mple Time:	16:00
Duplicate/blank number:	MW-4D					D	uplicate Sa	mple Time:	16:10
Sampling equipment:	GEO peris	staltic pum	р			VOA a	attachment:		
Sample containers:	Three 40-ml	VOAs and two	o 1-L AG			4			
Sample analyses:	TPHg, TPHd	, BTEX, MTB	E			4	Laboratory:	Curtis & To	ompkins
Decontamination method:	Triple rin:	se Aquinox				Rinsat	te disposal:		
Comments: Triple rin	nse [with dist	illed water]							

GROUNDWATER SAM	IPLING						Well No.:		MW-5
Project No.				Re	ecorded by	Mike Jon	es, MSE	Date:	06/05/08
Project Name: Harbor Facil	ities Center				-	Depth c	f well from	TOC (feet):	20.77
Location: Port of Oakla	and					N	Vell diamet	er (inches):	2
2277 7th Str	eet, Oakland				T	Screened in	terval from	TOC (feet):	10.4-20.8
Weather:	Sunny,	light wind	, 68°F	1		TOC ele	vation, NA\	/D88 (feet):	15.39
Precip. in past 5 days (in.)		0			Groundwater elevation (feet):			5.65	
Source:	Oakland Fire	Services Age	ncy "ONO"	Wate	er level fror	n TOC (feet):	9.74	Time:	14:20
Water level instrument:	Dual-phase in	nterface probe	(Solinst)	Produ	ct level fror	n TOC (feet):	-	Time:	-
CALCULATION OF W	ELL VOLU	ME:							
(well depth	- water level)	x (well radius) ² x π x gal/ft ³						
(20.81	ft- ft) x 0	.083 ft)² x π x	7.48 gal/ft ³ =		().91	gallons in d	one casing v	olume
					2	.74	total gallon	s removed	
[Note: One c	casing volume	should be =	1.8	gallons.]					
CALIBRATION:									
	T :	Temp		DO	ORP	EC			
Calibration Standard:	Time		рп	(%)	(1117)	(µmno/cm)	NIU]	
Before Purging:								-	
After Purging:								-	
y nor runging.				L				1	
FIELD MEASUREMEN	ITS:								
	Time	Temp (°C)	рН	DO (mg/L)	ORP (mV)	EC (umbo/cm)	NTU	Cur Gallons	nulative Removed
	14:45	23	7.6	0.6	28	2.4	27		1
	14:50	21	7.2	0.7	20	2.5	22		2
	14:55	20	7	0.7		2.4	22		3
						7			
Purge method:	GEO peris	staltic pum	р				Sa	mple Time:	14:55
Duplicate/blank number:						D	uplicate Sa	mple Time:	-
Sampling equipment:	GEO peris	staltic pum	р			VOA a	ttachment:		-
Sample containers:	Three 40-ml	VOAs and two	o 1-L AG			-			
Sample analyses:	TPHg, TPHd	, BTEX, MTB	E			-	Laboratory:	Curtis & To	mpkins
Decontamination method:	I riple rine	se Aquinox				Rinsat	te disposal:		
Comments: Iriple rin	nse [with disti	lied water]							

L

GROUNDWATER S	AMPLING						Well No.:		MW-8A
Project No.				Re	ecorded by	Mike Jor	ies, MSE	Date:	06/05/08
Project Name: Harbor Fa	cilities Center			-		Depth o	of well from	TOC (feet):	23.49
Location: Port of Oa	kland					١	Vell diamet	er (inches):	2
2277 7th	Street, Oakland				7	Screened in	terval from	TOC (feet):	7.54-22.54
Weather:	Sur	nny, light w	ind	1		TOC ele	vation, NA	/D88 (feet):	14.98
Precip. in past 5 days (in.		0		Groundwater el			dwater elev	ation (feet):	
Source:	Oakland Fire	e Services Age	ency "ONO"	Wat	Water level from TOC (feet): 11.4			Time:	13:00
Water level instrument:	Dual-phase i	nterface probe	e (Solinst)	Produ	ct level fror	m TOC (feet):	-	Time:	-
CALCULATION OF	WELL VOLU	ME:							
(well de	oth - water level)	x (well radius	$)^2$ x π x gal/ft ³						
(23.1	4 ft - ft) x 0).083 ft)² x π x	7.48 gal/ft ³ =		C).99	gallons in o	one casing v	olume
					2.9	99 = 3	total gallon	s removed	
[Note: On	e casing volume	e should be =	1.9	gallons.]					
CALIBRATION:									
	Time	Temp		DO	ORP	EC			
Calibration Standa	rd.		рп	(76)	(1117)	(µmno/cm)	NIU]	
Before Purgin	u							-	
After Purgin	g								
, iter i digin	9.			I	L		L	1	
FIELD MEASUREM	ENTS:								
	Time	Temp (°C)	рН	DO (mg/L)	ORP (mV)	EC (umbo/cm)	NTU	Cur Gallon	nulative s Removed
	13:20	19	7.0	0.8	47	2.4	20		1
	13:25	19	7.0	0.8	74	2.4	20		2
	13:30	19	7.1	0.8	74	2.3	20		3
						_			
Purge method:	GEO peris	staltic pum	р			_	Sa	mple Time:	13:30
Duplicate/blank number:	-					D	uplicate Sa	mple Time:	-
Sampling equipment:	GEO peris	staltic pum	р			VOA a	attachment:		-
Sample containers:	Three 40-ml	VOAs and two	o 1-L AG			4			
Sample analyses:	TPHg, TPHc	I, BTEX, MTB	E			4	Laboratory:	Curtis & To	ompkins
Decontamination method:	Triple rin	se Aquinox				Rinsa	te disposal:		
Comments: Triple r	imse [with dist	illed water]							

APPENDIX B LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORT



CASE NARRATIVE

Laboratory number: Client: Project: Location: Request Date: Samples Received: 203794 Microsearch Environmental Group 202386 Ringsby Port of Oakland 06/06/08 06/06/08

This hardcopy data package contains sample and QC results for seven water samples, requested for the above referenced project on 06/06/08. The samples were received cold and intact.

TPH-Purgeables and/or BTXE by GC (EPA 8015B):

High surrogate recoveries were observed for bromofluorobenzene (FID) and trifluorotoluene (FID) in the MS/MSD for batch 139097 and the LCS/MS/MSD for batch 139140. MW-5 (lab # 203794-003) was analyzed with more than 1 mL of headspace in the VOA vial. No other analytical problems were encountered.

TPH-Extractables by GC (EPA 8015B):

No analytical problems were encountered.

Volatile Organics by GC/MS (EPA 8260B):

No analytical problems were encountered.



		Total	Volatil	e Hydrocar	bons	
Lab #: 20	13794			Location:		Ringsby Port of Oakland
Client: M	icrosearch Enviror	mental G	roup	Dren:		EPA 5030B
Project#: 20	12386	incircui (itoup	Analysis:		EPA 8015B
Matrix:	Water			Sampled:		06/05/08
Units:	ug/L			Received:		06/06/08
Diln Fac:	1.000					
Field ID:	MW-2.			Batch#:		139097
Tvpe:	SAMPLE			Analyzed:		06/10/08
Lab ID:	203794-001			iniary zea		00, 10, 00
	203791 001					
1	Analyte		Result		RL	
Gasoline C7	-C12	NI)		50	
	unno goto	%DEC	Timita			
Trifluoroto	urrogate	82	69_140			
Bromofluoro	Denzene (FID)	02 74	73 - 140			
Field ID: Type: Lab ID:	MW-8A SAMPLE 203794-002			Batch#: Analyzed:		139097 06/10/08
	Analyte		Result		RL	
Gasoline C7-	-C12	NI)		50	
St	urrogate	%REC	Limits			
Trifluoroto	luene (FID)	117	69-140			
Bromofluoro	oenzene (FID)	123	73-144			
Field ID: Type: Lab ID:	MW-5 SAMPLE 203794-003			Batch#: Analyzed:		139140 06/11/08
1	Analyte		Result		RL	
Gasoline C7	-C12	NI)		50	
	irrogate	<u>%</u> ₽₽ር	T.imi+a			
C1	arroyace	OVEC				
Su	luene (FID)	119	69-140			

ND= Not Detected



		Total	Volatil	.e Hydrocar	bons	
Lab #:	203794			Location:		Ringsby Port of Oakland
Client:	Microsearch Enviror	nmental (Froup	Prep:		EPA 5030B
Project#:	202386		-	Analysis:		EPA 8015B
Matrix:	Water			Sampled:		06/05/08
Units:	ug/L			Received:		06/06/08
Diln Fac:	1.000					
Field ID:	MW-4			Batch#:		139097
Туре:	SAMPLE			Analyzed:		06/10/08
Lab ID:	203794-004					
	Analyte		Result		RL	
Gasoline C	27-C12		67 Y		50	
	Surrogate	%REC	Limits			
Trifluorot	oluene (FID)	82	69-140			
Bromofluor	obenzene (FID)	76	73-144			
Field ID: Type: Lab ID:	MW-4D SAMPLE 203794-005			Batch#: Analyzed:		139097 06/10/08
	Analyte		Result		RL	
Gasoline C	27-C12		91 Y		50	
	Surrogate	%REC	Limits			
Trifluorot	coluene (FID)	83	69-140			
Bromofluor	robenzene (FID)	80	73-144			
Field ID: Type: Lab ID:	QCEB SAMPLE 203794-006			Batch#: Analyzed:		139097 06/10/08
	Analyte		Pegult		PT.	
Gasoline C	27-C12	NI)		50	
04.0011110 0			- -			
	Surrogate	%REC	Limits			
Trifluorot	oluene (FID)	89	69-140			
	changene (ETD)	95	73_144			

