### THRIFTY OIL CO.

### RECEIVED

By lopprojectop at 9:16 am, May 10, 2006

April 26, 2006

**O.65987** 

Mr. Amir Gholami, REHS Alameda County Health Care Services Department of Environmental Health 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, 2nd Floor Alameda, CA 94502 Local #RO0000005 RWQCB #01-1479

RE: Former Thrifty Oil Co. Station #063 ARCO Products Company Station #9542 6125 Telegraph Avenue Oakland, CA Site Conceptual Model and Plume Travel Time Report

Dear Mr. Gholami:

Presented herein is the *Site Conceptual Model and Plume Travel Time Report* prepared for former Thrifty Oil Co. (Thrifty) Station #063 located at 6125 Telegraph Avenue, Oakland, California. As requested this report contains a discussion of sensitive receptors, plot plans showing excavation areas and existing UST components, depth specific soil and groundwater isoconcentration maps for pre- and post-remediation, tables of historical soil and groundwater data with comparisons to ESLs and Regional Board Basin Plan water quality objectives, a complete list of all boring logs, and cross sections showing borings, wells, preferential pathways, excavation boundaries, water levels, and residual contamination.

Should you have any questions regarding this report, please contact either Michael Bowery or myself at 562 921-3581.

Respectfully submitted,


Chris Panaitescu General Manager Environmental Affairs

cc: BP West Coast Products LLC; Mr. Bobby Lu, P.G File



**RECEIVED** 

By lopprojectop at 9:16 am, May 10, 2006



Prepared for Thrifty Oil Co. 13116 Imperial Highway Santa Fe Springs, California 90670

Prepared by GeoHydrologic Consultants, Inc. 5912 Bolsa Avenue, Suite 200 Huntington Beach, California 92649

### CONTENTS

CEI	RTIFICATIONIII
1.0	INTRODUCTION1
2.0	SITE DESCRIPTION1
3.0	SITE CHARACTERIZATION DATA
	3.1 Geology/Hydrogeology1
	3.1.1 Geology
	3.1.2 Hydrogeology
	3.1.3 Production Well Survey
	3.2 Sensitive Receptor Survey
	3.3 Previous Site Assessment Activities
	3.4 Previous Remedial Activities
4.0	SITE CONCEPTUAL MODEL
5.0	PLUME TRAVEL TIME REPORT
6.0	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS10

### TABLES

1 Historic Soil Sample Laboratory Analytical Res	ults
--	------

- 2A Historic Groundwater Sample Laboratory Analytical Results
- 2B Historic Groundwater Sample Laboratory Analytical Results Other Oxygenates
- 3 Well Completion Details

#### FIGURES

- 1 Site Vicinity
- 2 Site Plan
- 3A Geologic Cross-Section A-A'

3B Geologic Cross-Section B-B'

3C Geologic Cross-Section C-C'

4A Distribution of TPHg in Soil (Pre-Remediation, 0-10 Feet Below Ground Surface)

- 4B Distribution of Benzene in Soil (Pre-Remediation, 0-10 Feet Below Ground Surface)
- 4C Distribution of MTBE in Soil (Pre-Remediation, 0-10 Feet Below Ground Surface)
- 4D Distribution of TPHg in Soil (Pre-Remediation, 11-20 Feet Below Ground Surface)
- 4E Distribution of Benzene in Soil (Pre-Remediation, 11-20 Feet Below Ground Surface)
- 4F Distribution of MTBE in Soil (Pre-Remediation, 11-20 Feet Below Ground Surface)
- 4G Distribution of TPHg in Soil (Post-Remediation, 0-10 Feet Below Ground Surface)
- 4H Distribution of Benzene in Soil (Post-Remediation, 0-10 Feet Below Ground Surface)
- 4I Distribution of MTBE in Soil (Post-Remediation, 0-10 Feet Below Ground Surface)
- 4J Distribution of TPHg in Soil (Post-Remediation, 11-20 Feet Below Ground Surface)
- 4K Distribution of Benzene in Soil (Post-Remediation, 11-20 Feet Below Ground Surface)
- 4L Distribution of MTBE in Soil (Post-Remediation, 11-20 Feet Below Ground Surface)
- 5 Groundwater Elevation Contour Map
- 6A Distribution of TPHg in Groundwater (Post-Remediation)
- 6B Distribution of Benzene in Groundwater (Post-Remediation)
- 6C Distribution of MTBE in Groundwater (Post-Remediation)
- 6D Distribution of TPHg in Groundwater (Pre-Remediation)
- 6E Distribution of Benzene in Groundwater (Pre-Remediation)
- 6F Distribution of MTBE in Groundwater (Pre-Remediation)
- 7A Benzene/MTBE Concentrations and Groundwater Elevations vs. Time (MW-1)
- 7B Benzene/MTBE Concentrations and Groundwater Elevations vs. Time (MW-2)
- 7C Benzene/MTBE Concentrations and Groundwater Elevations vs. Time (MW-3)
- 7D Benzene/MTBE Concentrations and Groundwater Elevations vs. Time (MW-4)
- 7E Benzene/MTBE Concentrations and Groundwater Elevations vs. Time (MW-5)
- 7F Benzene/MTBE Concentrations and Groundwater Elevations vs. Time (MW-6)
- 8 Site Plan with Conduit Locations

#### APPENDICES

- A BIOSCREEN Plume Travel Time Output
- B Groundwater Remediation System Data
- C Historic Boring and Well Logs

- D ESLs for Soil and Basin Plan Objectives for Groundwater
- E Production Well Location Map
- F Existing and Potential Beneficial Uses of Groundwater in Identified Basins

### CERTIFICATION

All hydrogeologic and geologic information, conclusions, and recommendations in this document have been prepared under the supervision of and reviewed by a GeoHydrologic Consultants, Inc. California Registered Geologist.

May April 24, 2006 Date Richard A. Vogl

Principal Hydrogeologist California Registered Geologist (5526) California Certified Hydrogeologist (47) California Certified Engineering Geologist (2036)



### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

On behalf of Thrifty Oil Co. (Thrifty), GeoHydrologic Consultants, Inc. (GHC) has prepared this report to fulfill the requirements of the Alameda County Health Care Agency (ACHCA), which required Thrifty to prepare a Site Conceptual Model for Thrifty Station No. 063 located at 6125 Telegraph Avenue in Oakland, California ("the Site"; **Figure 1**). The requirements of this work were set forth in the ACHCA's letter to Thrifty dated December 7, 2005. The purpose of this work is to summarize all activities that have occurred at the Site to date.

### 2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION

The Site is an active service station located at the southwest corner of the intersection of Telegraph Avenue and  $62^{nd}$  Street in the City of Oakland, California. The Site consists of two active pump islands, a service station building, and two 20,000-gallon double-walled underground storage tanks (USTs) (**Figure 2**).

### 3.0 SITE CHARACTERIZATION DATA

### 3.1 Geology / Hydrogeology

### 3.1.1 Geology

The Site is located at 6125 Telegraph Avenue in the City of Oakland (**Figure 1**) at an elevation of approximately 145 feet above mean sea level. Local topography slopes to the southwest at approximately 0.025 feet/foot. The Site is located within the San Francisco Bay structural depression of the Coast Ranges Physiographic Province in north-central Alameda County, California. The Site is situated in the flatland region between the San Francisco Bay and the Oakland Hills. This flatland region is comprised of Quaternary alluvium and estuarine bay and marsh deposits. Bedrock in the area consists of sedimentary, metasedimentary, volcanic, and intrusive rocks of Jurassic through Tertiary geologic age. Quaternary-age marine and alluvial sediments blanket the downwarped bedrock within the basin in which the Site is located. Shallow groundwater is locally present within the Quaternary sediments. The Site is underlain by Holocene alluvium and marsh deposits comprised of silts and clay. Soil types encountered during site investigation activities consisted predominantly of silty clay and silty sand from the ground surface to the total depth of investigation (30 feet).

Geologic cross sections are included as Figures 3A, 3B, and 3C. The lines of cross section are shown in Figure 2.

### 3.1.2 Hydrogeology

The area of investigation lies within the East Bay Plain groundwater basin which consists of two main water bearing units. The primary unit is comprised of unconsolidated alluvial deposits of Late Quaternary age and a secondary, older semi-consolidated deposit of Tertiary-Quaternary age. Groundwater within these deposits is both confined and unconfined, with the majority of the aquifers being confined. The Site is within the Berkeley alluvial plain sub area of the Bay Plains Groundwater Basin.

Groundwater is present beneath the Site under unconfined conditions at depths ranging from approximately 14.55 feet bgs in MW-6 to 18.19 feet bgs in MW-1 (**Table 2A**). A groundwater elevation contour map based on the October 12, 2005 monitoring data indicates that groundwater flows to the west-southwest at an approximate gradient of 0.0649 feet/foot (**Figure 5**).

### 3.1.3 Production Well Survey

In 1986, Woodward Clyde Consultants (WCC) conducted a production well survey. Records found indicated that approximately five wells exist within a one mile radius of the Site. Two of the wells in the area are, or were, used for industrial purposes, two for irrigation, and one for domestic use. No municipal wells were identified anywhere near the Site. The closest well is the domestic well located approximately ¼ mile (approximately 1,300 feet) to the south of the Site. The closest well in the downgradient direction is an irrigation well located ½ mile (approximately 2,640 feet) to the westnorthwest of the Site. Through phone correspondence on April 12, 2006 with James Yoo of the County of Alameda Public Works Agency, it was found that no production wells have been installed near the Site since WCC conducted their production well survey, and that there are no closer wells than the ones described above. The locations of the wells are shown in **Appendix E**.

### 3.2 Sensitive Receptor Survey

Based on the production well survey conducted by WCC, the closest sensitive receptor is a domestic well located approximately ¼ mile (approximately 1,300 feet) to the south of the Site. There appear to be no sensitive receptors such as surface water bodies within at least a half mile radius of the Site. The San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board's (SFRWQCB) Basin Plan indicates that groundwater within the basin has existing beneficial uses for municipal and domestic water supply, industrial process water supply, industrial service water supply, and agricultural water supply (**Appendix F**).

### 3.3 Previous Site Assessment Activities

An initial site assessment was conducted by Groundwater Technology from June through August of 1986 which consisted of advancing three soil borings and installing three 2-inch monitoring wells (MW-1 through MW-3) to 30 feet bgs. Soil samples were taken at

five foot intervals in all borings beginning at a depth of 6 to 8 feet. The samples taken at a depth of 14 to 14.5 in borings MW-2 and MW-3 and at a depth of 17 to 17.5 feet in boring MW-1 were submitted for laboratory analysis. The sample from MW-2 was found to contain 735 parts per million (ppm) total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPHg) while samples from MW-1 and MW-3 contained 471.5 ppm and 52 ppm, respectively. Benzene concentrations in the three wells ranged from 5.4 ppm to 12.6 ppm. Groundwater samples were collected and TPHg was detected in MW-4 at 100 ppm. The SFRWQCB Environmental Screening Levels (ESLs) for TPHg, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, and MTBE in soil are 100 mg/kg, 0.044 mg/kg, 2.9 mg/kg, 3.3 mg/kg, 2.3 mg/kg, and 0.023 mg/kg, respectively. The presence of free product was observed in all three wells at a thickness of 0.01 feet in MW-1, 0.84 feet in MW-2, and 0.46 feet in MW-3.

A follow-up assessment in November 1986 was conducted by Woodward-Clyde Consultants (WCC) and consisted of advancing three 30-foot deep borings and installing three monitoring wells (MW-4 through MW-6). Soil samples were taken at five foot intervals down to the water table in all borings. Only those samples exhibiting signs of contamination and/or located at the water table were submitted for laboratory analysis. TPHg and benzene were detected in MW-4 at the 16 foot interval at concentrations of 1,100 mg/kg and 13 mg/kg, respectively (TPHg soil ESL is 100 mg/kg). Groundwater samples were collected and TPHg was detected in MW-4 at 100 ppm (TPHg Regional Board Basin Plan Groundwater Objective (BPO) is 100 µg/L). The presence of free product was observed in MW-1 through MW-3, which supported a previous assumption that some free product was still present in the tank backfill. The original product thicknesses were greater, but a manual bailing recovery program implemented by Thrifty had reduced the thicknesses considerably. The presence of product in MW-2 was likely due to its close proximity to the backfill while the product in MW-3 was probably a result of it being located downgradient of the backfill. The low permeability nature of the clayey substrate surrounding the tank pit area would have tended to contain, within the backfill, any free product that may have accumulated from occasional overfills or historical leaks.

On September 11, 1987, a limited subsurface investigation was conducted by Hydrotech Consultants, Inc. Four soil borings were advanced to 20 feet bgs and soil samples were taken at five foot intervals. Laboratory analysis was performed on soil samples recovered from B-1 at the 10 and 20 foot intervals. Both soil samples analyzed contained less than 10 mg/kg TPHg.

On June 11 and 12, 1997, a baselining subsurface investigation was conducted by Pacific Environmental Group, Inc. Seven soil borings were advanced to 20 feet bgs (TDD-1 through TDD-5,TDD-8 and TDD-9) and two soil borings were advanced to 10 feet bgs (TDD-6 and TDD-7) and soil samples were collected at five foot intervals. TPHg was detected in boring TDD-6 at the five foot interval at a concentration of 550 mg/kg, and in borings TDD-1 through TDD-4 at the 15 foot interval at concentrations of 480 mg/kg, 37.0 mg/kg, 7.5 mg/kg, and 36 mg/kg, respectively (TPHg soil ESL is 100 mg/kg). Benzene concentrations ranged from below the laboratory method detection limit (MDL) to 2.5 mg/kg in TDD-6 at the five foot interval (benzene soil ESL is 0.044 mg/kg).