ND= Not Detected



		Total	Volatil	le Hydrocar	bons	
Lab #:	203794			Location:		Ringsby Port of Oakland
Client:	Microsearch Enviror	mental G	froup	Prep:		EPA 5030B
Project#:	202386			Analysis:		EPA 8015B
Matrix:	Water			Sampled:		06/05/08
Units:	ug/L			Received:		06/06/08
Diln Fac:	1.000					
Field ID:	QCTB			Batch#:		139097
Type:	SAMPLE			Analyzed:		06/10/08
Lab ID:	203794-007					
	Analyte		Result		RL	
Gasoline (C7-C12	NI)		50	
	Surrogate	%REC	T.imits			
Trifluoro	toluene (FID)	89	69-140			
Bromofluo	robenzene (FID)	87	73-144			
Туре:	BLANK			Batch#:		139097
Lab ID:	QC445802			Analyzed:		06/10/08
	Analyte		Result		RL	
Gasoline	C7-C12	NI)		50	
	Surrogate	%REC	Limits			
Trifluoro	toluene (FID)	117	69-140			
Bromofluo	robenzene (FID)	110	73-144			
Type:	BLANK			Batch#:		139140
Lab ID:	QC446006			Analyzed:		06/11/08
Cagalina	Analyte	NT	Result		RL E O	
Gasorine		INL)		30	
	Surrogate	%REC	Limits			
Trifluoro	toluene (FID)	105	69-140			
Bromofluo	robenzene (FID)	97	73-144			

Y= Sample exhibits chromatographic pattern which does not resemble standard ND= Not Detected RL= Reporting Limit Page 3 of 3



Total Volatile Hydrocarbons								
Lab #:	203794	Location:	Ringsby Port of Oakland					
Client:	Microsearch Environmental Group	Prep:	EPA 5030B					
Project#:	202386	Analysis:	EPA 8015B					
Type:	LCS	Diln Fac:	1.000					
Lab ID:	QC445803	Batch#:	139097					
Matrix:	Water	Analyzed:	06/10/08					
Units:	ug/L							

Analyte	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits
Gasoline C7-C12	1,000	1,009	101	80-120

Surrogate	%REC	Limits	
Trifluorotoluene (FID)	108	69-140	
Bromofluorobenzene (FID)	116	73-144	



Total Volatile Hydrocarbons									
Lab #: 203794	<u>.</u>	Location:	Ringsby Port of Oakland						
Client: Micros	earch Environmental Group	Prep:	EPA 5030B						
Project#: 202386		Analysis:	EPA 8015B						
Field ID:	ZZZZZZZZZ	Batch#:	139097						
MSS Lab ID:	203778-002	Sampled:	06/05/08						
Matrix:	Water	Received:	06/06/08						
Units:	ug/L	Analyzed:	06/10/08						
Diln Fac:	1.000								

Туре:	MS			Lab ID:		QC445850		
	Analyte	MSS Re	sult	Spike	ed	Result	%REC	Limits
Gasoline (C7-C12	2	1.62	2,000)	1,897	94	67-120
	Surrogate	%REC	Limits					
Trifluorot Bromofluor	toluene (FID) robenzene (FID)	163 * 197 *	69-140 73-144					
Type:	MSD			Lab ID:		QC445851		
	Analyte		Spiked		Result	%REC	Limits	RPD Lim

Gasoline C7-C12	2,000	1,983	98	67-120 4	20
Surrogate	%REC Limits				
Trifluorotoluene (FID)	170 * 69-140				
Bromofluorobenzene (FID)	199 * 73-144				



	Total Volati	le Hydrocarbon	S
Lab #:	203794	Location:	Ringsby Port of Oakland
Client:	Microsearch Environmental Group	Prep:	EPA 5030B
Project#:	202386	Analysis:	EPA 8015B
Type:	LCS	Diln Fac:	1.000
Lab ID:	QC446007	Batch#:	139140
Matrix:	Water	Analyzed:	06/11/08
Units:	ug/L		

Analyte	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits
Gasoline C7-C12	1,000	924.8	92	80-120

Surrogate	%REC	Limits	
Trifluorotoluene (FID)	142 *	69-140	
Bromofluorobenzene (FID)	164 *	73-144	



	Total Volati	le Hydrocarbons	
Lab #: 203794	Ł	Location:	Ringsby Port of Oakland
Client: Micros	search Environmental Group	Prep:	EPA 5030B
Project#: 202386		Analysis:	EPA 8015B
Field ID:	ZZZZZZZZZ	Batch#:	139140
MSS Lab ID:	203847-002	Sampled:	06/09/08
Matrix:	Water	Received:	06/10/08
Units:	ug/L	Analyzed:	06/11/08
Diln Fac:	1.000		

Type:	MS			Lab II):	QC446	008		
Analy	te	MSS Re	sult	Sj	piked	R	esult	%REC	Limits
Gasoline C7-C12		1	4.13	2	,000	1	,921	95	67-120
Surro	gate	%REC	Limits						
Trifluorotoluen	e (FID)	148 *	69-140						
Bromofluorobenz	ene (FID)	169 *	73-144						
Туре:	MSD			Lab II):	QC446	009		

Analyte		Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	Lim
Gasoline C7-C12		2,000	1,930	96	67-120	0	20
Surrogate	%REC	Limits					
Trifluorotoluene (FID)	141 *	69-140					
Bromofluorobenzene (FID)	157 *	73-144					

Sequence File: \\Lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC05\Sequence\162.seq Sample Name: 203794-004,139097,tvh Data File: \\Lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC05\Data\162_020 Instrument: GC05 (Offline) Vial: N/A Operator: Tvh 2. Analyst (lims2k3\tvh2) Method Name: \\Lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC05\Method\tvhbtxe157.met Software Version 3.1.7 Run Date: 6/10/2008 8:26:42 PM Analysis Date: 6/11/2008 8:59:18 AM Sample Amount: 5 Multiplier: 5 Vial & pH or Core ID: A 1.3



Page 2 of 4 (2) Curtis & Tompkins Ltd.

Sequence File: \\Lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC05\Sequence\162.seq Sample Name: 203794-005,139097,tvh Data File: \\Lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC05\Data\162_021 Instrument: GC05 (Offline) Vial: N/A Operator: Tvh 2. Analyst (lims2k3\tvh2) Method Name: \\Lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC05\Method\tvhbtxe157.met Software Version 3.1.7 Run Date: 6/10/2008 9:02:14 PM Analysis Date: 6/11/2008 9:00:44 AM Sample Amount: 5 Multiplier: 5 Vial & pH or Core ID: C 1.3



Page 2 of 4 (2) Curtis & Tompkins Ltd.

Sequence File: \\Lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC05\Sequence\162.seq Sample Name: ccv/lcs,qc445803,139097,tvh,s9335,2.5/5000 Data File: \\Lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC05\Data\162_003 Instrument: GC05 (Offline) Vial: N/A Operator: Tvh 2. Analyst (lims2k3\tvh2) Method Name: \\Lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC05\Method\tvhbtxe157.met Software Version 3.1.7 Run Date: 6/10/2008 9:13:42 AM Analysis Date: 6/11/2008 7:21:46 AM Sample Amount: 5 Multiplier: 5 Vial & pH or Core ID: {Data Description}



Page 2 of 4 (7) Curtis & Tompkins Ltd.

---< General Method Parameters >--No items selected for this section ----< A >-----No items selected for this section Integration Events Stop Start (Minutes) (Minutes) Value Enabled Event Type 0 0.2 0 5 Yes Width 0 0 Yes Threshold 50 Manual Integration Fixes Data File: \\Lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC05\Data\162_003 Start Stop Enabled Event Type (Minutes) (Minutes) Value None



		Total Extract	able Hydrocarbo	ns
Lab #: Client: Project#:	203794 Microsearch Enviro 202386	onmental Group	Location: Prep: Analysis:	Ringsby Port of Oakland EPA 3520C EPA 8015B
Matrix: Units: Diln Fac: Batch#:	Water ug/L 1.000 139080		Sampled: Received: Prepared: Analyzed:	06/05/08 06/06/08 06/09/08 06/11/08
			-	
Field ID: Type:	MW-2 SAMPLE		Lab ID: Cleanup Method:	203794-001 EPA 3630C
	Analyte	Result	RT.	
Diesel C1 Motor Oil	0-C24 C24-C36	ND ND	50 300	
	Surrogate	%REC Limits		
Hexacosan	e	89 63-130		
Field ID: Type:	MW-8A SAMPLE		Lab ID: Cleanup Method:	203794-002 EPA 3630C
	Analyte	Result	RI.	
Diesel C1	0-C24	ND	50	
Motor Oil	C24 - C36	ND	300	
	Surrogate	%REC Limits		
Hexacosan	e	90 63-130		
Field ID: Type:	MW-5 SAMPLE		Lab ID: Cleanup Method:	203794-003 EPA 3630C
	3molerto	_		
	Analyce	Result	RI.	
Diesel C1	0-C24	ND		
Diesel C1 Motor Oil	0-C24 C24-C36	ND ND	50 300	
Diesel C1 Motor Oil	0-C24 C24-C36	Result ND ND %REC Limits	RL 50 300	
Diesel C1 Motor Oil Hexacosan	0-C24 C24-C36 Surrogate	Result ND ND %REC 83 63-130	RL 50 300	
Diesel C1 Motor Oil Hexacosan	0-C24 C24-C36 Surrogate	Result ND ND %REC Limits 83 63-130	RL 50 300	
Diesel C1 Motor Oil Hexacosan Field ID: Type:	MW-4 SAMPLE	Result ND ND %REC Limits 83 63-130	Lab ID: Cleanup Method:	203794-004 EPA 3630C
Diesel C1 Motor Oil Hexacosan Field ID: Type:	MW-4 SAMPLE Analyte	Result ND %REC Limits 83 63-130 Result	RL 50 300 Lab ID: Cleanup Method: RL	203794-004 EPA 3630C
Diesel C1 Motor Oil Hexacosan Field ID: Type: Diesel C1	MW-4 SAMPLE Analyte 0-C24	Result ND ND %REC Limits 83 63-130 Result ND	RL 50 300 Lab ID: Cleanup Method: RL 50	203794-004 EPA 3630C
Diesel C1 Motor Oil Hexacosan Field ID: Type: Diesel C1 Motor Oil	Analyte 0-C24 C24-C36 Surrogate e MW-4 SAMPLE Analyte 0-C24 C24-C36	Result ND ND %REC Limits 83 63-130 Result ND ND	RL 50 300 Lab ID: Cleanup Method: RL 50 300	203794-004 EPA 3630C
Diesel C1 Motor Oil Hexacosan Field ID: Type: Diesel C1 Motor Oil	Analyte 0-C24 C24-C36 Surrogate e MW-4 SAMPLE Analyte 0-C24 C24-C36 Surrogate	Result ND ND %REC Limits 83 63-130 Result ND ND ND	RL 50 300 Lab ID: Cleanup Method: RL 50 300	203794-004 EPA 3630C

Y= Sample exhibits chromatographic pattern which does not resemble standard ND= Not Detected RL= Reporting Limit Page 1 of 2



- 1 - 0.00					
Lab #: 20 Client: Mi Project#: 20	03794 icrosearch Enviro 02386	nmental Grou	ıp	Location: Prep: Analysis:	Ringsby Port of Oakland EPA 3520C EPA 8015B
Matrix: Units: Diln Fac: Batch#:	Water ug/L 1.000 139080			Sampled: Received: Prepared: Analyzed:	06/05/08 06/06/08 06/09/08 06/11/08
Field ID: Type:	MW-4D SAMPLE			Lab ID: Cleanup Method:	203794-005 EPA 3630C
I	Analyte	Res	sult	RL	
Diesel C10-C Motor Oil C2	C24 24-C36	ND ND		50 300	
Sı	urrogate	%REC Li	mits		
Hexacosane	urroguee	84 63	3-130		
Field ID: Type:	QCEB SAMPLE Analyte	Reg	sult	Lab ID: Cleanup Method: <u>RL</u>	203794-006 EPA 3630C
Motor Oil C2	24-C36	ND	500 I	300	
Su Hexacosane	urrogate	%REC Li 94 63	mits 3-130		
Type: Lab ID:	BLANK QC445729			Cleanup Method:	EPA 3630C
I	Analyte	Rea	sult	RL	
Diesel C10-C Motor Oil C2	C24 24-C36	ND ND		50 300	
Su Hoxagogano	urrogate	%REC Li	mits		



	Total Extract	able Hydrocar	bons
Lab #:	203794	Location:	Ringsby Port of Oakland
Client:	Microsearch Environmental Group	Prep:	EPA 3520C
Project#:	202386	Analysis:	EPA 8015B
Type:	LCS	Diln Fac:	1.000
Lab ID:	QC445730	Batch#:	139080
Matrix:	Water	Prepared:	06/09/08
Units:	ug/L	Analyzed:	06/11/08

Cleanup Method: EPA 3630C

Analyte		Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits
Diesel C10-C24		2,500	1,891	76	61-120
Surrogate	%REC	Limits			
Hexacosane	92	63-130			



		Total H	Extracta	able Hydrocarbo	ns			
Lab #:	203794			Location:	Ringsby Port	of Oakla	nd	
Client:	Microsearch Environ	mental G	roup	Prep:	EPA 3520C			
Project#:	202386			Analysis:	EPA 8015B			
Field ID:	ZZZZZZZZZZ			Batch#:	139080			
MSS Lab II	D: 203772-004			Sampled:	06/04/08			
Matrix:	Water			Received:	06/05/08			
Units:	ug/L			Prepared:	06/09/08			
Diln Fac:	1.000			Analyzed:	06/11/08			
Type: Lab ID:	MS QC445731			Cleanup Method:	EPA 3630C			
	Analyte	MSS Res	ult	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limi	ts
Diesel C1	Analyte 0-C24	MSS Res	ult	Spiked 2,500	Result 1,537	%REC 60	Limi 58-1	ts 26
Diesel C1	Analyte 0-C24 Surrogate	MSS Res 41 %REC	ult 63 Limits	Spiked 2,500	Result 1,537	%REC 60	Limi 58-1	ts 26
Diesel Cl Hexacosan	Analyte 0-C24 Surrogate e	MSS Res 41 %REC 77	Limits 63-130	Spiked 2,500	Result 1,537	%REC 60	Limi 58-1	26
Diesel Cl Hexacosan Type: Lab ID:	Analyte 0-C24 Surrogate e MSD QC445732	MSS Res 41 %REC 77	Limits 63-130	Spiked 2,500 Cleanup Method:	Result 1,537 EPA 3630C	%REC 60	Limi 58-1	ts 26
Diesel Cl Hexacosan Type: Lab ID:	Analyte 0-C24 Surrogate e MSD QC445732 Analyte	MSS Res 41 %REC 77	Limits 63-130 Spiked	Spiked 2,500 Cleanup Method: Result	Result 1,537 EPA 3630C %REC	%REC 60 Limits	Limi 58-1	ts 26
Diesel Cl Hexacosan Type: Lab ID: Diesel Cl	Analyte 0-C24 Surrogate e MSD QC445732 Analyte 0-C24	MSS Res 41 %REC 77	ult 63 Limits 63-130 Spiked 2,500	Spiked 2,500 Cleanup Method: Result 1,861	Result 1,537 EPA 3630C %REC 73	%REC 60	Limi 58-1 	ts 26 <u>Lim</u> 31
Diesel Cl Hexacosand Type: Lab ID: Diesel Cl	MSD QC445732 Analyte 0-C24	MSS Res 41 %REC 77	ult .63 Limits 63-130 Spiked 2,500	Spiked 2,500 Cleanup Method: Result 1,861	Result 1,537 EPA 3630C %REC 73	%REC 60	Limi 58-1 	ts 26 Lim 31
Diesel Cl Hexacosan Type: Lab ID: Diesel Cl	Analyte 0-C24 Surrogate e MSD QC445732 Analyte 0-C24 Surrogate	MSS Res 41 %REC 77	sult 63 Limits 63-130 Spiked 2,500 Limits	Spiked 2,500 Cleanup Method: Result 1,861	Result 1,537 EPA 3630C %REC 73	%REC 60	Limi 58-1 	ts 26 Lim 31



- \\Lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC15B\Data\162b027, B



\Lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC11A\Data\162a003, A



\Lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC11A\Data\162a004, A



Lab #:	203794	Location:	Ringsby Port of Oakland
Client:	Microsearch Environmental Group	Prep:	EPA 5030B
Project#:	202386	Analysis:	EPA 8260B
Field ID:	MW-2	Batch#:	139029
Lab ID:	203794-001	Sampled:	06/05/08
Matrix:	Water	Received:	06/06/08
Units:	ug/L	Analyzed:	06/08/08
Diln Fac:	1.000		

Analyte	Result	RL	
MTBE	ND	0.5	
Benzene	ND	0.5	
Toluene	ND	0.5	
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.5	
m,p-Xylenes	ND	0.5	
o-Xylene	ND	0.5	

Surrogate	%REC	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	98	76-138	
Toluene-d8	94	80-120	
Bromofluorobenzene	103	80-120	



Lab #:	203794	Location:	Ringsby Port of Oakland
Client:	Microsearch Environmental Group	Prep:	EPA 5030B
Project#:	202386	Analysis:	EPA 8260B
Field ID:	MW-8A	Batch#:	139029
Lab ID:	203794-002	Sampled:	06/05/08
Matrix:	Water	Received:	06/06/08
Units:	ug/L	Analyzed:	06/08/08
Diln Fac:	1.000		

Analyte	Result	RL	
MTBE	ND	0.5	
Benzene	ND	0.5	
Toluene	ND	0.5	
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.5	
m,p-Xylenes	ND	0.5	
o-Xylene	ND	0.5	

Surrogate	%REC	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	100	76-138	
Toluene-d8	91	80-120	
Bromofluorobenzene	107	80-120	



Lab #:	203794	Location:	Ringsby Port of Oakland
Client:	Microsearch Environmental Group	Prep:	EPA 5030B
Project#:	202386	Analysis:	EPA 8260B
Field ID:	MW-5	Batch#:	139029
Lab ID:	203794-003	Sampled:	06/05/08
Matrix:	Water	Received:	06/06/08
Units:	ug/L	Analyzed:	06/08/08
Diln Fac:	1.000		

Analyte	Result	RL	
MTBE	ND	0.5	
Benzene	ND	0.5	
Toluene	ND	0.5	
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.5	
m,p-Xylenes	ND	0.5	
o-Xylene	ND	0.5	

Surrogate	%REC	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	100	76-138	
Toluene-d8	92	80-120	
Bromofluorobenzene	110	80-120	