MTBE concentrations ranged from below the MDL to 12 mg/kg in TDD-3 at the 15 foot interval (MTBE soil ESL is 0.023 mg/kg). MTBE was not confirmed using EPA method 8260B.

On February 4, 1998, three gasoline USTs and their associated piping were removed from the Site under the supervision of Pacific Environmental Group, Inc. The tanks consisted of two 10,000-gallon and one 12,000-gallon capacity USTs and were constructed of steel coated with fiberglass. On February 10, 1998, two 20,000-gallon double-walled USTs were installed at the Site. Approximately 977 tons of impacted soil was excavated and disposed of offsite. Soil samples were collected and analyzed. Areas of petroleum hydrocarbon impacted soil were present in the former UST basin and the product piping trenches. TPHg concentrations from the former UST excavation ranged from below the laboratory MDL in T-3 to 260 mg/kg in T-2. TPHg concentrations from the piping samples ranged from below the laboratory MDL in P-3 to 1,200 mg/kg in P-2 (TPHg ESL for soil is 100 mg/kg).

Copies of historic boring and well logs are included in **Appendix C**. The ESLs for soil and the BPOs for groundwater are included in **Appendix D**.

### 3.4 Previous Remedial Activities

During the UST removal activities in February 1988, approximately 977 tons of impacted soil was excavated and disposed of offsite.

Site remedial activities were initiated in April 1991. Presently, the remediation system consists of a groundwater treatment system that extracts groundwater from monitoring wells MW-3 and MW-4 with treatment utilizing activated carbon. System operational data is included in **Appendix B**. As of December 15, 2005, the groundwater treatment system treated approximately 2,705,679 gallons of groundwater since start-up in April 1991. The system was upgraded in the 2<sup>nd</sup> quarter 2005, consisting of a pump replacement in well MW-3 and the adding of well MW-4 to the extraction well array. On May 10, 2005, the system was restarted with a new pump in well MW-3 and on May 13, 2005 a pump was installed in well MW-4. The pump in well MW-4 was started on May 20, 2005.

### 4.0 SITE CONCEPTUAL MODEL

This Site Conceptual Model was prepared on behalf of Thrifty Oil Co. (Thrifty) to fulfill the requirements set forth by the Alameda County Health Care Agency (ACHCA) in their letter dated December 7, 2005. As additional information is obtained from the Site, the Site Conceptual Model will be updated appropriately. The current Site Conceptual Model is as follows:

Soils beneath the Site consist primarily of silty clay and silty sand from the ground surface to the total depth of investigation (30 feet) (Figures 3A, 3B, and 3C). Bedrock in the area consists of sedimentary, metasedimentary, volcanic, and intrusive rocks of Jurassic through Tertiary geologic age. Figures 4A through 4L show the pre and post- remediation distributions of TPHg, benzene, and MTBE in shallow and deep soil depths.

- Groundwater beneath the Site is under unconfined conditions at a depth of approximately 15 to 18 feet below grade. Groundwater has historically flowed approximately southwest at a hydraulic gradient ranging from approximately 0.04 feet per foot to 0.06 feet per foot. Currently, the depth to groundwater beneath the Site ranges from 18.19 feet below the ground surface (81.15 feet above sea level) in MW-1 to 14.55 feet below the ground surface (85.89 feet above sea level) in MW-1 to 14.55 feet below the groundwater is flowing towards the west-southwest at an approximate gradient of 0.0649 feet/foot (Figure 5). Based on this gradient, an estimated hydraulic conductivity of a silt of 0.08 m/day (Todd, 1980) and an assumed porosity of 46 percent, the groundwater velocity beneath the Site is calculated to be approximately 0.01 meters per day or 4 meters per year.
- Utility locations including gas, cable, electric, sewer, and storm drains are located under 62<sup>nd</sup> Street and Telegraph Avenue at depths between 5 and 10 feet as shown in Figure 8.
- During the 4<sup>th</sup> quarter 2005 groundwater sampling event on October 12, 2005, samples were taken from wells MW-1 and MW-3 through MW-6. TPHg was detected in wells MW-4 and MW-5 at concentrations of 25,700 µg/L and 149 µg/L, respectively. Benzene was detected in well MW-4 at a concentration of 177 µg/L. MTBE was detected in wells MW-4 and MW-5 at concentrations of 4,810 µg/L and 183 µg/L, respectively. The BPOs for TPHg, benzene, and MTBE in groundwater are 100 µg/L, 1 µg/L, and 5 µg/L, respectively. Post-remediation (samples taken on October 12, 2005) distributions of TPHg, benzene, and MTBE in groundwater are shown in Figures 6A, 6B, and 6C, respectively. Pre-remediation (samples taken on November 21, 1986) distributions of TPHg, benzene, and MTBE in groundwater are shown in Figures 6D, 6E, and 6F, respectively. Pre-remediation results also show the presence of free product in wells MW-2 and MW-3. Groundwater sample laboratory results with reference to the BPOs are shown in Table 2A. The results for other oxygenates detected in groundwater are shown in Table 2B.
- The main contaminants of concern at the Site are benzene and MTBE, because of the toxicity of benzene, and the solubility, odor, and taste threshold associated with MTBE. Potential exposure pathways include ingestion of groundwater that has been impacted by these fuel constituents. Under typical subsurface conditions, benzene will naturally attenuate through volatilization, dispersion, and biodegradation to plume lengths of less than 150 to 200 feet. Based on historical data for the Site, it appears that the benzene plume and the total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH), ethylbenzene, toluene, and xylene plumes have all been stable and/or shrinking as a result of natural attenuation. On the other hand, MTBE is very soluble, appears to be far more resilient to biodegradation compared to TPH and benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX) compounds, and longer plumes can typically

be expected. The concentrations of MTBE detected in groundwater in the onsite wells have decreased somewhat over time (**Figure 7** series). The groundwater sampling event (October 2005) indicated that the maximum MTBE concentration detected in groundwater was  $4,810 \mu g/L$  in MW-4.

- Hydrocarbon soil contamination was first detected in June 1986 in three 30-foot deep borings (MW-1 through MW-3) at concentrations up to 735 mg/kg of total recoverable petroleum hydrocarbons, indicating that the initial petroleum hydrocarbons release occurred at some point prior to this first assessment in the area of the USTs.
- On February 4, 1998, three gasoline USTs and their associated piping were removed from the Site under the supervision of Pacific Environmental Group, Inc. The tanks consisted of two 10,000-gallon and one 12,000-gallon capacity USTs and were constructed of steel coated with fiberglass. On February 10, 1998, two 20,000-gallon double-walled USTs were installed at the Site. Approximately 977 tons of impacted soil was excavated.
- Based on calculations using a soil density of 120 lbs/ft<sup>3</sup>, the area of lateral and vertical extent of contamination, and the average constituent concentration per plume, GHC estimates the mass of TPHg in soil beneath the Site to be approximately 4,941 pounds (based on an area that is 100 feet long by 75 feet wide by 15 feet deep), the mass of benzene in soil beneath the Site to be approximately 21 pounds (based on an areas that are 50 feet wide by 65 feet long by 15 feet deep and 25 feet long by 15 feet wide by 15 feet deep), and the mass of MTBE in soil beneath the Site to be approximately 18 pounds (based on areas that are 38 feet long by 17 feet wide by 15 feet deep and 10 feet long by 10 feet wide by 15 feet deep). These figures were calculated from the historic soil concentration data (Table 1) and soil concentration maps (Figures 4A through 4L).
- TPHg concentrations in excess of 100 mg/kg are confined to depths of 17 feet bgs or  $\geq$ less and the vertical and horizontal extent of contamination has been fairly defined at the Site. The downward vertical migration of petroleum hydrocarbons in soil beneath the Site appears to have been substantially attenuated at relatively shallow depths as a result of the lower permeability soils which were encountered at these same shallow depths beneath the Site, as demonstrated by the decrease in hydrocarbon soil concentrations to low levels or non-detectable levels at depth. For example, soil samples analyzed for TPHg from borings TDD-1, TDD-2, and TDD-3 at the 15 foot interval were 480 mg/kg, 37.0 mg/kg, and 7.5 mg/kg, respectively. At the 20 foot interval, TPHg is below the laboratory method detection limits, as shown in **Table 1** along with reference to soil ESLs. Shallow, pre-remediation (samples taken between 0-10 feet bgs before April, 1991) TPHg, benzene, and MTBE soil concentration maps are included as Figures 4A through 4C, respectively. Deep, pre-remediation (samples taken between 11-20 feet bgs before April, 1991) TPHg, benzene, and MTBE soil concentration maps are included as **Figures 4D** through **4F**, respectively. Shallow, post-remediation (samples taken between 0-10 feet bgs after April, 1991) TPHg, benzene, and MTBE soil concentration maps are included as Figures 4G through 4I,

respectively. Deep, post-remediation (samples taken between 11-20 feet bgs after April, 1991) TPHg, benzene, and MTBE soil concentration maps are included as **Figures 4J** through **4L**, respectively.

- Site remedial activities were initiated in April 1991. Presently, the remediation system consists of a groundwater treatment system that extracts groundwater from monitoring well MW-3 and MW-4 with treatment utilizing activated carbon. System operational data is included in Appendix B. As of March 1, 2006, the groundwater treatment system has treated approximately 2,728,169 gallons of groundwater since start-up in April 1991. The system was upgraded in the 2<sup>nd</sup> quarter 2005, consisting of a pump replacement in well MW-3 and the adding of well MW-4 to the extraction well array. On May 10, 2005, the system was restarted with a new pump in well MW-3 and on May 13, 2005 a pump was installed in well MW-4. The pump in well MW-4 was started on May 20, 2005.
- As demonstrated by the BIOSCREEN Natural Attenuation Decision Support System runs included in the following section, the MTBE contaminant plume with no degradation arrives at the receptor (groundwater production well; 1,300 feet downgradient) at year 80. A maximum concentration of MTBE is observed at this receptor well at years 116 and 117 at a concentration of 0.489 mg/L, which is above the MCL of 0.013 mg/L, and the plume becomes detached from the source at year 308. The plume impacts the assumed well at concentration below the MCL from approximately year 80 through year 87. The results of using the 1<sup>st</sup> Order Decay model show that the contaminant plume never arrives at the receptor (groundwater production well; 1,300 feet). The plume never impacts the well at concentration above the MCL.
- ➤ As demonstrated by the BIOSCREEN Natural Attenuation Decision Support System runs included in the following section, the benzene contaminant plume with no degradation arrives at the receptor (groundwater production well; 1,300 feet downgradient) at year 120. A maximum concentration of benzene is observed at this receptor well at years 140 through 211 at a concentration of 0.029 mg/L, which is above the MCL of 0.001 mg/L, and the plume becomes detached from the source at year 11,955. The 1<sup>st</sup> Order Decay model results in the benzene plume never arriving at the receptor and only achieving a plume length under 100 feet in total length. The plume never impacts the assumed well at concentration above the MCL.

### 5.0 PLUME TRAVEL TIME REPORT

The plume travel time was estimated using BIOSCREEN Natural Attenuation Decision Support System. BIOSCREEN is an easy to use screening model that simulates remediation through natural attenuation (RNA) of dissolved hydrocarbons at petroleum release sites. The software, programmed in Microsoft Excel spreadsheet environment and based on the Domenico analytical solute transport model, has the ability to simulate advection, dispersion, adsorption, and aerobic decay as well as anaerobic reactions that have been shown to be the dominant biodegradation process at many petroleum release sites. BIOSCREEN includes three different model types:

- $\triangleright$  solute transport without decay
- solute transport with biodegradation modeled as a first-order decay process (simple, lumped-parameter approach)
- solute transport with biodegradation modeled as an "instantaneous" biodegradation reaction (approach used by BIOPLUME models)

In our case all three models types would be applicable for the Site, although the solute transport without decay model will be used as a worst case scenario. Based on the actual observed groundwater conditions at the Site, the solute transport first-order decay model appears to be most representative of actual Site conditions including plume sizes and concentrations for MTBE. If natural attenuation analytical results were present for the Site these values were used for input parameters in the "Instantaneous" Biodegradation Reaction. If Site data was not available, model default parameters were used.

The model is designed to simulate biodegradation by both aerobic and anaerobic reactions. It was developed for the Air Force Center for Environmental Excellence (AFCEE) Technology Transfer Division at Brooks Air Force Base by Groundwater Services, Inc., of Houston, Texas.

BIOSCREEN attempts to answer the two fundamental questions regarding RNA:

- How far will the dissolved contaminant plume extend if no engineered controls or further source reduction measures are implemented?
- How long will the plume persist until natural attenuation processes cause it to dissipate?

**BIOSCREEN** has the following limitations:

- > As an analytical model, BIOSCREEN assumes simple groundwater flow conditions.
- As a screening tool, BIOSCREEN only approximates more complicated processes that occur in the field.