Lab #:	203794	Location:	Ringsby Port of Oakland
Client:	Microsearch Environmental Group	Prep:	EPA 5030B
Project#:	202386	Analysis:	EPA 8260B
Field ID:	MW-4	Batch#:	139042
Lab ID:	203794-004	Sampled:	06/05/08
Matrix:	Water	Received:	06/06/08
Units:	ug/L	Analyzed:	06/09/08
Diln Fac:	1.000		

Analyte	Result	RL	
MTBE	ND	0.5	
Benzene	14	0.5	
Toluene	ND	0.5	
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.5	
m,p-Xylenes	ND	0.5	
o-Xvlene	ND	0.5	

Surrogate	%REC	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	115	76-138	
Toluene-d8	93	80-120	
Bromofluorobenzene	106	80-120	



Lab #:	203794	Location:	Ringsby Port of Oakland
Client:	Microsearch Environmental Group	Prep:	EPA 5030B
Project#:	202386	Analysis:	EPA 8260B
Field ID:	MW-4D	Batch#:	139029
Lab ID:	203794-005	Sampled:	06/05/08
Matrix:	Water	Received:	06/06/08
Units:	ug/L	Analyzed:	06/08/08
Diln Fac:	1.000		

Analyte	Result	RL	
MTBE	ND	0.5	
Benzene	15	0.5	
Toluene	ND	0.5	
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.5	
m,p-Xylenes	ND	0.5	
o-Xvlene	ND	0.5	

Surrogate	%REC	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	109	76-138	
Toluene-d8	93	80-120	
Bromofluorobenzene	105	80-120	



Lab #:	203794	Location:	Ringsby Port of Oakland
Client:	Microsearch Environmental Group	Prep:	EPA 5030B
Project#:	202386	Analysis:	EPA 8260B
Field ID:	QCEB	Batch#:	139029
Lab ID:	203794-006	Sampled:	06/05/08
Matrix:	Water	Received:	06/06/08
Units:	ug/L	Analyzed:	06/08/08
Diln Fac:	1.000		

-	-		
Analyte	Result	RL	
MTBE	ND	0.5	
Benzene	ND	0.5	
Toluene	ND	0.5	
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.5	
m,p-Xylenes	ND	0.5	
o-Xylene	ND	0.5	

Surrogate	%REC	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	110	76-138	
Toluene-d8	96	80-120	
Bromofluorobenzene	106	80-120	



Lab #:	203794	Location:	Ringsby Port of Oakland
Client:	Microsearch Environmental Group	Prep:	EPA 5030B
Project#:	202386	Analysis:	EPA 8260B
Field ID:	QCTB	Batch#:	139029
Lab ID:	203794-007	Sampled:	06/05/08
Matrix:	Water	Received:	06/06/08
Units:	ug/L	Analyzed:	06/08/08
Diln Fac:	1.000		

Analyte	Result	RL	
MTBE	ND	0.5	
Benzene	ND	0.5	
Toluene	ND	0.5	
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.5	
m,p-Xylenes	ND	0.5	
o-Xylene	ND	0.5	

Surrogate	%REC	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	110	76-138	
Toluene-d8	93	80-120	
Bromofluorobenzene	109	80-120	



Purgeable Aromatics by GC/MS				
Lab #:	203794	Location:	Ringsby Port of Oakland	
Client:	Microsearch Environmental Group	Prep:	EPA 5030B	
Project#:	202386	Analysis:	EPA 8260B	
Type:	BLANK	Diln Fac:	1.000	
Lab ID:	QC445515	Batch#:	139029	
Matrix:	Water	Analyzed:	06/08/08	
Units:	ug/L			

Analyte	Result	RL	
MTBE	ND	0.5	
Benzene	ND	0.5	
Toluene	ND	0.5	
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.5	
m,p-Xylenes	ND	0.5	
o-Xylene	ND	0.5	

Surrogate	%REC	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	107	76-138	
Toluene-d8	95	80-120	
Bromofluorobenzene	111	80-120	



	Purgeable Aromatics by GC/MS						
Lab #:	203794	Location:	Ringsby Port of Oakland				
Client:	Microsearch Environmental Group	Prep:	EPA 5030B				
Project#:	202386	Analysis:	EPA 8260B				
Matrix:	Water	Batch#:	139029				
Units:	ug/L	Analyzed:	06/08/08				
Diln Fac:	1.000						

Type:

BS

Lab ID:

QC445516

Analyte	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits
MTBE	25.00	24.35	97	60-136
Benzene	25.00	24.57	98	80-120
Toluene	25.00	22.64	91	80-121
Ethylbenzene	25.00	27.29	109	80-124
m,p-Xylenes	50.00	50.86	102	80-128
o-Xylene	25.00	24.08	96	80-123

Surrogate	%REC	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	107	76-138	
Toluene-d8	95	80-120	
Bromofluorobenzene	111	80-120	

Type:

BSD

Lab ID:

QC445517

Analyte	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	Lim
MTBE	25.00	22.75	91	60-136	7	20
Benzene	25.00	23.63	95	80-120	4	20
Toluene	25.00	22.28	89	80-121	2	20
Ethylbenzene	25.00	27.00	108	80-124	1	20
m,p-Xylenes	50.00	45.59	91	80-128	11	20
o-Xylene	25.00	23.46	94	80-123	3	20

Surrogate	%REC	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	103	76-138
Toluene-d8	93	80-120
Bromofluorobenzene	106	80-120



	Purgeable Aromatics by GC/MS						
Lab #:	203794	Location:	Ringsby Port of Oakland				
Client:	Microsearch Environmental Group	Prep:	EPA 5030B				
Project#:	202386	Analysis:	EPA 8260B				
Matrix:	Water	Batch#:	139042				
Units:	ug/L	Analyzed:	06/09/08				
Diln Fac:	1.000						

Type:

BS

Ц

Lab ID: QC445565

Analyte	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits
MTBE	25.00	21.72	87	60-136
Benzene	25.00	23.80	95	80-120
Toluene	25.00	21.26	85	80-121
Ethylbenzene	25.00	27.62	110	80-124
m,p-Xylenes	50.00	50.46	101	80-128
o-Xylene	25.00	25.49	102	80-123

Surrogate	%REC	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	109	76-138	
Toluene-d8	93	80-120	
Bromofluorobenzene	107	80-120	

Type:

BSD

QC445566

Analyte	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	Lim
MTBE	25.00	21.23	85	60-136	2	20
Benzene	25.00	22.21	89	80-120	7	20
Toluene	25.00	20.75	83	80-121	2	20
Ethylbenzene	25.00	26.77	107	80-124	3	20
m,p-Xylenes	50.00	48.06	96	80-128	5	20
o-Xylene	25.00	23.44	94	80-123	8	20

Lab ID:

Surrogate	%REC	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	104	76-138
Toluene-d8	92	80-120
Bromofluorobenzene	110	80-120



Purgeable Aromatics by GC/MS						
Lab #:	203794	Location:	Ringsby Port of Oakland			
Client:	Microsearch Environmental Group	Prep:	EPA 5030B			
Project#:	202386	Analysis:	EPA 8260B			
Type:	BLANK	Diln Fac:	1.000			
Lab ID:	QC445567	Batch#:	139042			
Matrix:	Water	Analyzed:	06/09/08			
Units:	ug/L					

Analyte	Result	RL	
MTBE	ND	0.5	
Benzene	ND	0.5	
Toluene	ND	0.5	
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.5	
m,p-Xylenes	ND	0.5	
o-Xylene	ND	0.5	

Surrogate	%REC	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	106	76-138	
Toluene-d8	93	80-120	
Bromofluorobenzene	106	80-120	