Site-specific data was entered into BIOSCREEN to determine the degree of RNA. Sitespecific data such as hydraulic conductivity and porosity were based on text book values for similar as observed at the Site (Todd 1980). The Site specific groundwater gradient which was obtained from the latest quarterly sampling event was used and the model length was set at the distance from the closest groundwater production well (which is located approximately 1,300 feet to the south of the Site, based on a production well survey performed by WCC). It was assumed that this well was downgradient during the simulation, and that the gradient in the model was equal to that measured at the Site

during this quarter. Input parameters such as the estimated plume length and the concentrations of MTBE and benzene were also based on the actual Site data collected this quarter. The highest concentration of benzene in groundwater was detected at 177  $\mu g/L$  (0.117 mg/L), which was used for the purpose of the model. The highest concentration of MTBE in groundwater was detected at 4,810 µg/L (4.81 mg/L), which was used for the purpose of the model. The source mass for benzene was assumed to be equal to the mass of benzene in one pore volume of groundwater for a dissolved phase benzene plume measuring 15 feet by 15 feet by 20 feet thick, at a concentration of 0.177 mg/L. The source mass for MTBE was assumed to be equal to the mass of MTBE in one pore volume of groundwater for a dissolved phase MTBE plume measuring 40 feet by 70 feet by 20 feet thick, at an MTBE concentration of 4.81 mg/L. The partitioning coefficient for MTBE (12.59 L/kg) was obtained from the American Petroleum Institute's Strategies for Characterizing Subsurface Releases of Gasoline Containing MTBE (Regulatory and Scientific Affairs Publication Number 4699 dated February 2000). The partitioning coefficient used for benzene was 38 L/kg. The fraction of organic carbon used (0.0025) was the mean concentration for site soils in the Los Angeles area as reported by the RWQCB in their Interim Site Assessment & Cleanup Guidebook dated May 1996.

- The input parameters and model results for MTBE at years 1, 79, 80, 87, 88, 115, 116, 117, 118, 307, and 308 are included in Appendix A. As demonstrated by the output included in Appendix A, the MTBE contaminant plume with no degradation arrives at the receptor (groundwater production well; 1,300 feet downgradient) at year 80. A maximum concentration of MTBE is observed at this receptor well at years 116 and 117 at a concentration of 0.489 mg/L, which is above the MCL of 0.013 mg/L, and the plume becomes detached from the source at year 308. The plume impacts the assumed well at concentration below the MCL from approximately year 80 through year 87. The results of using the 1<sup>st</sup> Order Decay model show that the contaminant plume never arrives at the receptor (groundwater production well; 1,300 feet). The plume never impacts the well at concentration above the MCL.
- The input parameters and model results for benzene at years 1, 119, 120, 139, 140, 211, 212, 11,954, and 11,955 are included in Appendix A. As demonstrated by the BIOSCREEN Natural Attenuation Decision Support System runs included in the following section, the benzene contaminant plume with no degradation arrives at the receptor (groundwater production well; 1,300 feet downgradient) at year 120. A maximum concentration of benzene is observed at this receptor well at years 140 through 211 at a concentration of 0.029 mg/L, which is above the MCL of 0.001 mg/L, and the plume completely attenuates at the source at year 11,955. The 1<sup>st</sup> Order Decay model results in the benzene plume never arriving at the receptor and only achieving a plume length under 100 feet in total length. The plume never impacts the well at concentration above the MCL.

### 6.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Site remedial activities have been progressing for the past 15 years. As of March 1, 2006, the groundwater treatment system treated approximately 2,728,169 gallons of groundwater since start-up in April 1991. Free product has successfully been removed from the subsurface since 1996. The quarterly groundwater monitoring results confirm that the contaminant plume is attenuating and that groundwater concentrations have been decreasing over time.

There are no sensitive receptors identified within 1,000 feet of the Site. Based on the BIOSCREEN 1<sup>st</sup> Order Decay model results for MTBE and benzene, it appears that the contaminant plume never impacts the nearest receptor (groundwater production well; 1,300 feet south of the Site) above the respective MCLs for MTBE and benzene, and the plume <u>never</u> reaches the sensitive receptor. The MTBE plume length stays below a length of 1,300 feet, and the benzene plume stays below a length of 100 feet.

Based on these conclusions, on behalf of Thrifty, GHC requests closure of the Site based on low risk criteria.

## TABLES

### TABLE 1 Historic Soil Sample Laboratory Analytical Results

Page 1 of 2

Thrifty Oil Station #063 - Oakland, CA

GHC - 1332

Sample	Date	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····	ANALYTICA	PARAMETERS		
ID	Sampled	<b>TPHg</b> (mg/Kg)	Benzene (mg/Kg)	Toluene (mg/Kg)	Ethylbenzene (mg/Kg)	Xylenes (mg/Kg)	MTBE (mg/Kg)
ESLs shallow s	noil ( < 2m han)	(ing/kg) 100	0.044	2.9	3.3	2.3	0.023
		100	0.044	2.9	3.3	2.3	0.023
ESLs deep soil	,			1			0.023
MW1-17	6/21/1986	471	7.6	6.3	7.3	39.7	-
MW2-14	6/21/1986	735	12.6	26.4	10.7	64.3	-
MW3-14	6/21/1986	52	5.4	1.9	1.3	6.9	-
MW4-10	11/13/1986	<10	<0.5	<0.5	-	<0.5	-
MW4-16	11/13/1986	1100	13.0	14.0	-	34.0	-
MW5-16	11/13/1986	<10	<0.5	<0.5	-	<0.5	-
MW6-15	11/13/1986	<10	<0.5	<0.5	-	<0.5	-
C-1	11/13/1986	58	<0.5	5.8	-	<0.5	-
B1-5	9/11/1987	-	-	-	-	-	-
B1-10	9/11/1987	<10	-	-	-	-	-
B1-15	9/11/1987	-	-	-	-	-	-
B1-20	9/11/1987	<10	-	-	-	-	-
B2-5	9/11/1987	-	-	-	-	-	-
B2-10	9/11/1987	-	-	-	-	-	-
B2-15	9/11/1987	-	-	-	-	-	-
B2-20	9/11/1987	-	-	-	-	-	-
B3-5	9/11/1987		-	-	-	-	-
B3-10	9/11/1987	-	-	-	-	-	-
B3-15	9/11/1987	-	-	-	-	-	-
B3-20	9/11/1987	-	-	-	-	-	-
B4-5	9/11/1987	-	-	-	-	-	-
B4-10	9/11/1987	-	-	-	-	-	-
B4-15	9/11/1987	-	-	-	-	-	-
B4-20	9/11/1987		-	-	-	-	-
TDD1-15	6/11/1997	480	2.3	<0.75	7.0	42	1.7
TDD1-20	6/11/1997	<1.0	<0.0050	<0.0050	< 0.0050	<0.015	<1.0
TDD2-15	6/11/1997	37.0	0.19	0.13	0.61	1.9	<1.0
TDD2-20	6/11/1997	<1.0	<0.0050	<0.0050	< 0.0050	<0.015	<1.0
TDD3-15	6/11/1997	7.5	0.043	<0.015	0.044	<0.045	12
TDD3-20	6/11/1997	<1.0	0.11	< 0.0050	0.0070	<0.015	3.2
TDD4-15	6/11/1997	36	0.41	<0.038	0.39	1.2	14
TDD4-20	6/11/1997	<1.0	< 0.0050	<0.0050	< 0.0050	<0.015	1.4
TDD5-10	6/12/1997	<1.0	< 0.0050	<0.0050	< 0.0050	<0.015	<1.0
TDD5-20	6/12/1997	<1.0	< 0.0050	<0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.015	<1.0
TDD6-5	6/11/1997	550	2.5	5.5	9.7	<b>50</b>	6.0
TDD6-10	6/11/1997	<1.0	<0.0050	<0.0050	< 0.0050	<0.015	<1.0
TDD7-5	6/11/1997	<1.0	< 0.0050	<0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.015	<1.0
TDD7-10	6/11/1997	<1.0	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.015	<1.0
TDD8-10	6/12/1997	<1.0	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.015	<1.0
TDD8-20	6/12/1997	<1.0	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	<0.015	<1.0
TDD9-5	6/12/1997	<1.0	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	<0.015	<1.0
TDD9-10	6/12/1997	<1.0	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.015	<1.0
TDD9-20	6/12/1997	<1.0	< 0.0050	<0.0050	< 0.0050	<0.015	<1.0
T-1(8')	2/4/1998	61	0.085	1.3	0.77	4.6	0.60
T-2(8')	2/4/1998	260	< 0.03	0.18	3.0	1.1	<0.3

GHC\_1332 SCM\ Table 1

### TABLE 1 Historic Soil Sample Laboratory Analytical Results Thrifty Oil Station #063 - Oakland, CA

GHC - 1332

Sample	Date	ANALYTICAL PARAMETERS								
ID	Sampled	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Xylenes	MTBE			
		(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)			
T-3(8')	2/4/1998	<1.0	<0.005	< 0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05			
T-4(8')	2/4/1998	2	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	0.01	0.07			
UST-10	2/4/1998	210	<0.12	<0.5	0.71	1.1	<1.2			
P-1	2/4/1998	49	0.071	0.39	0.44	2.6	<0.25			
P-2	2/4/1998	1,200	1.7	24	21	96	15			
P-3	2/4/1998	<5	0.062	0.092	0.031	0.098	9.4			
P-4	2/4/1998	310	1.6	25	7.4	47	26			
P-5	2/4/1998	920	6.5	35	15	78	13			
P-6	2/4/1998	330	1.9	5.5	8.3	38	<2.5			
SS-1	2/4/1998	<1.0	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	0.022	0.56			
SS-2	2/4/1998	<1.0	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05			
SS-3	2/4/1998	<1.0	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05			
SS-4	2/4/1998	<1.0	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05			
SS-5	2/4/1998	<1.0	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05			
SS-6	2/4/1998	<1.0	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05			
SS-7	2/4/1998	<1.0	<0.005	0.009	<0.005	0.008	<0.05			
SS-8	2/4/1998	<1.0	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05			
SS-9	2/4/1998	<1.0	<0.005	0.006	<0.005	0.017	<0.05			
SS-10	2/4/1998	<1.0	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	0.016	<0.05			
SS-11	2/4/1998	<1.0	<0.005	0.007	<0.005	0.007	<0.05			
SS-12	2/4/1998	<1.0	<0.005	0.032	0.017	0.19	0.56			
SS-13	2/4/1998	2,700	4.03	66	42	220	6.4			
SS-14	2/4/1998	4	<0.005	0.74	0.047	0.33	0.86			
SS-15	2/4/1998	3,600	4.2	78	49	260	7.3			
SS-16	2/4/1998	2,100	2.4	41	27	130	5.2			
SS-17	2/4/1998	2,900	3.8	67	42	230	4.7			
SS-19	2/4/1998	15	0.04	0.055	0.1	0.42	0.45			
SS-20	2/4/1998	270	<0.12	1.9	2.7	16	<1.2			
SS-21	2/4/1998	86	<0.05	0.6	0.75	4.2	<0.5			
SS-22	2/4/1998	240	0.25	4.1	3.3	19	<1.2			
SS-23	2/4/1998	1	<0.005	0.007	0.007	0.082	0.1			

NOTES:

TPHg analyzed by EPA Method 8015M

ESLs = Environmental Screening Levels

BTEX and MTBE analysis by EPA Method 8260B 3m bgs = 3 meters (10 feet) below ground surface

"<" = Less than the specified laboratory detection limit

"J" = Trace

\* = Total Recoverable Petroleum Hydrocarbons

- = Not analyzed

DATE	a an		ANALYTICA	L PARAMETEI	₹S		DEPTH TO	DEPTH TO	PRODUCT	CASING	GROUNDWATER
SAMPLED	ТРН	BENZENE	TOLUENE	EthylBenzene	XYLENE	MTBE	GROUNDWATER	PRODUCT	THICKNESS	ELEVATION	ELEVATION
	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)
<u></u>				<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	<u> </u>		(1020)
BPOs	100	1.0	40	30	20	5.0					
MONITORIN	GWELL #	MW-1		Screen Interv	al = 15 to 30	feet					
11/21/86	-	-	-	-	-	~	15.42	NP	0.00	99.34	83.92
07/22/91	-	-	-	-	_	-	20.41	FILM	0.00	99.34	78.93
10/24/91	-	-	-	-	-	-	19.06	SHEEN	0.00	99.34	80.28
01/22/92	-	-	-	-	-	-	18.78	SHEEN	0.00	99.34	80.56
03/24/92	-	-	-	-	-	-	13.55	SHEEN	0.00	99.34	85.79
07/15/92	-	-	-	-	-	-	18.90	FILM	0.00	99.34	80.44
10/05/92	-	-	-	-	-	-	20.50	FILM	0.00	99.34	78.84
01/06/93	-	-	-	-	-	-	14.93	FILM	0.00	99.34	84.41
07/13/93	-	-	-	-	-	-	15.44	FILM	0.00	99.34	83.90
10/11/93	-	-	-	-	-	-	20.36	FILM	0.00	99.34	78.98
01/11/94	-	-	-	-	-	-	19.50	FILM	0.00	99.34	79.84
04/12/94	-	-	-	-	-	-	18.10	FILM	0.00	99.34	81.24
07/14/94	-	-	-	-	-	-	20.03	FILM	0.00	99.34	79.31
01/15/96	11,000	2,800	150	780	770	-	19.02	NP	0.00	99.34	80.32
04/15/96	17,000	3,600	330	1,500	3,400	-	18.82	NP	0.00	99.34	80.52
07/15/96	12,000	1,300	200	1,200	4,600	250		NP	-	-	-
10/09/96	-	-	-	-	-	-	14.87	NP	0.00	99.34	84.47
01/13/97	27,000	810	6,000	570	4,100	2,700	10.20	NP	0.00	99.34	89.14
04/14/97	2,900	3.0	2.9	<0.3	1.7	9,900		NP	-	-	_
07/07/97	5,200	0.57	0.57	< 0.3	0.71	16,000	18.75	NP	0.00	99.34	80.59
10/16/97	680	<0.3	0.55	<0.3	<0.5	-	17.92	NP	0.00	99.34	81.42
01/07/98	42,000	980	2,800	1,200	5,200	1.3	9.80	NP	0.00	99.34	89.54
04/06/98	7,100	700	340	170	2,600	1,000	9.60	NP	0.00	99.34	89.74
07/14/98	19,000	2,100	400	890	5,800	1,600	13.70	NP	0.00	99.34	85.64
10/15/98	490	<0.3	< 0.3	<0.3	<0.5	1,300	15.25	NP	0.00	99.34	84.09
01/20/99	350	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	* 670 / 820	12.20	NP	0.00	99.34	87.14
04/16/99	320	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	* 540 / 630	12.20	NP	0.00	99.34	87.14
07/14/99	290	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	*590 / 580	13.75	NP	0.00	99.34	85.59
10/07/99	130	< 0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	270	12.15	NP	0.00	99.34	87.19
01/26/00	13,000	460	54	290	3,700	940	13.14	NP	0.00	99.34	86.20
04/19/00	546	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25	<0.5	*430 / 606	10.63	NP	0.00	99.34	88.71
05/26/00	<50	< 0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.6	<5	9.11	NP	0.00	99.34	90.23
07/26/00	<50	<0.3	< 0.3	<0.3	<0.6	<5	9.10	NP	0.00	99.34	90.24