APPENDIX C HISTORICAL GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL AND ELEVATION DATA

TABLE C-1 : Historical Groundwater Elevation Data

Port of Oakland, 651 and 555 Maritime Street Oakland, California

wonitoring		Elevation ¹ -	Depth to	Depth to	Product	Groundwater
Well	Date Measured	Top of Casing	Product	Water	Thickness	Elevation ¹
		(feet)	(feet btc)	(feet btc)	(feet)	(feet)
MW-1	04/18/2000	14.14	NM	8.21	0	5.93
	05/22/2000	14.14	NM	8.51	0	5.97
	07/10/2001	14.14	8.8	10	1.2	4.14
	12/12/2001	14.14	NA	NĂ	NA	NC
	03/08/2002	14.14	NM	NA	NA	NC
	06/13/2002	14.14	8.7	10	1.3	NC
	09/26/2002	14.14	8.6	9.5	0.9	NC
	03/17/2003	14 14	7 61	8 88	1 27	NC
	06/18/2003	14 14	82	9 44	1 24	NC
	09/03/2003	14 14	8.5	94	0.9	NC
	11/26/2003	14 14	8 85	9.25	0.4	NC
	03/05/2004	14 14	6 76	7.07	0.31	NC
	06/02/2004	14 14	8 26	8 71	0.45	NC
	09/03/2004	14 14	87	9.11	0.41	NC
	12/16/2004	14.14	7 75	7 92	0.41	NC
	03/20/2005	14.14	6.21	638	0.17	NC
	06/14/2005	14.14	7 /1	7.61	0.17	NC
	08/10/2005	14.14	8.05	8.55	0.2	NC
	00/10/2005	14.14	8.28	8.05	0.5	NC
	12/21/2005	14.14	5.7	5.95	0.07	NC
	03/24/2006	14.14	5.08	5.9 6.27	0.2	NC
	03/24/2000	14.14	7.88	8 35	0.29	NC
	01/20/2000	14.14 NA	10.59	10.91	0.47	NC NA
	06/01/2007	16.20	10.50	10.01	0.23	
	11/11/2007	16.29	10.97	10.02	0.34	NC
	6/5/2008	16.29	11.07	10.95	0.00	NC
	0/5/2008	10.29	11.50	11.40	0.10	INC
MW-2	12/31/1997	14.36	NP	8.73	0	5.63
	04/13/1998	14.36	NP	7.72	0	6.64
	11/06/1998	14.36	NP	9.43	0	4.93
	03/19/1999	14.36	NP	8.21	0	6.15
	06/24/1999	14.36	NP	8.91	0	5.45
	09/28/1999	14.36	NP	9.42	0	4.94
	11/12/1999	14.36	NP	9.63	0	4.73
	02/11/2000	14.36	NP	8.54	0	5.82
	05/22/2000	14.36	NP	8.1	0	6.26
	09/06/2000	14.36	NP	8.79	0	5.57
	12/19/2000	14.36	NP	9 19	0	5.17
	02/21/2001	14.36	NP	7 99	0	6.37
	04/03/2001	14.36	NP	8 23	0	6.13
	07/10/2001	14.36	NP	87	Ő	5.66
	12/12/2001	14.36	NP	8 16	0 0	6.2
	01/22/2007	14.36	NP	7 64	0 0	6 72
	03/08/2002	14 36	NP	8 31	0	6.05
	06/13/2002	14 36	NP	8.64	0	5 72
	09/26/2002	14 36	NP	8 95	0	5.72 5.41
	12/12/2002	14.36	NP	9 17	0	5 19
Monitoring		Elevation ¹ -	Depth to	Depth to	Product	Groundwater
------------	---------------	--------------------------	------------	------------	-----------	-------------------------------
Well	Date Measured	Top of Casing	Product	Water	Thickness	Elevation ¹
		(feet)	(feet btc)	(feet btc)	(feet)	(feet)
MW-2	03/17/2003	14.36	NP	7.77	0	6.59
	06/18/2003	14.36	NP	8.44	0	5.92
	09/03/2003	14.36	NP	8.98	0	5.38
	11/26/2003	17.21	NP	12.01	0	5.2
	03/05/2004	17.21	NP	9.75	0	7.46
	06/02/2004	17.21	NP	11.22	0	5.99
	09/03/2004	17.21	NP	11.62	0	5.59
	12/16/2004	17.21	NP	10.8	0	6 41
	03/29/2005	17.21	NP	9.67	0	7 54
	06/14/2005	17.21	NP	10.68	0	6.53
	08/10/2005	17.21	NP	11.05	0 0	6.16
	09/29/2005	17.21	NP	11.32	0	5.89
	12/21/2005	16.96	NP	9.57	0	7 39
	03/24/2006	16.96	NP	9.57	0	7.00
	07/28/2006	16.96		10.85	0	6.11
	07/20/2000	10.90 NA		11.60	0	0.11 NA
	06/01/2007	16.02		11.09	0	5.2
	11/11/2007	16.92		12.28	0	J.Z 4 64
	6/5/2008	16.92		12.20	0	4.04
	0/5/2008	10.92	INF	12.01		4.91
MW-3	11/06/1998	14.22	8.84	9.94	1.1	NC
	03/19/1999	14.22	7.52	8.05	0.53	NC
	06/24/1999	14.22	8.38	8.56	0.18	NC
	11/12/1999	14.22	9.14	9.23	0.09	NC
	02/11/2000	14.22	7.97	8.37	0.4	NC
	03/01/2000	14.22	6.59	7.24	0.65	NC
	03/21/2000	14.22	6.5	6.56	0.06	NC
	05/22/2000	14.22	7.51	8.05	0.54	NC
	06/26/2000	14.22	7.82	8.2	0.38	NC
	07/25/2000	14.22	7.9	8.92	1.02	NC
	08/31/2000	14 22	8 15	95	1.35	NC
	09/06/2000	14 22	8 21	9 42	1 21	NC
	09/21/2000	14 22	8.3	8.88	0.58	NC
	12/19/2000	14 22	8.6	9.65	1.05	NC
	02/22/2001	14 22	6.36	8 15	1 79	NC
	04/03/2001	14 22	7 48	8.88	14	NC
	04/23/2001	14 22	7.85	9 1	1 25	NC
	05/30/2001	14 22	7.00	9.1	1 35	NC
	07/10/2001	14 22	81	9.6	1.5	NC
	03/08/2002	14.22	7.8	8	0.2	NC
	04/03/2002	14 22	7.6	77	0.2	NC
	04/23/2002	14 22	79	84	0.5	NC.
	04/25/2002	14 22	79	8.9	0.0	NC
	05/10/2002	1/ 22	R 1	8.2	0.3	
	05/24/2002	1/1 22	8.05	0.∠ 8.1	0.1	
	05/24/2002	1/1 22	8 1	87	0.05	
	07/05/2002	14 22	8.1	8.95	0.85	NC.

Monitoring Well	Date Measured	Elevation ¹ -	Depth to Product	Depth to Water	Product Thickness	Groundwater Elevation ¹
		(feet)	(feet btc)	(feet btc)	(feet)	(feet)
MW-3	07/19/2002	14.22	8.1	8.9	0.8	NC
	07/30/2002	14.22	8.1	8.9	0.8	NC
	08/14/2002	14.22	8.1	8.9	0.8	NC
	09/13/2002	14.22	8.3	9.3	1	NC
	09/26/2002	14.22	8.3	9	0.7	NC
	10/14/2002	14.22	8.6	9.5	0.9	NC
	11/04/2002	14.22	8.75	9.99	1.24	NC
	11/21/2002	14.22	8.59	11.29	2.7	NC
	12/06/2002	14.22	8.56	9.3	0.74	NC
	12/18/2002	14.22	7.35	8.43	1.08	NC
	12/30/2002	14.22	6.5	7.15	0.65	NC
	01/02/2003	14.22	6.2	6.2	0	8.02
	01/03/2003	14.22	6.21	6.21	0	8.01
	01/14/2003	14.22	6.2	6.21	0.01	8.01
	01/30/2003	14.22	6.81	6.85	0.04	7.37
	02/18/2002	14.22	7.09	7.15	0.06	NC
	02/26/2003	14.22	7.04	7.11	0.07	NC
	03/13/2003	14.22	7.22	8.11	0.89	NC
	03/17/2003	14.22	7.15	7.5	0.35	NC
	04/16/2003	14.22	7.27	8.25	0.98	NC
	06/18/2003	14.22	7.78	9	1.22	NC
	09/03/2003	14.22	8.31	9.96	1.65	NC
	11/26/2003	16.18	10.79	12.85	2.06	NC
	03/05/2004	16.18	8.39	9.85	1.46	NC
	06/02/2004	16.18	10.03	11.35	1.32	NC
	09/03/2004	16.18	10.46	12.06	1.6	NC
	12/16/2004	16.18	9.41	10.38	0.97	NC
	03/29/2005	16.18	8.17	9.01	0.84	NC
	06/14/2005	16.18	9.59	10.55	0.96	NC
	08/10/2005	16.18	9.91	11.15	1.24	NC
	09/29/2005	16.18	10.21	11.61	1.4	NC
	12/21/2005	16.18	8.21	8.28	0.07	NC
	03/24/2006	16.18	8.2	8.82	0.62	NC
	07/28/2006	16.18	9.81	9.83	0.02	NC
	11/29/2006	NA	10.72	11.7	0.98	NA
	06/01/2007	16.15	10.77	11.46	0.69	NC
	11/14/2007	16.15	10.98	12.19	1.21	NC
	6/5/2008	16.15	10.51	11.96	1.45	NC
MW-4	12/31/1007	13 15	NP	7 09	0	6.06
	04/13/1998	13 15	NP	7 71	0	5 44
	11/06/1998	13 15	NP	8 69	Ő	4 46
	03/19/1999	13 15	NP	8	Ő	5 15
	06/24/1999	13 15	NP	8 45	0 0	47
	09/28/1999	13 15	NP	8 73	0 0	4 42
	11/12/1999	13 15	NP	8.83	0	4 32
	02/11/2000	13.15	NP	7.71	Õ	5.44

Monitoring Well	Date Measured	Elevation ¹ - Top of Casing	Depth to Product	Depth to Water	Product Thickness	Groundwater Elevation ¹
		(feet)	(feet btc)	(feet btc)	(feet)	(feet)
MW-4	05/22/2000	13.15	NP	8.09	0	5.06
	09/06/2000	13.15	NP	8.32	0	4.83
	12/19/2000	13.15	NP	8.47	0	4.68
	02/21/2001	13.15	NP	7.51	0	5.64
	04/03/2001	13.15	NP	8.13	0	5.02
	07/10/2001	13.15	NP	8.12	0	5.03
	12/12/2001	13.15	NP	7.65	0	5.5
	01/22/2002	13.15	NP	7.6	0	5.55
	03/08/2002	13.15	NP	7.96	0	5.19
	06/13/2002	13.15	NP	8.2	0	4.95
	09/26/2002	13.15	NP	8.21	0	4.94
	12/12/2002	13.15	NP	8.38	0	4.77
	03/17/2003	13.15	NP	7.72	0	5.43
	06/18/2003	13.15	NP	8.02	0	5.13
	09/03/2003	13.15	NP	8.29	0	4.86
	11/26/2003	13.15	NP	8.69	0	4.46
	03/05/2004	13.15	NP	7.45	0	5.7
	06/02/2004	13.15	NP	8.25	0	4.9
	09/03/2004	13.15	NP	8.31	0	4.84
	12/16/2004	13.15	NP	7.96	0	5.19
	03/29/2005	13.15	NP	7.11	0	6.04
	06/14/2005	13.15	NP	7.9	0	5.25
	08/10/2005	13.15	NP	7.86	0	5.29
	09/29/2005	13.15	NP	8	0	5.15
	12/21/2005	13.15	NP	7.3	0	5.85
	03/24/2006	13.15	NP	7.05	0	6.1
	07/28/2006	13.15	NP	7.92	0	5.23
	11/29/2006	NA	NP	11.63	0	NA
	06/01/2007	16.40	NP	11.82	0	4.58
	11/14/2007	16.40	NP	11.88	0	4.52
	6/5/2008	16.40	NP	11.67		4.73
MW-5	12/31/1997	13.49	NP	6.38	0	7.11
	04/13/1998	13.49	NP	5.56	0	7.93
	11/06/1998	13.49	NP	6.59	0	6.9
	03/19/1999	13.49	NP	6.2	0	7.29
	06/24/1999	13.49	NP	6.73	0	6.76
	09/28/1999	13.49	NP	6.91	0	6.58
	11/12/1999	13.49	NP	7.06	0	6.43
	02/11/2000	13.49	NP	7	0	6.49
	05/22/2000	13.49	NP	6.21	0	7.28
	09/06/2000	13.49	NP	6.56	0	6.93
	12/19/2000	13.49	NP	6.68	0	6.81
	02/21/2001	13.49	NP	6.08	0	7.41
	04/03/2001	13.49	NP	6.38	0	7.11
	07/10/2001	13.49	NP	6.58	0	6.91
	12/12/2001	13.49	NP	6.4	0	7.09