DATE			ANALYTICA	L PARAMETEI	RS		DEPTH TO	DEPTH TO	PRODUCT	CASING	GROUNDWATER
SAMPLED	TPH	BENZENE	TOLUENE	EthylBenzene	XYLENE	MTBE	GROUNDWATER	PRODUCT	THICKNESS	ELEVATION	ELEVATION
	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)
<u>fant lite in a secondari in liveid</u>				•							<u> </u>
10/25/00	<50	<0.18	<0.14	<0.18	<0.26	<0.24	9.08	NP	0.00	99.34	90.26
01/10/01	<50	<0.18	<0.14	<0.18	<0.26	<0.24	12.16	NP	0.00	99.34	87.18
04/23/01	18,100	740	55	650	4,000	*1,850 / 842	10.60	NP	0.00	99.34	88.74
07/16/01	<50	<0.18	<0.14	<0.18	<0.26	<0.24	9.07	NP	0.00	99.34	90.27
10/17/01	<50	<0.18	<0.14	<0.18	< 0.26	<0.24	12.16	NP	0.00	99.34	87.18
01/23/02	<50	<0.18	<0.14	<0.18	<0.26	<0.24	15.23	NP	0.00	99.34	84.11
04/10/02	<50	<0.18	<0.14	<0.18	<0.26	<0.24	15.17	NP	0.00	99.34	84.17
07/24/02	<50	<0.18	<0.14	<0.18	<0.26	<0.24	16.71	NP	0.00	99.34	82.63
10/30/02	<50	2.2	<0.14	<0.18	<0.26	13	15.16	NP	0.00	99.34	84.18
01/15/03	465 J	<0.14	< 0.07	<0.08	< 0.35	147	16.70	NP	0.00	99.34	82.64
04/16/03	<15	<0.04	< 0.02	<0.02	<0.06	<0.03	15.16	NP	0.00	99.34	84.18
07/14/03	<15	<0.22	<0.32	<0.31	<0.4	<0.18	13.64	NP	0.00	99.34	85.70
10/08/03	761	11	<0.32	1.4 J	2.9 J	653	15.50	NP	0.00	99.34	83.84
01/15/04	853	< 0.04	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	*1,100 / 558	14.20	NP	0.00	99.34	85.14
04/14/04	494	<2.2	<3.2	<3.1	<4.0	843	12.93	NP	0.00	99.34	86.41
07/29/04	1,040	<2.2	<3.2	<3.1	<4.0	1,070	14.73	NP	0.00	99.34	84.61
10/14/04	3,250	266	< 0.32	59	78	811	15.26	NP	0.00	99.34	84.08
01/06/05	197	<0.22	< 0.32	< 0.31	<0.4	406	15.14	NP	0.00	99.34	84.20
04/13/05	<15	<0.22	< 0.32	< 0.31	<0.4	<0.18	9.40	NP	0.00	99.34	89.94
07/27/05	<2.9	< 0.32	<0.10	<0.24	< 0.30	<0.63	16.65	NP	0.00	99.34	82.69
10/12/05	<2.9	< 0.32	<0.10	<0.24	<0.30	<0.63	18.19	NP	0.00	99.34	81.15
	I			]	·						
MONITORIN	G WELL #/	MW-2		Screen Interv	al = 15 to 30	feet	1				
11/21/86	-	-	-	-	-	-	14.90	0.11	14.79	100.01	96.28
07/22/91	-	-	-	-	-	-	17.84	0.38	17.46	100.01	95.35
10/24/91	-	-	-	-			17.00	16.97	0.03	100.01	83.03
01/22/92	-	-	-	-	-	-	16.72	FILM	0.00	100.01	83.29
03/24/92	-	-	-	-	-	-	15.81	11.98	3.83	100.01	87.09
07/15/92	-	-	-	-	-	-	16.37	FILM	0.00	100.01	83.64
10/05/92	-	-	-	-	-	-	18.41	18.09	0.32	100.01	81.84
01/06/93	-	-	~	-	-	-	12.37	FILM	0.00	100.01	87.64
07/13/93	-	-	-	-	-	-	15.19	FILM	0.00	100.01	84.82
10/11/93	-	-	-	-	-	-	18.05	0.10	17.95	100.01	95.51
01/11/94	-	-	-	-	-	-	16.98	0.03	16.95	100.01	95.83

DATE			ANALYTICA	L PARAMETEI	₹S		DEPTH TO	DEPTH TO	PRODUCT	CASING	GROUNDWATER
SAMPLED	ТРН	BENZENE	TOLUÉNE	EthylBenzene	XYLENE	MTBE	GROUNDWATER	PRODUCT	THICKNESS	ELEVATION	ELEVATION
	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)
<u> </u>				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
04/12/94	-	-	-	-	-	~	15.54	FILM	0.00	100.01	84.47
07/14/94	-	-	-	-	-	-	17.93	FILM	0.00	100.01	82.08
01/15/96	7,100	720	280	48	660	-	17.20	NP	0.00	100.01	82.81
04/15/96	11,000	600	59	420	870	-	17.26	NP	0.00	100.01	82.75
07/15/96	19,000	360	· 51	610	1,600	<250		-	-	-	_
10/09/96	-	-	-	-	-	-	14.42	NP	0.00	100.01	85.59
01/13/97	11,000	230	30	91	700	56	10.25	NP	0.00	100.01	89.76
04/14/97	141	1.2	0.33	0.44	<0.5	20		-	-	-	
07/07/97	<50	< 0.3	<0.3	< 0.3	<0.5	<20	17.20	NP	0.00	100.01	82.81
10/16/97	<50	< 0.3	<0.3	< 0.3	< 0.5	-	16.20	NP	0.00	100.01	83.81
01/07/98	-	-		-	-	-	16.26	16.18	0.08	100.01	83.81
		an a	Well Aba	ndoned 1/30/98			Ş				
	<b>.</b>		·				2		,,,,,,,		I
MONITORIN	IG WELL #N	1W-3		Screen Interv	al = 15 to 30	feet	GROUNDWATER ST	STEM'S PUMPIN	G WELL)		
11/21/86	-	100	5.1	<1.0	25	-	16.25	0.10	16.15	99.76	95.70
07/22/91	-	-	-	-	-	*	24.00	NP	0.00	99.76	75.76
10/24/91	-	-	-	-	-	-	18.10	NP	0.00	99.76	81.66
01/22/92	-	-	-	-	-	-	25.80	SHEEN	0.00	99.76	73.96
03/24/92	-	-	-	-	-	-	15.60	NP	0.00	99.76	84.16
07/15/92	-	-	_	-	-	-	25.10	FILM	0.00	99.76	74.66
10/05/92	-	-	-	-	-		25.20	NP	0.00	99.76	74.56
01/06/93	-	-	-	-	-	-	25.45	NP	0.00	99.76	74.31
07/13/93	-	-	-	-	-	-	14.24	NP	0.00	99.76	85.52
10/11/93	-	-	-	-	-	-	25.60	NP	0.00	99.76	74.16
01/11/94	-	-	-	-	-	-	25.90	NP	0.00	99.76	73.86
04/12/94	-	-	-	-	-	-	25.70	NP	0.00	99.76	74.06
07/14/94	-	-	-	-	_	-	25.10	NP	0.00	99.76	74.66
01/15/96	-	-	-	-	-	-	26.04	NP	0.00	99.76	73.72
04/15/96	-	-	-	-	-	-	21.03	NP	0.00	99.76	78.73
07/15/96	5,900	240	30	270	730	780		-	-	-	-
10/09/96	-	-	-	-	-	-	21.43	NP	0.00	99.76	78.33
01/13/97	-	-	-	-	-	-	11.20	NP	0.00	99.76	88.56
07/07/97	-	-	-	-	-	-	23.40	NP	0.00	99.76	76.36
10/16/97	-	-	-	-	-		22.30	NP	0.00	99.76	77.46
01/07/98	1		-	_	-	_	20.10	NP	0.00	99.76	79.66

DATE	1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		ANALYTICA	L PARAMETEI	ts		DEPTH TO	<b>ДЕРТН ТО</b>	PRODUCT	CASING	GROUNDWATER
SAMPLED	TPH	BENZENE	TOLUENE	EthylBenzene	XYLENE	MTBE	GROUNDWATER	PRODUCT	THICKNESS	ELEVATION	ELEVATION
	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)
		1								In the second	No. 200
07/14/98	-	-	-	-	-	-	14.40	NP	0.00	99.76	85.36
10/15/98	-	-	~	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
01/20/99	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-
04/16/99	-	-	-	-	-	-	11.20	NP	0.00	99.76	88.56
07/14/99	5,600	9.6	1.3	3.5	8.1	*14,000 / 14,000	25.87	NP	0.00	99.76	73.89
10/07/99		-	-	-	-	-	15.40	NP	0.00	99.76	84.36
01/26/00	-	-	-	-	-	-	14.25	NP	0.00	99.76	85.51
04/19/00	-	-	-	-	-	-	14.20	NP	0.00	99.76	85.56
05/26/00	-	-	-	-	-	-	15.12	NP	0.00	99.76	84.64
07/26/00	-	-	-	-	-	-	14.30	NP	0.00	99.76	85.46
10/25/00	-	-	-	-	-	-	14.32	NP	0.00	99.76	85.44
01/10/01	-	-	-	-	-	-	13.46	NP	0.00	99.76	86.30
04/23/01	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
07/16/01	-	-	-	-	-	-	12.80	NP	0.00	99.76	86.96
10/17/01	-	-	-	-	-	-	15.30	NP	0.00	99.76	84.46
01/23/02	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
04/10/02	-	-	-	-	-	-	13.22	NP	0.00	99.76	86.54
07/24/02	-	-	-	-	-		14.32	NP	0.00	99.76	85.44
10/30/02	-	-	-	-	-	-	16.20	NP	0.00	99.76	83.56
01/15/03	-	-	-	-	-	-	14.10	NP	0.00	99.76	85.66
04/16/03	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	99.76	-
07/14/03	2,490	<0.22	< 0.32	< 0.31	1.3 J	2,050	18.30	NP	0.00	99.76	81.46
10/08/03	3,330	< 0.22	< 0.32	< 0.31	<0.4	4,070	16.65	NP	0.00	99.76	83.11
01/15/04	102	2.1	3.5	< 0.02	12	*28 / 17	14.18	NP	0.00	99.76	85.58
04/14/04	464	63	18	< 0.31	16	189	13.45	NP	0.00	99.76	86.32
07/29/04	1,560	74	<3.2	30 J	<4.0	729	15.94	NP	0.00	99.76	83.82
10/14/04	2,490	25	<0.32	<0.31	<0.4	2,530	16.11	NP	0.00	99.76	83.65
01/06/05	394	12	<0.32	1.5 J	<0.4	51	15.61	NP	0.00	99.76	84.15
04/13/05	<15	<0.22	< 0.32	<0.31	<0.4	<0.18	9.19	NP	0.00	99.76	90.57
07/27/05	383	5.6	<0.10	17	2.4 J	125	16.63	NP	0.00	99.76	83.13
10/12/05	<2.9	< 0.32	<0.10	< 0.24	<0.30	<0.63	16.97	NP	0.00	99.76	82.79
MONITORIN	G WELL #A	<u>MW-4</u>		Screen Interv	al = 9 to 29 j	eet					
11/21/86	100,000	3,200	2,700	2,400	14,000	-	16.22	FILM	0.00	99.48	83.26

DATE			ANALYTICA	L PARAMETER	ts		<b>ДЕРТН ТО</b>	DEPTH TO	PRODUCT	CASING	GROUNDWATER
SAMPLED	ТРН	BENZENE	TOLUENE	EthylBenzene	XYLENE	MTBE	GROUNDWATER	PRODUCT	THICKNESS	ELEVATION	ELEVATION
	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)
			<u> </u>								
07/22/91	-	-	-	-	-	-	21.80	21.35	0.45	99.48	78.02
10/24/91	-	-	-	-	-	-	20.02	SHEEN	0.00	99.48	79.46
01/22/92	-	-	-	-	-	-	19.78	SHEEN	0.00	99.48	79.70
03/24/92	-	-	-	-	~	-	13.94	FILM	0.00	99.48	85.54
07/15/92	-	-	-	-	-	-	19.27	FILM	0.00	99.48	80.21
10/05/92	-	-	-	-	-	-	21.44	FILM	0.00	99.48	78.04
01/06/93	-	-	-	-	_	-	14.08	FILM	0.00	99.48	85.40
07/13/93	-	-	-	-	-	-	16.09	FILM	0.00	99.48	83.39
10/11/93	-	-	-	-	_	-	21.33	FILM	0.00	99.48	78.15
01/11/94	-	-	-	-	_	-	20.45	FILM	0.00	99.48	79.03
04/12/94	-	-	-	-	_	-	19.05	FILM	0.00	99.48	80.43
07/14/94	-	-	-	-	_	-	20.41	FILM	0.00	99.48	79.07
01/15/96	5,000	370	38	300	390	-	19.89	NP	0.00	99.48	79.59
04/15/96	38,000	300	78	540	470	-	19.62	NP	0.00	99.48	79.86
07/15/96	13,000	880	69	820	1,100	3,600		-	-	-	-
10/09/96	-	-	-	-	_	-	15.32	NP	0.00	99.48	84.16
01/13/97	47,000	2,500	2,500	1,100	2,800	70,000	10.80	NP	0.00	99.48	88.68
04/14/97	8,700	<0.3	0.45	<0.3	0.64	29,000		-	-	-	-
07/07/97	12,000	<0.3	<0.3	< 0.3	<0.5	-	18.80	NP	0.00	99.48	80.68
10/16/97	770	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5		17.76	NP	0.00	99.48	81.72
01/07/98	75,000	3,000	900	1,400	2,500	110	11.60	NP	0.00	99.48	87.88
04/08/98	18,000	1,200	130	710	1,400	22,000	10.10	NP	0.00	99.48	89.38
07/14/98	21,000	1,300	58	1,200	1,100	23,000	16.30	NP	0.00	99.48	83.18
10/15/98	9,100	1.1	0.62	<0.3	<0.5	30,000	16.90	NP	0.00	99.48	82.58
01/20/99	16,000	< 0.3	0.91	0.72	1.4	* 43,000 / 42,000	15.35	NP	0.00	100.48	85.13
04/16/99	17,000	0.48	0.92	0.54	1.4	* 28,000 / 26,000	15.30	NP	0.00	100.48	85.18
07/14/99	8,500	<6	<6	<6	<10	*21,000 / 16,000	18.40	NP	0.00	100.48	82.08
10/07/99	2,500	<1.5	3.1	<1.5	<2.5	4,800	16.89	NP	0.00	100.48	83.59
01/26/00	9,900	350	9	460	460	2,800	12.62	NP	0.00	100.48	87.86
04/19/00	8,990	0.7	<0.25	<0.25	<0.5	*3,240 / 5,450	12.28	NP	0.00	100.48	88.20
05/26/00	94	<0.3	< 0.3	<0.3	<0.6	*746 / 419	13.81	NP	0.00	100.48	86.67
07/26/00	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.6	3,110 / 2,060	12.29	NP	0.00	100.48	88.19
10/25/00	2,480	<0.18	<0.14	<0.18	<0.26	*3,690 / 3,040	12.26	NP	0.00	100.48	88.22
01/10/01	<50	<0.18	2	<0.18	1	962	10.75	NP	0.00	100.48	89.73
04/23/01	482	<0.18	<0.14	<0.18	< 0.26	*875 / 453	12.26	NP	0.00	100.48	88.22

DATE			ANALYTICA	L PARAMETER	IS		DEPTH TO	DEPTH TO	PRODUCT	CASING	GROUNDWATER
SAMPLED	TPH	BENZENE	TOLUENE	EthylBenzene	XYLENE	MTBE	GROUNDWATER	PRODUCT	THICKNESS	ELEVATION	ELEVATION
	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)
	<u> </u>	1					1	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
07/16/01	71,700	9,440	12,600	514	8,980	*1,330 / 389	13.80	NP	0.00	100.48	86.68
10/17/01	13,500	1,950	425	<5.94	1,110	*829 / 329	16.87	NP	0.00	100.48	83.61
01/23/02	12,100	196	57	68	2,090	*688/738	12.28	NP	0.00	100.48	88.20
04/10/02	655	7	8	1	1	587	13.80	NP	0.00	100.48	86.68
07/24/02	17,400	< 0.18	1.9	1.4	2.2	12,800	15.33	NP	0.00	100.48	85.15
10/30/02	17,300	400	47	748	131	12,300	17.00	NP	0.00	100.48	83.48
01/15/03	23,000	568	39	832	268	18,300	16.84	NP	0.00	100.48	83.64
04/16/03	15,800	411	15	26	14	18,200	16.86	NP	0.00	100.48	83.62
07/14/03	13,300	145	26	2.8 J	12	17,600	10.69	NP	0.00	100.48	89.79
10/08/03	12,500	64	<3.2	359	24 J	11,400	16.32	NP	0.00	100.48	84.16
01/15/04	12,300	11	4.4	66	4.0	*17,000 / 9,560	14.67	NP	0.00	100.48	85.81
04/14/04	7,340	<11	<16	<15.5	<20	13,500	13.68	NP	0.00	100.48	86.80
07/29/04	5,400	<2.2	<3.2	57	<4.0	6,730	15.50	NP	0.00	100.48	84.98
10/14/04	10,200	197	<3.2	233	13 J	3,940	16.08	NP	0.00	100.48	84.40
01/06/05	4,880	60	<3.2	74	<4.0	4,760	15.24	NP	0.00	100.48	85.24
04/13/05	2,780	57	35	20	251	3,650	9.64	NP	0.00	100.48	90.84
07/27/05	1,990	< 0.32	<0.10	<0.24	<0.30	2,590	16.79	NP	0.00	100.48	83.69
10/12/05	25,700	177	<1.0	941	<3.0	4,810	16.78	NP	0.00	100.48	83.70
								-			-
MONITORIN	G WELL #A	<i>1W-5</i>		Screen Interv	al = 7 to 27 j	feet					
11/21/86	<1,000	4.8	2.1	<0.5	7.4	-	16.10	NP	0.00	100.98	84.88
07/22/91	-	<0.5	1.6	<1.0	2.0	-	18.20	NP	0.00	100.98	82.78
10/24/91	-	-	-	-	-	-	17.67	NP	0.00	100.98	83.31
01/22/92	600	21.0	8.0	2.0	17.0	-		-	-	-	-
03/24/92	-	-	-	-	-	-	12.98	NP	0.00	100.98	88.00
07/15/92	<200	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-	17.29	NP	0.00	100.98	83.69
10/05/92	-	-	-	-	-	-	18.92	NP	0.00	100.98	82.06
01/06/93	300	2.7	<0.5	1.3	26.0	-	13.12	NP	0.00	100.98	87.86
07/13/93	<100	1.1	0.5	1.0	1.5	-	16.15	NP	0.00	100.98	84.83
10/11/93	130	1.2	< 0.3	<0.3	<0.6	- '	18.75	NP	0.00	100.98	82.23
01/11/94	<50	1.5	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	_	17.80	NP	0.00	100.98	83.18
04/12/94	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-	13.59	NP	0.00	100.98	87.39
07/14/94	<50	0.42	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-	18.26	NP	0.00	100.98	82.72
07/15/95	100	1.2	<0.5	0.8	<1	-		-	-	-	-

DATE			ANALYTICA	L PARAMETEI	RS		DEPTH TO	DEPTH TO	PRODUCT	CASING	GROUNDWATER
SAMPLED	TPH	BENZENE	TOLUENE	EthylBenzene	XYLENE	MTBE	GROUNDWATER	PRODUCT	THICKNESS	ELEVATION	ELEVATION
	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)
	And a reality										<u> </u>
01/15/96	1,900	21	13	6.2	6.8	-	13.09	NP	0.00	100.98	87.89
04/15/96	250	5.1	2.7	1.7	1.1	-	13.16	NP	0.00	100.98	87.82
07/15/96	270	6.5	1.4	1.8	1.4	230		NP	-	-	-
10/09/96	-	-	-	-	-	-	15.37	NP	0.00	100.98	85.61
01/13/97	25,000	780	5,700	560	4,000	24,000	10.90	NP	0.00	100.98	90.08
04/14/97	6,300	260	1,600	28	550	9,000		-	-	-	
07/07/97	7,500	300	1,500	12	110	16,000	14.70	NP	0.00	100.98	86.28
10/16/97	4,600	<0.3	0.65	<0.3	<0.5	-	13.60	NP	0.00	100.98	87.38
01/07/98	2,700	33	11	37	580	7.3	10.97	NP	0.00	100.98	90.01
04/08/98	300	9.1	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	650	10.90	NP	0.00	100.98	90.08
07/14/98	670	5.9	< 0.3	<0.3	0.53	2,300	15.20	NP	0.00	100.98	85.78
10/15/98	<50	<0.3	< 0.3	<0.3	<0.5	19	15.90	NP	0.00	100.98	85.08
01/20/99	<50	< 0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	<5	15.20	NP	0.00	101.98	86.78
04/16/99	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	<5	15.25	NP	0.00	101.98	86.73
07/14/99	<50	< 0.3	<0.3	< 0.3	<0.5	<5	15.96	NP	0.00	101.98	86.02
10/07/99	<50	<0.3	<0.3	< 0.3	<0.5	<5	16.33	NP	0.00	101.98	85.65
01/26/00	<50	< 0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	<5	14.80	NP	0.00	101.98	87.18
04/19/00	965	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25	<0.5	<5	10.97	NP	0.00	101.98	91.01
05/26/00	<50	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.3	<0.6	<5	14.43	NP	0.00	101.98	87.55
07/26/00	<50	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.3	<0.6	<5	14.02	NP	0.00	101.98	87.96
10/25/00	<50	< 0.18	<0.14	<0.18	<0.26	<0.24	14.04	NP	0.00	101.98	87.94
01/10/01	<50	<0.18	<0.14	<0.18	<0.26	<0.24	14.80	NP	0.00	101.98	87.18
04/23/01	<50	<0.18	<0.14	<0.18	<0.26	*10/4.2	10.97	NP	0.00	101.98	91.01
07/16/01	3,360	430	603	53	429	*41 / 4.2	14.80	NP	0.00	101.98	87.18
10/17/01	<50	<0.18	<0.14	<0.18	<0.26	*16 / 5.2	16.71	NP	0.00	101.98	85.27
01/23/02	<50	<0.18	<0.14	<0.18	<0.26	<0.24	14.80	NP	0.00	101.98	87.18
04/10/02	<50	<0.18	<0.14	<0.18	<0.26	<0.24	14.42	NP	0.00	101.98	87.56
07/24/02	<50	<0.18	<0.14	<0.18	<0.26	<0.24	14.78	NP	0.00	101.98	87.20
10/30/02	<50	<0.18	<0.14	<0.18	<0.26	<0.24	15.93	NP	0.00	101.98	86.05
01/15/03	<50	<0.14	< 0.07	<0.08	<0.35	<2.0	15.55	NP	0.00	101.98	86.43
04/16/03	<15	< 0.04	< 0.02	< 0.02	<0.06	<0.03	15.55	NP	0.00	101.98	86.43
07/14/03	<15	<0.22	<0.32	<0.31	<0.4	<0.18	15.93	NP	0.00	101.98	86.05
10/08/03	<15	<0.22	< 0.32	<0.31	<0.4	<0.18	16.35	NP	0.00	101.98	85.63
01/15/04	<15	< 0.04	< 0.02	<0.02	<0.06	<0.03	15.06	NP	0.00	101.98	86.92
04/14/04	<15	<0.22	<0.32	<0.31	<0.4	<0.18	13.96	NP	0.00	101.98	88.02

DATE			ANALYTICA	L PARAMETE	RS		DEPTH TO	DEPTH TO	PRODUCT	CASING	GROUNDWATER
SAMPLED	тен	BENZENE	TOLUENE	EthylBenzene	XYLENE	MTBE	GROUNDWATER	PRODUCT	THICKNESS	ELEVATION	ELEVATION
	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)
		1						<b>,</b>	<u> </u>	1	No.
07/29/04	659	<2.2	<3.2	<3.1	<4.0	606	15.60	NP	0.00	101.98	86.38
10/14/04	411	<0.22	< 0.32	< 0.31	<0.4	425	16.17	NP	0.00	101.98	85.81
01/06/05	433	<0.22	< 0.32	<0.31	<0.4	491	15.52	NP	0.00	101.98	86.46
04/13/05	161	<0.22	< 0.32	< 0.31	<0.4	465	10.12	NP	0.00	101.98	91.86
07/27/05	237	< 0.32	<0.10	<0.24	< 0.30	243	16.66	NP	0.00	101.98	85.32
10/12/05	149	< 0.32	<0.10	<0.24	<0.30	183	16.66	NP	0.00	101.98	85.32
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									-
MONITORIN	G WELL #N	<i>IW-</i> 6		Screen Interv	al = 7 to 27 f	eet					
11/21/86	<1,000	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	-	12.64	NP	0.00	99.44	86.80
07/22/91	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-		-
01/22/92	<200	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1.5	-		-	-	-	-
03/24/92	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.04	NP	0.00	99.44	89.40
07/15/92	<200	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-	13.29	NP	0.00	99.44	86.15
10/05/92	- '	-	-	-	-	-	14.69	NP	0.00	99.44	84.75
01/06/93	<200	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	-	10.87	NP	0.00	99.44	88.57
07/13/93	<100	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	-	13.10	NP	0.00	99.44	86.34
10/11/93	<60	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.6	-	14.43	NP	0.00	99.44	85.01
01/11/94	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-	13.56	NP	0.00	99.44	85.88
04/12/94	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	-	12.10	NP	0.00	99.44	87.34
07/14/94	<50	<0.3	<0.3	< 0.3	<0.3	-	14.16	NP	0.00	99.44	85.28
07/15/95	140	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1	-		-	-	-	-
01/15/96	56	0.38	0.33	<0.3	<0.5	-	14.29	NP	0.00	99.44	85.15
04/15/96	96	4.5	<0.3	<0.3	0.53		14.32	NP	0.00	99.44	85.12
07/15/96	140	2.4	0.44	<0.3	0.70	110		-	-	-	-
10/09/96	-	-	-	-	-	-	12.09	NP	0.00	99.44	87.35
01/13/97	210	<0.3	1.2	<0.3	0.68	270	9.85	NP	0.00	99.44	89.59
04/14/97	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	<20		-	-	-	-
07/07/97	<50	< 0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	<20	14.20	NP	0.00	99.44	85.24
10/16/97	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-	13.10	NP	0.00	99.44	86.34
01/07/98	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	0.10	9.80	NP	0.00	99.44	89.64
07/14/98	330	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	380	12.30	NP	0.00	99.44	87.14
10/15/98	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	<5	14.30	NP	0.00	99.44	85.14
01/20/99	<50	0.47	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	<5	13.60	NP	0.00	100.44	86.84
04/16/99	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	<5	13.50	NP	0.00	100.44	86.94