Monitoring		Elevation ¹ -	Depth to	Depth to	Product	Groundwater
Well	Date Measured	Top of Casing	Product	Water	Thickness	Elevation ¹
		(feet)	(feet btc)	(feet btc)	(feet)	(feet)
MW-5	01/22/2002	13.49	NP	6.1	0	7.39
	03/08/2002	13.49	NP	6.1	0	7.39
	06/13/2002	13.49	NP	6.31	0	7.18
	09/26/2002	13.49	NP	6.6	0	6.89
	12/12/2002	13.49	NP	6.75	0	6.74
	03/17/2003	13.49	NP	5.73	0	7.76
	06/18/2003	13.49	NP	6.1	0	7.39
	09/03/2003	13.49	NP	6.5	0	6.99
	11/26/2003	13.49	NP	6.7	0	6.79
	03/05/2004	13.49	NP	5.7	0	7.79
	06/02/2004	13.49	NP	6.27	0	7.22
	09/03/2004	13.49	NP	6.61	0	6.88
	12/16/2004	13.49	NP	6.02	0	7.47
	03/29/2005	13 49	NP	5 25	0	8 24
	06/14/2005	13 49	NP	5.82	0	7.67
	08/10/2005	13 49	NP	6	0 0	7.67
	09/29/2005	13 49	NP	6 26	0 0	7.18
	12/21/2005	13.49	NP	5 91	0 0	7.58
	03/24/2006	13.49	NP	NA2	NA2	NA2
	07/28/2006	13 49	NP	6.08	0	7 41
	11/29/2006	ΝΔ	NP	9.00	0	ΝΔ
	06/01/2007	15.89	NP	10.6	0	5 29
	11/11/2007	15.00	ND	9 77	0	6.12
	6/5/2008	15.89	NP	9 74		6.12
	0/0/2000	10.00		0.74		0.10
MW-6	06/24/1999	14	NP	8.61	0	5.39
	09/28/1999	14	NP	9.26	0	4.74
	11/12/1999	14	NP	8.01	0	5.99
	02/11/2000	14	NP	7.2	0	6.8
	05/22/2000	14	NP	7.13	0	6.87
	09/06/2000	14	NP	7.12	0	6.88
	12/19/2000	14	NP	7.57	0	6.43
	02/21/2001	14	NP	7.5	0	6.5
	04/03/2001	14	NP	6.88	0	7.12
	07/10/2001	14	NP	7.15	0	6.85
	12/12/2001	14	NP	9.5	0	4.5
	01/22/2002	14	NP	6.69	0	7.31
	03/08/2002	14	NP	6.98	0	7.02
	06/13/2002	14	NP	7.45	0	6.55
	09/26/2002	14	NP	7.95	0	6.05
	12/12/2002	14	NP	7.71	0	6.29
	12/18/2002		Monitori	ng Well Was D	estroyed	
	40/04/4007	44.05	NE	0.00	<u>^</u>	F 47
IVI VV- <i>1</i>	12/31/1997	14.35	NP	8.88	0	5.47
	04/13/1998	14.35	NP	7.86	U	6.49
	11/06/1998	14.35	NP	9.55	0	4.8
	03/19/1999	14.35	NΡ	8.41	U	5.94

Monitoring Well	Date Measured	Elevation ¹ - Top of Casing	Depth to Product	Depth to Water	Product Thickness	Groundwater Elevation ¹
-		(feet)	(feet btc)	(feet btc)	(feet)	(feet)
MW-7	06/24/1999	14.35	NP	9.08	0	5.27
	09/28/1999	14.35	NP	9.6	0	4.75
	11/12/1999	14.35	NP	9.77	0	4.58
	02/11/2000	14.35	NP	8.67	0	5.68
	05/22/2000	14.35	NP	8.43	0	5.92
	09/06/2000	14.35	NP	8.88	0	5.47
	12/19/2000	14.35	NP	9.21	0	5.14
	02/21/2001	14.35	NP	8.13	0	6.22
	04/03/2001	14.35	NP	8.45	0	5.9
	07/10/2001	14.35	NP	8.87	0	5.48
	12/12/2001	14.35	NP	8.39	0	5.96
	01/22/2002	14.35	NP	7.99	0	6.36
	03/08/2002	14.35	NP	8.51	0	5.84
	06/13/2002	14.35	NP	8.9	0	5.45
	09/26/2002	14.35	NP	9	0	5.35
	12/12/2002	14.35	NP	9.28	0	5.07
	12/18/2002		Monitori	ng Well Was D	estroyed	
MW-8	12/31/1997	12.94	8.49	8.82	0.33	NC
	11/06/1998	12.94	9.25	10.3	1.05	NC
	11/21/1998		Monitori	ng Well Was D	estroyed	
MW-8A	12/12/2001	12.94	NP	7.2	0	NA
	01/22/2002	12.94	NP	7.2	0	5.74
	03/08/2002	12.94	NP	7.7	0	5.24
	06/13/2002	12.94	NP	7.72	0	5.22
	09/26/2002	12.94	NP	7.91	0	5.03
	12/12/2002	12.94	NP	8.15	0	4.79
	03/17/2003	12.94	NP	7.28	0	5.66
	06/18/2003	12.94	NP	7.72	0	5.22
	09/03/2003	12.94	NP	8.18	0	4.76
	11/26/2003	12.94	NP	8.55	0	4.39
	03/05/2004	12.94	NP	6.92	0	6.02
	06/02/2004	12.94	NP	7.92	0	5.02
	09/03/2004	12.94	NP	8.16	U	4.78
	12/16/2004	12.94		7.62	U	5.32
	03/29/2005	12.94	NP	6.63	0	6.31
	06/14/2005	12.94	NP	7.6	0	5.34
	08/10/2005	12.94	NP	7.5	0	5.44
	09/29/2005	12.94		1.16	U	5.18
	12/21/2005	12.94	NP	6.9	U	6.04
	03/24/2006	12.94	NP	6.65	U	6.29
	07/28/2006	12.94		7.34	U	6.65
	11/29/2006	NA	NP	11.41	U	NA
	06/01/2007	15.48		11.26	U	4.22
	11/14/2007	15.48	NP	11.4	U	4.08
	6/5/2008	15.48	N۲	11.45		4.03

Port of Oakland, 651 and 555 Maritime Street

Oakland, California

Monitoring		Elevation ¹ -	Depth to	Depth to	Product	Groundwater
Well	Date Measured	Top of Casing	Product	Water	Thickness	Elevation ¹
		(feet)	(feet btc)	(feet btc)	(feet)	(feet)

Notes:

Source of data prior to December 2005: Innovative Technical Solutions, Inc. Third Quarter of 2005 Groundwter Monitoring and Product Monitoring Report, 8 November 2005.