DATE SAMPLED	ANALYTICAL PARAMETERS						DEPTH TO	DEPTH TO	PRODUCT	CASING	GROUNDWATER
	TPH	BENZENE	TOLUENE Et	EthylBenzene	XYLENE	MTBE	GROUNDWATER	PRODUCT	THICKNESS	ELEVATION	ELEVATION
	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)
07/14/99	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	*5.4 / <5	14.65	NP	0.00	100.44	85.79
10/07/99	<50	<0.3	0.96	0.35	1.8	<5	15.39	NP	0.00	100.44	85.05
01/26/00	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	0.63	<5	13.85	NP	0.00	100.44	86.59
04/19/00	83.1	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25	<0.5	*11 / <5	9.65	NP	0.00	100.44	90.79
05/26/00	<50	<0.3	<0.3	< 0.3	<0.6	<5	13.10	NP	0.00	100.44	87.34
07/26/00	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.6	<5	12.35	NP	0.00	100.44	88.09
10/25/00	<50	<0.18	<0.14	<0.18	<0.26	*7/10	12.30	NP	0.00	100.44	88.14
01/10/01	<50	<0.18	<0.14	<0.18	<0.26	78	13.45	NP	0.00	100.44	86.99
04/23/01	<50	<0.18	<0.14	<0.18	<0.26	*9/4	9.65	NP	0.00	100.44	90.79
07/16/01	<50	<0.18	<0.14	<0.18	<0.26	<0.24	13.09	NP	0.00	100.44	87.35
10/17/01	<50	<0.18	<0.14	<0.18	<0.26	<0.24	15.37	NP	0.00	100.44	85.07
01/23/02	<50	<0.18	<0.14	<0.18	<0.26	<0.24	13.27	NP	0.00	100.44	87.17
04/10/02	<50	<0.18	<0.14	<0.18	<0.26	<0.24	13.07	NP	0.00	100.44	87.37
07/24/02	<50	<0.18	<0.14	<0.18	<0.26	<0.24	13.86	NP	0.00	100.44	86.58
10/30/02	<50	1.6	<0.14	<0.18	<0.26	6.4	14.20	NP	0.00	100.44	86.24
01/15/03	<50	<0.14	< 0.07	< 0.08	0.84	<2.0	15.35	NP	0.00	100.44	85.09
04/16/03	<15	< 0.04	< 0.02	< 0.02	<0.06	<0.03	14.58	NP	0.00	100.44	85.86
07/14/03	<15	<0.22	<0.32	<0.31	<0.4	<0.18	15.35	NP	0.00	100.44	85.09
10/08/03	<15	< 0.22	< 0.32	<0.31	<0.4	<0.18	13.80	NP	0.00	100.44	86.64
01/15/04	<15	< 0.04	< 0.02	<0.02	<0.06	<0.03	13.51	NP	0.00	100.44	86.93
04/14/04	<15	< 0.22	< 0.32	<0.31	<0.4	<0.18	11.62	NP	0.00	100.44	88.82
07/29/04	<15	< 0.22	< 0.32	<0.31	<0.4	<0.18	13.12	NP	0.00	100.44	87.32
10/14/04	346	<0.22	< 0.32	<0.31	<0.4	159	13.53	NP	0.00	100.44	86.91
01/06/05	<15	<0.22	< 0.32	<0.31	<0.4	<0.18	13.02	NP	0.00	100.44	87.42
04/13/05	<15	<0.22	< 0.32	<0.31	<0.4	<0.18	9.32	NP	0.00	100.44	91.12
07/27/05	<2.9	< 0.32	<0.10	<0.24	<0.30	<0.63	13.17	NP	0.00	100.44	87.27
10/12/05	<2.9	< 0.32	<0.10	<0.24	<0.30	<0.63	14.55	NP	0.00	100.44	85.89
		0.0									

NOTE:

NP = No free hydrocarbon product " - " = Not analyzed / Not available Benzene, toluene, ethlybenzene, and xylene analyzed by EPA method 8020/8021B.

Total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) analyzed by EPA method 8015 modified for gasoline

\* MTBE 8020 / 8260

BPOs =Regional Board Basin Plan Groundwater Objectives

Methyl-tert Butyl Ether (MTBE) analyzed by EPA method 8020/8021B

On 10/8/03 & 7/14/2003, BTEX and MTBE analyzed by 8260B

Beginning 4/14/2004, BTEX and MTBE analyzed by 8260B

## TABLE 2BOXYGENATES DATA IN GROUNDWATERTHRIFTY OIL STATION # 063, OAKLAND, CA.

	OXYGENATES						
DATE	Di-isopropyl Ether (DIPE)	Ethyl-Tert-Butyl Ether (ETBE)	Tert-Amyl Methyl Ether (TAME)	Tert-Butyl Alcohol (TBA)			
SAMPLED	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)			
IONITORING WELL		T					
10/16/97	<20	<20	<20	3,900			
01/07/98	<20	<20	92	<500			
04/03/98	<20	<20	65	<500			
07/14/03	<0.29	<0.17	<0.28	<10			
10/08/03	<0.29	<0.17		487			
		DISCONTIN	UED ANALYSIS				
ONITORING WELL	# MW-2						
10/16/97	<20	<20	<20	<500			
	# MW-3 (GROUNDWATI	ER SYSTEM'S PUMPING W					
10/16/97		-	-	-			
01/07/98							
04/03/98	-		-	-			
07/14/03	<0.29	<0.17 <0.17	24	608			
10/08/03	<0.29	<10					
		Discontin	IUED ANALYSIS				
ONITORING WELL	# MW-4						
10/16/97	<20	<20	<20	14,000			
01/07/98	<20	<20	230	<500			
04/03/98	<200	<200	<200	<5,000			
07/14/03	<0.29	<0.17	62	2,490			
10/08/03	<2.9	<1.7	101	<100			
	DISCONTINUED ANALYSIS						
A strain a strain strains			•				
ONITORING WELL		<20	<20	4 700			
10/16/97	<20			4,700			
01/07/98	<20	<20	<20	<500			
04/03/98	<20	<20	<20	<500			
07/14/03	<0.29 <0.29	<0.17 <0.17	<0.28	<10			
	<0.29		UED ANALYSIS	<10			
10/08/03		DISCONTIN					
10/08/03		DISCONTIN					
	# <i>MW</i> =6	DISCONTIN					
	# <i>MW</i> +6. <20			<500			
ONITORING WELL	T						
ONITORING WELL 10/16/97	<20	<20	<20	<500			
ONITORING WELL 10/16/97 01/07/98	<20 <20	<20 <20	<20	<500			
ONITORING WELL 10/16/97 01/07/98 04/03/98	<20 <20	<20 <20 -	<20 40 -	<500 <500			

NOTE:

DIPE, ETBE, TAME, TBA analyzed by EPA Method 8260/8260B

### TABLE 3WELL COMPLETION DETAILS

Thrifty Oil Station #063 - Oakland, CA GHC - 1332


Well ID	Date Constructed	Total Depth	Casing Diameter	Screen Interval	TOC Elevation *
MW-1	06/21/86	30 ft	2 - inch	15-30 ft	99.34
MW-2	06/21/86	30 ft	2 - inch	15-30 ft	abandoned
MW-3	06/21/86	30 ft	2 - inch	15-30 ft	99.76
MW-4	11/13/86	29 ft	4 - inch	9-29 ft	99.48
MW-5	11/13/86	27 ft	4 - inch	7-27 ft	100.98
MW-6	11/13/86	27 ft	4 - inch	7-27 ft	99.44

**NOTES:** \* Feet above mean sea level

- = Not surveyed


# FIGURES


### Figure 1-Site Vicinity with Production Well Locations





Copyright © 1988-2001 Microsoft Corp. and/or its suppliers. All rights reserved. http://www.microsoft.com/streets © Copyright 2000 by Geographic Data Technology, Inc. All rights reserved. © 2000 Navigation Technologies. All rights reserved. This data includes information taken with permission from Canadian authorities © Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada. © Copyright 2000 by Compusearch Micromarketing Data and Systems Ltd.


### GeoHydrologic Consultants, Inc.







































































#### FIGURE 7A: Benzene / MTBE Concentrations and Groundwater Elevations vs. Time TOC Station 063 (MW-1)




## FIGURE 7B: Benzene / MTBE Concentrations and Groundwater Elevations vs. Time TOC Station 063 (MW-2)





### FIGURE 7C: Benzene / MTBE Concentrations and Groundwater Elevations vs. Time TOC Station 063 (MW-3)






### FIGURE 7E: Benzene / MTBE Concentrations and Groundwater Elevations vs. Time TOC Station 063 (MW-5)













# APPENDIX A


**BIOSCREEN Plume Travel Time Output** 

















#### DISSOLVED HYDROCARBON CONCENTRATION ALONG PLUME CENTERLINE (mg/L at Z=0)


:







#### DISSOLVED HYDROCARBON CONCENTRATION ALONG PLUME CENTERLINE (mg/L at Z=0)











#### DISSOLVED HYDROCARBON CONCENTRATION ALONG PLUME CENTERLINE (mg/L at Z=0)

















#### DISSOLVED HYDROCARBON CONCENTRATION ALONG PLUME CENTERLINE (mg/L at Z=0)


.






DISSOLVED HYDROCARBON CONCENTRATION ALONG PEUME CENTERLINE (mg/L at Z=0)







### APPENDIX B

Groundwater Remediation System Data

Received and the		an an an an Angar	a an an tha an an tha			EFFLUE						INFLUEN	lT (ug/L)		
Date	Totalizer (gallons)	Total/Cum. Discharge (gallons)	Flow (gal/day)	тен-ө	B	<b>H</b>	E	<b>x</b>	мтве	TPH-9	<b>B</b>	Ţ	E	X	MTBE
4/8/1991	1,669	0		-	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.9	-	-	1300	120	<7.5	1300	-
4/15/1991	5,742	4,073	·582	_	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	-	-	700	140	<15	500	
4/22/1991	10,240	8,571	643	-	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.9	-	-	850	100	34	860	II
4/29/1991	15,510	13,841	753	-	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.9	-	-	220	8.4	<0.3	42	
5/6/1991	20,200	18,531	670	-	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.9	-	-	280	0.8	<0.3	56	
5/13/1991	24,430	22,761	604	-	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.9	-	-	190	5.6	<0.3	37	
5/20/1991	28,480	26,811	579	-	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.9	-	-	150	0.83	1.4	29	
5/28/1991	29,310	27,641	104	-	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.9	-	-	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0,9	-
6/3/1991	33.080	31,411	628	-	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.9	-	-	58	4	<0.3	33	
6/10/1991	36,939	35,270	551	-	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.9	-	-	45	<0.3	<0.3	16	
6/17/1991	40,673	39,004	533	-	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.9	-		69	4.9	0.9	21	
6/24/1991	44,453	42,784	540		<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.9		-	5.4	2	<0.3	6.6	
7/1/1991	48,173	46,504	531	-	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<1	-	· ·	14	15	<1	9.1	· · · · ·
7/8/1991	51,681	50,012	501	-	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<1		-	<0.5	<0.5	<1	6.9	
7/15/1991	55,186	53,517	501	-	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<1	<u> </u>		<0.5	0.6	<1	6.3	l
7/22/1991	62,150	60,481	995	-	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<1			<0.5	<0.5	<1	2.6	
7/29/1991	62,150	60,481	·		<0.5	<0.5	<1	<1		<u> </u>	<0.5	<0.5	1.2	19 <1	
8/5/1991	63,241	61,572		-	<0,5	<0.5	<1	<1	-		<0.5	<0.5	<1	12	
8/12/1991	66,091	64,422		-	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<1			2.6 20	<0.5	2.8	70	
8/19/1991	67,649	65,980		<u> </u>	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<1			<0.5	3.3	1.2	19	
8/26/1991	70,514	68,845			<0.5	<0.5	<1	<1		<u> </u>	270	10	1.2	69	
9/9/1991	70,564	68,895			<0.5	<0.5	<1	<1			270	10	13		<u> </u>
9/16/1991	73,526			System shut do		ed compressor p				-	<0.5	<0.5	<1	3.8	
10/7/1991	73,526			· ·	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<1	· ·		60	1.1	<1	23	
10/14/1991	74,516			· · ·	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<1		<u> </u>	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<1	
10/21/1991	76,091				<0.5	<0.5	<1	<u>ব</u> ব			<0.5	<0.5	<1	14	
10/28/1991	83,242			· _ · _ ·	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<1		<u>                                      </u>	<0.5	<0.5	<1	3.1	· · · · · ·
11/3/1991	83,242				<0.5	<0.5	<1	<1			99	1.9	<1	14	+I
11/11/1991	84,351	82,68			<0.5	<0.5	<1	<1			42	1.5	1	10	· · · ·
11/18/1991	85,647				<0.5	<0.5	<1	<1			<0.5	<0.5	<1	3.9	<u> </u> ]
11/25/1991	89,512	2 87,84			<0.5	<0.5	<1	<1			<0.5	<0.5	<1	3.8	-
12/3/1991	93,40				1	<0.5	<1	<1	+		<0.5	<0.5	<1	3.2	<u> </u>
12/9/1991	96,210				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5		<u> </u>	1.3	<0.5	<0.5	1.5	
12/16/1991	99,04				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5			1.7	<0.5	<0.5	2.4	
12/23/1991	102,334				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5			22.6	1.2	0.7	4.9	-
12/30/1991	105,124				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	•		130	11	<0.5	50	-
1/15/1992	115,69				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5		1	20	0.51	<0.5	3.6	-
2/10/1992	124,84				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5		12,000	2,100	400	170	2,100	-
3/9/1992	149,96				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5		2,100	280	3.9	<2.5	98	-
4/13/1992	168,56				<0.5	0.7	<0.5	<0.5	<u></u>	<200	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-
5/11/1992	187,17		·		<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5		· · ·	44	3.7	0.7	64	-
6/8/1992	190,49									i -			1	1	-
7/6/1992	197,08				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5		t	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-
7/13/1992	197,89	0 196,22	1 116	·		1	J	L			1				

	an Na La Martina	Total/Cum.	And there is	ing in state		EFFLUE	NT (ug/L)					INFLUE	NT (ug/L)		6. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.
Date	Totalizer (galions)	Discharge (galions)	Flow (gal/day)	TPH-g	<b>B</b>	т	E	X	мтве	TPH-g	3. <b>B</b>	.т	E	*	MTBE
7/13/1992	197,890	196,221		Sytem shut dow	n for repair of elec	ctrical motor									
8/10/1992	197,890	196,221	-	Restart the syste	em										
8/17/1992	201,300	199,631	487	-	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-	-	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-
9/14/1992	209,647	207,978	298	-	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1	-	-	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1	-
10/5/1992	217,360	215,691	367	<200	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1	-	<200	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1	-
11/09/92	225,780	224,111	241	-	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1	-	-	1.1	0.5	<0.5	10	-
12/14/92	243,048	241,379	493	-	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1	-	-	720	46	<10	1,700	-
01/04/93	252,510	250,841	451	-	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1	-	-	400	32	<25	520	-
02/15/93	266,210	264,541	326	<200	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1	-	9,000	1,400	330	260	1,200	-
03/08/93	269,330	267,661	149	-	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1	-	-	1,100	150	7.5	1,000	-
04/26/93	271,290	269,621	40	<100	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1	-	7,200	1,100	100	25	780	-
04/26/93	271,290	269,621	-	System shut dow	wn fo repair				[						
07/15/93	272,577	270,908	16	Restart the syste	em										
08/11/93	284,230	282,561	432	-	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1	-	-	1.3	<0.5	<0.5	1.6	-
09/16/93	298,832	297,163	406	<60	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.6	-	<60	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.6	-
10/08/93	305,641	303,972	310	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		•		-	-
10/11/93	307,068	305,399	476	<60	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.6	-	<60	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.6	-
10/15/93	308,495	306,826	357	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11/12/93	318,203	316,534	347	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-
12/10/93	329,947	328,278	419	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-
01/13/94	345,860	344,191	468	-	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-	-	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-
02/10/94	359,662	357,993	493	-	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-	-	430	41	36	480	-
02/18/94	618,520	357,993	•	Changed air filte	ers. The water flo	wmeter jumped fi	rom 359,662 to 6	18,620.							
03/10/94	627.540	366,913	446	-	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-	-	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	7.7	-
04/14/94	645,330	384,703	508	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-	170	1.5	<0.3	0.38	0.73	-
05/19/94	653,520	392,893	234	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	· -	1,500	46	4.1	0.5	84	-
06/16/94	664,015	403,388	375	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-	12,000	860	37	<13	1,600	-
07/14/94	672,750	412,123	312	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-
08/11/94	681,920	421,293	328	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	- ·
09/15/94	692,083	431,456	290	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-
10/17/94	699,979	439,352	247	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	<0.5	-	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	<0.5	•
11/14/94	712,539	451,912	449	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	<0.5	-	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	<0.5	-
12/19/94	734,620	473,993	631	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	<0.5	-	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	<0.5	-
01/10/95	742,072	481,445	339	-	-	<u> </u>		-	-	-			1		
01/16/95	742,074	481,447	0	Sytem shut dow	n for repair of cor	npressor pump							L	L	
02/06/95	742,074	481,447	-	Restart the syst	em				L						
02/13/95	744,063	di san si sa minangan	284	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	<0.5	•	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	<0.5	-
03/13/95	758,930	498,303	531	<100	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1		1,300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1	-
04/17/95	768,276	507,649	267	<100	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1	-	6,200	410	73	97	280	-
05/15/95	780,716	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	444	<100	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1	-	1,300	0.6	<0.5	<0.5	<1	-
06/12/95	784.514		136	<100	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1	-	<100	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1	-
07/18/95	794,158		268	<100	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1	-	1,100	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1	-
08/14/95	795,216		39	<100	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1		170	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1	-
09/06/95	797,631	537,004	105	<100	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1		1,320	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<b>!</b>

		and the second second	t ing asiat ta start	en spécialité	ter en en en en en en en	EFFLUE	NT (ug/L)					INFLUE	NT (ug/L)		
Date	Totalizer (gallons)	Total/Cum Discharge (gallons)	Flow (gal/day)	TPH-g	8	T.	4	x	MTBE	TPH-9	B	Ť	19 <b>E</b>	*	MTBE
40/17/05	800,316	539,689	65	<100	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1	-	2,400	26	2.7	3.9	46	-
10/17/95	806,264	545,637	175	150	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-	450	0.31	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	
12/11/95	809,236	548,609	142	300	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	0.59	-	470	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	
01/15/96	822,734	562.107	386	510	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-	900	0.39	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-
01/15/96	848,213	587,586	728	800	<0.3	0.57	<0.3	0.83	-	1700	23	3.7	<0.3	80	
03/19/96	849,587	588,960	47	930	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-	1,600	5.5	1.4	<0.3	94	<u> </u>
D4/15/96	852,042	591,415	91	990	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	<u> </u>	1,100	0.43	<0,3	<0.3	<0.5	
05/13/96	890,214	629,587	1,363	840	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-	910	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-
	890,214	629,587		System shut do	wn for carbon cha	nge	·								
05/13/96 06/14/96	890,214	629,587	-	Restart the syst									L		
	890,818	630,191	151	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-	1,000	92	8.7	3.4	55	-
06/18/96	892,781	632,154	151			-	-	-	-	-					
07/01/96	894,210	633,583	204	System shut do	wn due to burglary	and damaged a	ir compressor	1						<u> </u>	
07/08/96	894,210	633,583		Restart the syst			1	-							
08/05/96		635,593	251	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-	3,500	160	110	220	650	-
08/13/96	896,220 899,410	638,783	78	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-	<50	0.49	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-
09/23/96	899,845	639,218	27	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-	730	1.7	0.42	2.1	2.5	
10/09/96	901,348	640,721	46	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-	81	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-
11/11/96				<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	
12/09/96	901.576		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-	13,000	590	250	180	850	-
01/13/97	. <b>.</b>			82	<0.3	0.38	<0.3	<0.5	-	700	0.92	0.75	<0.3	4.1	-
02/10/97	912,610	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-	600	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-
03/10/97					<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-	4,400	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-
04/14/97	932,410		+		<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-	5,600	7.3	0.32	<0.3	17	-
05/12/97					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
06/23/97	943,183	685,194		<b></b>	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-	1,500	3.4	<0.3	<0.3	26	-
07/07/97	945,82						1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
08/04/97	951,020		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		own due to stolen :	air compressor		1			-	-	-	-	-
09/02/97	957,93							-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10/06/97	961,03				<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-	550	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-
10/16/97	961,07		·		+					-	-	-	-	-	-
11/17/97	970,92									-	-	-	-	-	-
12/23/97	986,01							1		-	-	-	-	-	-
01/05/98	991,52				<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-	65,000	690	8,400	3,100	20,000	-
01/07/98	992,36				-0.5					-	-	-	-	-	-
02/02/98	996,87				own due to the US	T replacement a	nd station remod	_l	1	1	1	<u> </u>	1	1	
02/09/98		736,24		System shut do		<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	+	35,000	150	<15	<15	8,900	-
02/17/98		736,24			ons and restarted			+		1	1	1	1		1
04/13/98	53,00									1	1	<u> </u>	-	-	1
4/13 - 6/1/98		736,24			dergoing several	maintenance / pi		-			-			-	<u>† – -</u>
06/01/98	53,78				<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5		3,500	14	0.56	<0.3	26	-
07/14/98	56,90												-	-	-
08/13/98	59,42						+			1		-	-	<u>+</u>	1
09/11/98	62,35	·			<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5		2,200	21	4	<0.3	100	-
10/15/98	62.71	4 745,96	1 11	<50					.l			L	<b>l</b>	40/2/	

- 15 - 7 <sup>-</sup>	a an diadhail	Total/Cum.				EFFLUE	NT (ug/L)			an in the same		INFLUE	NT (ug/L)		
Date	Totalizza (galions)	Discharge (galions)	Flow (gal/day)	TPH-e	A., B., A.	т - с	E	×	MTBE	TPH-p	3 - B	Ţ	E	X	MTBE
11/06/98	62,952	746,199	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11/20/98		746,199		System shut dow	m for flowmeter r	eplacement									-
12/01/98	0.0	746,199		Restart the syste	em with flowmete	er at 000									-
12/31/98	5,340.0	751,539	178	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
01/11/99	15,020.0	761,219	880	System shut dow	/Ո				-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1/11 - 2/1/99	-	761,219	-	System was und	ergoing mainten	ance for the con	pressor								-
01/20/99	-	761,219	-	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-	110	0.43	0.42	<0.3	<0.5	260
02/01/99	15,600 0	761,799	28	Restart system					-						
02/12/99	22,840.0	769,039	65 <b>8</b>	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
02/22/99	22,840.0	769,039	-	System shut dow	vn for carbon can	ister replacemen	t								
03/26/99	22,840.0	769,039	-	Restart the systematic	em										
03/31/99	24,620.0	770,819	356	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
04/16/99	29,605.0	775,804	312	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	<5	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	<5
05/11/99	36,010.0	782,209	256	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
05/25/99	46,000.0	792,199	714	System shut dov	vn due to carbon	canister leaking									
09/02/99	46,000.0	792,199	-	Restart system					-						
09/17/99	46,217.0	792,416	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-		-
10/07/99	43,809.0	793,008	30	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	11	65	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	120
10/21/99	47,278.0	793,477	34	System shut dov	vn for carbon cha	inge	<u></u>	ļ							
11/24/99	47,283.0	793,482		Restart system				·							
12/30/99	49,388 0	795,585	58	-	-	-			-		-	-	-	-	
01/26/00	50,569.0	796,768	44	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	-	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.5	
02/25/00	51,983.0	798,182	47	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	· · ·
03/24/00	54,603.0	800,802	94	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-		-	-
04/19/00	56,754.0	802,953	83	<5	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25	<0.5	-	<50	1.3	<0.25	<0.25	<0.5	<5
04/30/00	58,022.0	804,221	115	-	-	-		-	-	-		-	-		
05/26/00	60,086.0	806,285	79	-	-	-	-	-	-	923	<0.6	2	85	80	*8,350/4,810
06/16/00	61 <b>,</b> 889.0	808,088	86	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.6	<5	3,820	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.6	3,740
07/26/00	65,987.0	812,186	102	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.6	<5	<50	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.6	<5
08/25/00	68,630.0	814,829	88	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
09/29/00	85,661.0	831,860	487	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10/13/00	\$3,212.0	842,411	754	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	·	-	-	-
10/20/00	99,700.0	845,899	498	Shut down syste	m for QWS and	replaced flowmet	ter starting at 000	(old meter estimation)	ated at 99,700). S	ytem restarted or	n 10/25/00 after C	WS			
: 10/25/00	0.0	845,899	-	<50	<0.18	<0.14	<0.18	<0.26	<0.24	17,100	111	121	141	972	998
10/27/00	2,160	848,059	1,080	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11/03/00	7,420	853,319	751	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11/24/00	16,560	862,459	435	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12/22/00	51,530	897,429	1,249	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
01/10/01	54,520	900,419	157	<50	<0.18	<0.14	<0.18	<0.26	<0.24	10,000	384	223	<0.18	1,330	11,600
02/19/01	99,640	945,539	1,128	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
03/19/01	144,170	990,069	1,590	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
04/09/01	167,050	1,012,949	1,090	378	<0.18	<0.14	<0.18	<0.26	475	4,040	191	4	42	38	4,990
04/13/01	169,210	1,015,109	540	Shut down syste	m for replaceme	nt of carbon drun	15								
04/18/01	169,210	1.015.109	· · · ·	Restart system	L	L	<u>.</u>	L	l	l	l		1	l	