NP = no product detected with the interface probe

NC = not calculated due to the presence of free-phase product in the well

btc = below top of the well casing

NA = not available

NM = not measured

1 Elevation data relative to Port of Oakland datum.

2 Well could not be measured due to abundant surface water covering well head.

3 Viscous product not related to the lighter product identified in other wells.

TABLE C-2 : Historical Groundwater Analytical DataPort of Oakland, 651 and 555 Maritime Street

Oakland, California

Well ID	Date	TPHg	TPHd	TPHmo	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl-	Total	MTBE
							benzene	Xylenes	
		(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)
MW-1	05/22/2000	3,600	41,000	<3,000	100	13 ⁸	2.9	2.05	3.2 ⁸
		N	ot sampled	further due	to the pres	ence of free	-phase proc	duct.	
MW-2	05/27/1994	87	470	NA	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA
	03/29/1995	<50	110	1,400	<0.4	<0.3	<0.3	<0.4	NA
	09/06/1995	<50	NA	NA	<0.4	<0.3	<0.3	<0.4	NA
	01/08/1996	<50	<50	1200	<0.4	<0.3	<0.3	<0.4	NA
	04/04/1996	<50	160	320	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	NA
	07/10/1996	<50	120	1400	<0.4	<0.3	<0.3	<0.4	
	12/03/1996	<50	230	<250	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	INA NA
	03/28/1997	<50 51	/14	<250	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	
	00/13/1997	21	<50	<250	<0.5 0.56	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	
	09/16/1997	o∠ ∠E0	<00	<200	0.00	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	
	12/31/1997	<50	<47	<200	1.4 <0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	
	11/06/1998	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA ~2.0
	03/10/1000	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
	06/24/1999	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
	09/28/1999	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
	11/12/1999	<50	120 ^{2,6}	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	6 3 ^{8,9}
	02/11/2000	<50	<50	<300	54	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2
	05/22/2000	<50	<50	<300	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	<2
	09/06/2000	<50	<50	<300	0.76.8	< 0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	<0.5 ¹⁰
	12/19/2000	200 3,11	<50	<300	39	1.8	<0.5	2.6	<0.5
	02/21/2001	200 ~50	<50	<300	<05	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	07/10/2001	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
	12/05/2001	<50	<50	<300	4.4	< 0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	5 0 ¹⁴
	03/08/2002	<50	<50	<500	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0
	06/13/2002	62 ¹⁵	<57	<570	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0
	09/26/2002	60 ²	<50	<500	1.8	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0
	12/12/2002	09 <50	<50	<300	0.98	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
	03/17/2003	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
	06/18/2003	<50	<50	<300	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	<2.0
	09/03/2003	<50	<50	<300	3.2	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	<2.0
	11/26/2003	<50	<50	<300	3	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
	03/05/2004	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
	06/02/2004	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
	09/03/2004	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
	12/16/2004	<50	96 ^{6,15}	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
	03/29/2005	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
	08/10/2005	<50	<50	<250	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	09/29/2005	<50	<50	<250	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	12/21/2005	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	03/24/2006	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	07/28/2006	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	11/29/2006	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	06/01/2007	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	11/14/2007	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	6/5/2008	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
MW-3			Not samp	led due to t	he presence	e of free-pha	ase product		

TABLE C-2 : Historical Groundwater Analytical DataPort of Oakland, 651 and 555 Maritime StreetOakland, California

Well ID	Date	TPHg	TPHd	TPHmo	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl-	Total	MTBE
		<i>, , ,</i> ,			<i>.</i>		benzene	Xylenes	
		(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)
MW-4	09/11/1995	150	<200	500	23	<0.3	<0.3	<0.4	NA
	01/08/1996	790	90	400	170	1.2	0.6	0.6	NA
	04/04/1996	1,100	180	300	320	1.6	1.1	1.2	NA
	07/10/1996	1,200	120	300	470	1.5	0.8	0.8	NA
	12/03/1996	990	220 ^{1,2}	<250	350	3.3	1.3	1.3	NA
	03/28/1997	440 ²	<50	<250	190	1.2	0.64	<1.0	NA
	06/13/1997	1,300	92 ⁵	<250	500	5.5	3.4	2.8	NA
	09/18/1997	1,300	150	<250	550	4.9	2.1	2	NA
	12/31/1997	73 ^{1,2,3}	<47	<280	110 ¹	1.0 ¹	<0.5	<1.0	NA
	04/13/1998	150 ^{2,3}	<50	<300	520	2.9	<2.5	<5.0	NA
	11/06/1998	<50	<50	<300	250	1.7	<1.0	<1.0	<4
	03/19/1999	81	<50	<300	250	<1	1.2	<1.0	<4
Dup.	06/24/1999	190	<50	<300	360	1.4	2.2	1	24
	09/28/1999	750 ^{3,5}	63 ^{3,5}	<300	280	1.5	<1.0	<1.0	<4
	11/12/1999	330 ³	840 ²	<300	740	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	42 ⁹
	02/11/2000	200 ²	<50	<300	58	0.73	<0.5	<0.5	4.4 ⁸
	05/22/2000	240	<50	<300	500	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	17
	09/06/2000	530 ^{2,3}	<50	<300	190	0.93	0.6	0.57	< 0.5 ¹⁰
	12/19/2000	960 ^{3,11}	70 ⁵	<300	420	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	< 0.5 10,12
	12/19/2000	1.200 ^{3,11}	<50	<300	440	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	< 0.5 10,12
	02/21/2001	450 ¹³	<50	<300	120	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5 ¹⁰
	07/10/2001	<250	110 ^{2,13}	<300	620	2.6	2.9	<2.5	< 0.5 ^{8,10}
	12/05/2001	180	<50	<300	61	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	3.8 ¹⁴
	03/08/2002	100 ²	51 ²	<500	180	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<25
	06/13/2002	430 830 ²	<50	<500	250	< 5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<50
Dup	06/13/2002	000 000 ²	<56	<560	240	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<50
Dup.	09/26/2002	020 200 ²	57	<500	150	21	<10	<1.0	<10
Dun	09/26/2002	390 500 ²	.50 ¹⁶	5000 ¹⁶	200	1.5	<1.0	<1.0	<10
Dup.	12/12/2002	500	<50	<000	200	1.0	0.56	<0.5	<10
Dun	12/12/2002	2 400	<50	<300	240 680	5	23	14	<2.0
Dup.	03/17/2003	120 ¹⁵	<50	<300	220 ¹⁷	<05	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5 ¹⁰
Dup	03/17/2003	130 00 ¹⁵	<50	<300	320 190	0.64 17	0.56	0.53	<0.5
Dup.	06/18/2003	02 260 ^{11,15}	<50	<300	150	0.04 <0.5	<0.00	<0.00	< 0.5
Dun	06/18/2003	$300^{11,15}$	<50	<300	140	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
Dup.	00/03/2003	330 4 40 11.15	~50	<300	240	13	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
Dun	09/03/2003	140	<50	<300	120	0.50.17	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
Dup.	11/26/2003	83	<00	<300	220	0.58	<0.5	<0.5 0.52	<2.0
Durin	11/20/2003	160 ¹⁶	68	<300	320	0.91	<0.5	0.55	<2.0
Dup.	11/26/2003	120	<00	<300	210	0.66 ''	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
	03/05/2004	90 ''	<50	<300	190	1.1	0.55	0.50 ''	23 14,17 <0.5
Dup.	03/05/2004	84 ''	<50	<300	180	0.81	<0.5	<0.5	21 '','' <0.5 ''
	06/02/2004	620 ¹³	<50	<300	210	0.5517	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
Dup.	06/02/2004	400 ¹³	<50	<300	130	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
	09/03/2004	780 ^{13,15}	<50	<300	<0.5	1.0 ¹⁷	<0.5	0.57	<2.0
Dup.	09/03/2004	370 ^{13,15}	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
	12/16/2004	840	<50	<300	290	1.3 ¹⁷	0.69	0.75	<2.0
Dup.	12/16/2004	670	<50	<300	230	1.3 ¹⁷	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
	03/29/2005	440 ¹³	<50	<300	140	0.57	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
Dup.	03/29/2005	540 ¹³	<50	<300	170	0.72	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0

TABLE C-2 : Historical Groundwater Analytical DataPort of Oakland, 651 and 555 Maritime Street

Oakland, California

Well ID	Date	TPHg	TPHd	TPHmo	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl-	Total	MTBE
							benzene	Xylenes	
		(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)
MW-4	08/10/2005	500 ¹⁸	<50	<250	180	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5
	09/29/2005	360 ¹⁸	50 ²⁰	<250	160	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0
Dup	09/29/2005	420 ¹⁸	<50	<250	150	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0
Dup.	12/21/2005	420	<50	<300	76	<0.0	<0.0	<0.0	<0.0
Dup	12/21/2005	160	<50	<300	76	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Dup.	03/24/2006	420	51	<300	120	0.8	<0.0	<0.0	<0.0
Dup	03/24/2006	440	<50	<300	130	<0.0	<0.7	<0.7	<0.7
Dup.	08/04/2006	560	02^{2}	<300	160	<1.3	4.3	<1.3	<1.3
Dup	08/04/2006	590	32 100 ²	<300	150	<1.3	4.5	<1.3	<1.3
Dup.	11/29/2006	300	<50	<300	42	<0.7	1	<0.7	<0.7
Dup	11/29/2006	300	<50	<300	60	<0.7	<07	<0.7	<0.7
Dup.	06/01/2007	100 ^{13,15}	<50	<300	10	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Dup	06/01/2007	100 100 ^{13,15}	<50	<300	11	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Dup.	11/14/2007	TUU = 4 ¹⁵	<50	<200	2.1	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
D	11/14/2007	54 ···	<50	<300	2.1	<0.5 -0.5	<0.5 -0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Dup.	11/14/2007	51 ¹⁰	<50	<300	2.1	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	6/5/2008	67 10	<50	<300	14	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Dup.	6/5/2008	91 ¹⁵	<50	<300	15	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
NA)A/ 5	00/11/1005	00	<200	2 500	2.2	<0.3	<0.3	<0.4	ΝΔ
IVI VV-5	09/11/1995	90 ~50	180	2,500	-0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.4	NA
	07/10/1996	<50	120	1 500	<0.5	<0.5	<0.3	<0.4	NA
	12/03/1996	<50	200 ^{1,2}	<250	<0.4	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	NA
	03/28/1007	<50	200 ~50	<250	<0.0	<0.0	<0.0	<1.0	ΝΔ
	06/13/1007	<50	<50	<250	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	ΝΔ
	09/18/1997	<50	<50	<250	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	NA
	12/31/1997	<50	<00 <47	<280	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	NA
	04/13/1998	<50	<47	<280	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	NA
	11/06/1998	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<2.0
	03/19/1999	<50	<50	<300	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<2.0
	06/24/1999	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	3.1
	09/28/1999	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
	11/12/1999	<50	110 ^{2,6}	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	5.5 ⁹
	02/11/2000	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
	05/22/2000	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
	09/06/2000	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
	12/19/2000	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
	02/21/2001	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
	07/10/2001	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
	12/05/2001	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
	03/08/2002	<50	<50	<500	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0
	06/13/2002	<50	<50	<500	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0
	09/26/2002	<50	<50	<500	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0
	12/12/2002	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
	03/17/2003	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5 10
	06/18/2003	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
	09/03/2003	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
	11/26/2003	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	4.1 ¹⁴ , <0.5 ¹⁰
	03/05/2004	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
	06/02/2004	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
	09/03/2004	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0

TABLE C-2 : Historical Groundwater Analytical DataPort of Oakland, 651 and 555 Maritime StreetOakland, California

Well ID	Date	TPHg	TPHd	TPHmo	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl-	Total	MTBE
		<i>(u</i>)	(")	(())	(")	(()	benzene	Xylenes	(
		(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)
MW-5	12/16/2004	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	2.2 ¹⁴ , <0.5 ¹⁰
	03/29/2005	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
	08/10/2005	<50	<50	<250	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Dup.	08/10/2005	<50 ¹⁹	<50 ¹⁹	<250	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	09/29/2005	<50	<50	<250	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	12/21/2005	<50	180 ^{15,22}	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	07/28/2006	<50	180	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	11/29/2006	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	06/01/2007	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	11/14/2007	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	6/5/2008	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
MW-6	11/06/1998	120	12.000	1.200	19	0.65	1.8	<0.5	<2
-	03/19/1999	170	3,800	580	21	0.86	1.5	2.9	<2
	06/24/1999	120	1700 ⁷	<300 7	18	<0.5	1	<0.5	54
	09/28/1999	130 ^{3,5}	820	<300	20	0.51	2.2	<0.5	<2
	11/12/1999	150	11 000 ^{2,6}	3 000 ^{3,6}	27	<0.5	2.2	<0.5	13 ⁹
	02/11/2000	270 ²	2.300	<300	23	0.51	2.7	<0.5	5.8
	05/22/2000	350	3.000	<300	18	0.51	<0.5	<0.5	7.7
	09/06/2000	190	610	<300	26	< 0.5	1.7	< 0.5	< 0.5 ¹⁰
	12/19/2000	130 ^{3,11}	620	<300	24	< 0.5	1.6	< 0.5	<0.0
	02/21/2001	120 ¹³	440	<300	21	<0.5	0.96	<0.5	<2
	07/10/2001	120	560	<300	29	<0.5	0.00	<0.5	-2
	12/12/2001	53	550	<300	27	<0.5	1.3	<0.5	<20
	03/08/2002	160 ²	640 ²	<500	30	<0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	5 0 ¹⁴
	06/13/2002	160 ²	670 ²	<500	34	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<5.0
	09/26/2002	220 ²	1 400 ²	<500	40	0.64	0.8	<0.5	<5.0
	12/12/2002	53	1,400	<300	43	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
	12/18/2002			M	lonitorina W	ell was des	troved.		-2.0
MW-7	09/06/1995	<50	<300	800	<0.4	< 0.3	<0.3	<0.4	NA
	01/08/1996	<50	410	110	<0.4	<0.3	<0.3	<0.4	NA
	04/04/1996	<50	530	340	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	NA
	07/10/1996	80	840	1,700	<0.4	<0.3	<0.3	<0.4	NA
	12/03/1996	<50	280 ^{1,2}	<250	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	NA
	03/28/1997	65 ⁶	94 ²	<250	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	NA
	06/13/1997	<50	100	<250	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	NA
	09/18/1997	<50	240	<250	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	NA
	12/31/1997	<50	53 ^{2,3}	<280	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	NA
	04/13/1998	<50	<48	<290	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	NA
	11/06/1998	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2
	03/19/1999	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	5.3
	06/24/1999	73	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	12
	09/28/1999	<50	<50	<300	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	14
	11/12/1999	<50	600 ^{2,0}	420 °	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	15 [°]
	02/11/2000	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	51
	05/22/2000	110	53 ²	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	/5
	09/06/2000	50 [°]	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	40 ¹⁰
	12/19/2000	54 ¹¹	51 ⁵	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	47 ^{10,12}
	02/21/2001	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	66 ¹⁰
Dup.	02/21/2001	<50	<50	<300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	60 ¹⁰

TABLE C-2 : Historical Groundwater Analytical Data

Port of Oakland, 651 and 555 Maritime Street

Oakland, California

	benzene (µg/L)	Xylenes	
(ua/l) (ua/l) (ua/l) (ua/l) (ua/l)	(µg/L)		
		(µg/L)	(µg/L)
MW-7 07/10/2001 <50 51 ² <300 <0.5 <0.5	<0.5	<0.5	76 ¹⁰
Dup. 07/10/2001 <50 <50 <300 <0.5 <0.5	<0.5	<0.5	75 ¹⁰
12/12/2001 51 <50 <300 <0.5 <0.5	<0.5	<0.5	98 ¹⁴
Dup. 12/12/2001 64 52 ^{13,15} <300	<0.5	<0.5	96 ¹⁴
03/08/2002 52 ² <50 <500 <0.5 <0.5	<0.5	<0.5	24 ¹⁴
06/13/2002 87 ² 54 ² <500 <0.5 <0.5	<0.5	<0.5	51
09/26/2002 83 ² 84 ² <500 <0.5 <0.5	<0.5	<0.5	75 ¹⁰
12/12/2002 <50 <50 <300 <0.5 <0.5	<0.5	<0.5	58 ¹⁴
12/18/2002 Monitoring Well Was Destr	troyed		•
MW-8A 12/12/2001 68 720 ^{11,15} <300 <0.5 <0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
03/08/2002 <50 760 ² <570 <0.5 <0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0
Dup. 03/08/2002 <50	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0
06/13/2002 <50 570 ² <570 <0.5 <0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0
09/26/2002 <50 410 ² <500 <0.5 <0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0
12/12/2002 <50 160 ¹⁵ <300 <0.5 <0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
03/17/2003 <50 <50 <300 <0.5 <0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5 ¹⁰
06/18/2003 <50 74 ¹⁵ <300 <0.5 <0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
09/03/2003 <50 <50 <300 <0.5 <0.5	<0.5	<0.5	3.0 ¹⁴ /<0.5 ¹⁰
11/26/2003 <50 94 ¹⁵ <300 <0.5 <0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
03/05/2004 <50 <50 <300 <0.5 <0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
06/02/2004 <50 67 ¹⁵ <300 <0.5 <0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
09/03/2004 <50 86 ¹⁵ <300 <0.5 <0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
12/16/2004 <50 160 ^{6,15} <300 <0.5 <0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
03/29/2005 <50 53 <300 <0.5 <0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
08/10/2005 <50 ¹⁹ 150 ^{15,19} <250 <0.5 <0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
09/29/2005 <50 66 ²¹ <250 <0.5 <0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
12/21/2005 <50 63 ^{15,22} <300 <0.5 <0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
03/24/2006 <50 71 <300 <0.5 <0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
07/28/2006 <50 70 15 <300 <0.5 <0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
11/29/2006 <50 <50 <300 <0.5 <0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	<0.0	<0.5	<0.5

Notes:

Data prior to December 2005 from 3rd Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring, and Product Recovery Report dated 8 November 2005, by Innovative Technical Solutions, Inc.

 $\mu g/L = micrograms per liter$

Dup. = duplicate sample

NA = not analyzed

TPHg = total petroleum hydrocarbons in gasoline range.

TPHd = total petroleum hydrocarbons in diesel range.

TPHmo = total petroleum hydrocarbons in motor oil range.

MTBE = methyl tert-butyl ether

1 Analyte found in the associated blank as well as in the sample.

2 Hydrocarbons present do not match profile of laboratory standard.

3 Low boiling point/lighter hydrocarbons are present in the sample.

TABLE C-2 : Historical Groundwater Analytical Data

Port of Oakland, 651 and 555 Maritime Street

Oakland, California

Well ID	Date	TPHg	TPHd	TPHmo	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl-	Total	MTBE
							benzene	Xylenes	
		(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					1

Notes (cont'd.):

4 Chromatographic pattern matches known laboratory contaminant.

- 5 Hydrocarbons are present in the requested fuel quantification range, but do not resemble pattern of available fuel standard.
- 6 High boiling point/heavier hydrocarbons are present in sample.
- 7 Sample did not pass laboratory QA/QC and may be biased low.
- 8 Presence of this compound confirmed by second column, however, the confirmation concentration differed from the reported result by more than a factor of two.
- 9 Trip blank contained MTBE at a concentration of 4.2 µg/L.
- 10 MTBE detections confirmed by EPA Test Method 8260; 8260 results displayed.
- 11 Sample exhibits unknown single peak or peaks.
- 12 EPA Method 8260 confirmation analyzed past holding time.
- 13 Lighter hydrocarbons contributed to the quantitation.
- 14 MTBE results from EPA Test Method 8021B.
- 15 Sample exhibits fuel pattern that does not resemble standard.
- 16 Sample extracted out of hold time.
- 17 Presence confirmed, but Relative Percent Difference (RPD) between columns exceeds 40%.
- 18 Unmodified or weakly modified gasoline is significant.
- 19 Liquid sample contains greater than ~1 vol. % sediment.
- 20 Gasoline compounds are significant.
- 21 Diesel range compounds are significant; no recognizable pattern.
- 22 Heavier hydrocarbons contributed to the quantitation.