	Market of State of State		والافراجي متراه المراجع			EFFLUE	NT (ug/L)					INFLUE	NT (ug/L)		
Date	Totalizer (galions)	Total/Cum. Discharge (gallons)	Flow (gal/day)	TEH-Q	<b>.</b> B	- ite	E	- <b>X</b>	MTBE	TPH:s	8	7. T. S.	<b>.</b>	x	МТВЕ
04/23/01	177,140	1,023,039	1,586	93	<0.18	<0.14	<0.18	<0.26	132	1,400	<0.18	<0.14	<0.18	<0.26	3,240
05/02/01	186,800	1,032,699	1,073	Shut down syste	em for carbon cha	ange									ļ
05/18/01	186,900	1,032,799	6	Restart system											
05/30/01	200,850	1,046,749	1,163	<50	<0.18	<0.14	<0.18	<0.26	<0.24	3,100	15	<0.14	1	2	*8,510 / 5,780
06/25/01	266,720	1,112,619	2,533	-	•	-	-	-		· · ·		•			
07/09/01	278,760	1,124,659	860	<50	<0.18	<0.14	<0.18	<0.26	<0.24	748	15	<0.14	2	2.7	1,440
08/13/01	399,700	1,245,599	3,455	-		<u> </u>	-		· · ·		-	-			·
09/24/01	451,240	1.297.139	1,227	-	·			-	•		-	-	-	<0.26	878
10/01/01	488,310	1,334,209	5,296	<50	<0.18	<0.14	<0.18	<0.26	<0.24	956	1.2	<0.14	<0.18	<0.20	
11/12/01	636,260	1,482,159	3,523	-	-	-				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-				
12/31/01	674,080	1.519.979	772	-				+		-			-	- <0.26	363
01/14/02	688,450	1,534,349	1,026	<50	<0.18	<0.14	<0.18	<0.26	<0.24	232	1	1	<0.18		
02/18/02	738,420	1,584,319	1,428	•		· ·		-		-		-	-		
03/25/02	814,570	1,660,469	2,176	-		·	-	-			-	-	-	<0.26	157
04/08/02	828,510	1,674,409	996	<50	<0.18	<0.14	<0.18	<0.26	<0.24	105	<0.18	<0.14	<0.18	<0.20	157
04/22/02	895,910	1,741,809	4,814		-	-	· · · ·	·	·		-		· · ·		
05/06/02	895,920	1,741,819	1	System off; Res				<b></b>			-			·	
05/13/02	929,130	1,775,029	4,744	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-				-		-	-	inconstar)	
06/03/02	-	1,839,639		-	<0.5	< 0.7	< 0.8	< 3.3			results from EBN		ected by EBMOD	inspecior)	
06/03/02	993,740	1,839,639		<50	<0.18	<0.14	<0.18	<0.26	<0.24	Spiit-sample re:	sults (sample colle	Cied by us)	1	1 .	1 _
06/24/02	1,001,590	1,847,489	374			·			-	4,710	1	1.2	<0.18	2	6,980
07/08/02	-	1,847,489		<50	<0.18	<0.14	<0.18	<0.26	<0.24	4,710		- 1.2			- 0,000
07/12/02	1,051,430			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
07/29/02	1,052,820				wn for carbon ch	ange		<u> </u>				<u> </u>		-	+
08/16/02	1.052,820			Restart			-		<u> </u>	<u> </u>				<u> </u>	
08/30/02	1,069,050		- t		-	<0.7		<3.3		Outlet sampling	results from EBN	// ID (sample coll	Lected by EBMUD	inspector)	_L
09/20/02		1,952,309		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<0.5		<0.06				sults (sample colle		and the second		
09/20/02	1,106,410				<0.1	<0.15	<0.06				-		1 _		1 -
09/30/02	1,110,180					-	<0.18	<0.26	<0.24	128	<0.18	<0,14	<0.18	<0.26	95
10/07/02	1,114,720				<0.18	<0.14	<0.10	<0.20	-0.24	120					
10/28/02	1,127,54					· · · · · ·				<u> </u>				-	-
11/25/02	1,149,73		······································						- <u>-</u>		+	+		· · · · ·	
12/20/02	1,166,84							-	<u>                                      </u>					1 .	
12/30/02	1,173,42							2.4	<2.0	9,860	<1.4	29	14	2.420	205
01/06/03	1,182,61				<0.14	1.2	<0.08	2.4		3,000		<u> </u>	+		
01/13/03	1,189,32							. <u> </u>	+	-		+			
01/15/03	1,189,32			Restart	<u> </u>			+	+	· · · ·	- <u> </u>		<u> </u>		
02/24/03	1,223,45					· · · · ·		+							
03/10/03	1,238,64						-	<u>                                      </u>							
03/17/03	1,257,71							+						-	
03/28/03	1,257,71			Restart					1	·					
03/31/03	1,266,15							<u>-</u>						+	
04/02/03	1,272,10					2.2	<0.02	<0.06	<0.03	14,000	20	20	2.2	14	9,090
04/07/03	1,286,16	2,132,05	9 2,812	2 <15				<u>~</u>		L			<u> </u>		

	to an area to the	2	a laut a mara	ang ing mananga			NT (ug/L)			inglasi ki		INFLUE	NT (ug/L)	مەربىيە مەربىيە قىقىق بار مەربىيە تەربىيە	
Date	Totallize7 (gallons)	Total/Cum. Discharge (gallons)	Flow (gal/day)	17 <b>H-g</b>	8	Ť	A REAL	X	MTBE:	TPH-9	B		E	X	MTBE
04/14/03	1,294,060	2,139,959	1,129	System shut dow	m for QWS										
04/16/03	1,294,080	2,139,979	10	Restart	-			-	-	-	-		-	-	· · ·
04/21/03	1,299,660	2,145,559	1,116	•	-	-	-	-	-					-	
04/28/03	1,302,140	2,148,039	354	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-		·
05/05/03	1,302,710	2,148,609	81	System shut dov	n for carbon cha	ange	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
05/07/03	1,302,710	2,148,609	-	Restart	-	-	-	-	-		-	-		•	
05/12/03	1,303,230	2,149,129	104	-	-	-	-			·	-		-	-	
05/19/03	1,318,460	2,164,359	2,176	-	-	-	-	-	-		-				
05/30/03	1,321,830	2,167,729	306	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-		
06/02/03	1,327,490	2,173,389	1,887	-	-	-			-		-		· ·	·	
06/09/03	1,336,370	2,182,269	1,269	-	-	-	-	<u> </u>	-	· ·					
06/16/03	1,347.480	2,193,379	1,587	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	<u>-</u>			
06/23/03	1,359,690	2,205,589	1,744	-	-	-		-		-	-	·			
07/01/03	1,366,090	* •• • <del>•••••</del> ••	800	-	-		-		-	·			· · ·		-
07/07/03	1,369,730	2,215,629	607	System shut dow	vn for QWS	-								-	-
07/15/03	1,369,730	2,215,629	-	Restart	-	-	-	<u> </u>			-	-	-		3,550
07/21/03	1,382,630	2,228,529	2,150	<15	<0.04	1.0	<0.02	<0.06	<0.03	7,710	<0.04	<0.02	<0.02		3,350
07/28/03	1,389,840	2,235,739	1,030		-					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				<u> </u>	
08/04/03	1,408,710	2,254,609	2,696	-					·			<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
08/15/03	1,411,520	2,257,419	255	System shut do	wn for carbon ch	ange	<u> </u>	-	· · ·	·····					
08/29/03	1,411,550	2,257,459	3	Restart	-			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			·	<u> </u>		+	
09/03/03	1,419,210	2,265,109	1,530	-					-	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
09/12/03	1,423,520	2,269,419	479		-						· · ·				
09/15/03	1,427,810	2,273,709	1,430		·	<u> </u>	<u></u>			· · ·			+		
09/22/03	1,429,700			System shut do	wn for installatio	n of new 24-hour	timer				<u> </u>	+			
09/26/03	1,429,700	2,275,599		Restart											
09/29/03	1,430,560		287		L						<u>                                      </u>	-			-
10/06/03	1,431,140		83	System shut do	1							<u> </u>			
10/08/03	1,431,140		-	Restart	-			-		- Outlat compliant	1	1	lected by EBMUC	inspector)	
10/10/03		2,278,189		-	< 0.50	< 0.70	< 0.80	< 3.30		16,200	<0.04	4.4	4.8	46	8,700
10/10/03	1,432,290	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$		<0.04	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	<0.03	16,200			4.0		
10/17/03	1,433,790				-		< 0.80	< 3.30		Outlight compliant	recults from EBM	UI ID (sample col	lected by EBMUD		
10/22/03	<u> </u>	2,280,489			< 0.50	< 0.70			<0.03		sults (sample coll				
10/22/03	1,434,59				<0.04	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	<0.03	Spin-sample re		<u> </u>			
10/27/03	1,435,61				<u></u>					<u>                                      </u>					
11/03/03	1,438,74	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · ·			- <u> </u>						1	
11/14/03	1,443,62				·				<u>.</u>			·	-		
11/21/03	1,447,51								<u>+</u>					-	
12/05/03	1,452,41										-				
12/09/03	1,458,32	a 🛔 ar see so receive and 🖉 to the	· · · ·					·			+	<u>+</u>	-	-	
12/17/03	1.462.41					<u>+</u>					· · · ·	·†		-	
12/26/03	1,468,63					<u> </u>				·			-	· · ·	
12/31/03	1,469,71		- I · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	<0.03	7,900	658	1,560	62	1,090	2,170
01/06/04	1,472,00	0 2,317.89	9 382	<15	<0.04										

		Total/Cum.	en al an an an an Ara			EFELUE	NT (ug/L)	Ta statistic				INFLUE	NT (ug/L)		
Date	Totalizer (gallons)	Discharge (galloos)	Flow (gal/day)	TPH-9	B	T	E	x	MTBE	TPH-9	B	÷,	Ē	x	MTBE
01/14/04	1,474,650	2,320,549	331	System shut dov	vn for QWS; Res	tarted 1/15/04				-	-	-	-		-
01/28/04	-	2,331,689	-	-	< 0.50	< 0.70	< 0.80	< 3.30	-	Outlet sampling	results from EBM	IUD (sample colle	ected by EBMUD	inspector)	
01/28/04	1,485,790	2,331,689	857	<15	<0.04	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	<0.03	Split-sample res	ults (sample colle	ected by us)			
02/04/04	1.492,340	2,338,239	936	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
02/10/04	1,494,550	2,340,449	368	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-
02/20/04	1,498,790	2,344,689	424	-	-	-	-	-	-	· ·	-	-	-	-	-
02/25/04	1,499,360	2,345.259	114	-	-	-	-	-	-	<u> </u>	-	-	-	-	-
03/03/04	1,514,700	2,360,599	2,191	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
03/09/04	1,517,300	2,363,199	433	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
03/17/04	1,519,100	2,364,999	225	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
03/24/04	1,524,600	2,370,499	786	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
04/01/04	1,529,300	2,375,199	588	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
04/07/04	1,531,200	2,377,099	317	<15	<0.22	<0.32	<0.31	<0.4	<0.18	1,380	113	93	16	76	191
04/14/04	1,533,000	2,378,899	257	System shut dow	wn for QWS on 4	/7; Restarted 4/1	4			-	-	-	-	-	-
04/22/04	1,576,400	2,422,299	5,425	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
04/28/04	1,623,500	2,469,399	7,850	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
05/06/04	1,668,920	2,514,819	5,678	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
05/13/04	1,691,100	2,536,999	3,169	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
05/20/04	1,726,500	2,572,399	5,057	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
05/28/04	1,748,910	2,594,809	2,801	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
06/04/04	1,749,320	2,595,219	59	Found system o	ff; for replaceme	nt of on and off s	witch			· · ·		-	-		
06/11/04	1,749,320	2,595,219	-	Restarted									-	-	-
06/16/04	1,751,910	2,597,809	518	-	-	-		-	-						-
06/22/04	1,753,550	2,599,449	273	-	-	-	-		-		-	-	-		-
07/02/04	1,756,530	2,602,429	298	-	-	-	-		-				-		
07/08/04	1,759,110	2,605,009	430	<15	<0.22	<0.32	<0.31	<0.4	<0.18	652	31	<0.32	<0.31	2.1J	383
07/15/04	1,759,260	2,605,159	21	-	-	-					-		-		-
07/22/04	1,760,630	2,606,529	196	-		<u> </u>			-		-	· ·			· ·
07/28/04	1,762,810	2,608,709	363	Shut down syste	em for carbon ch	ange		<u> </u>		-		-		-	
08/05/04	1,762,810	2,608,709	-	Restarted				ļ	L			-	-	-	-
08/12/04	1,765,370	2,611,269	366	-	-	· · ·			-		-	-	-	<u> </u>	
08/20/04	1,767,950	2,613,849	323	-		•			· · ·						
08/27/04	1,771,100	2,616,999	450	··								-			
09/03/04	1,773,750	2,619,649	379	-		-						-	· · ·		
09/07/04	1,777,590	2,623,489	960									-	-		
09/10/04	1,778,460	2,624,359	290		em due to operat	or vacation				·		•			
09/29/04	1,778,460	2,624,359	-	Restarted	<u> </u>				l		-	-	-		
10/06/04	1,779,260	2,625,159	114	<15	<0.22	<0.32	<0.31	<0.4	<0.18	<15	<0.22	<0.32	<0.31	<0.4	20
10/12/04	1,782,540	2,628,439		Shut down system	em for QWS		<b>_</b>		<u> </u>	·	<b> </b>			<u> </u>	
10/21/04	1,782,680	2,628,579		Restarted				<u> </u>	<b> </b>		. <u> </u>			·	
10/27/04	1,784,630	2,630,529		·		-	-	-		- <u> </u>					
11/03/04	1,784,680	2,630,579				-		-	<u> </u>	- <u> </u> <u>-</u>				<u> </u>	
11/11/04	1,787,490	2,633,389	351		<u></u>					·	-	-	-		
11/19/04	1,789,350	2,635,249	233	<u> </u>	L	. <u> </u>	<u> </u>	-		.L	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	-	_L	L

#### TABLE 2 GROUNDWATER REMEDIATION SYSTEM MONITORING PROGRAM

Thrifty Oil Co. Station No 063, OAKLAND, CA

and a second second	and the second	Total/Cum.	· · · ·	8. 19. 19. <b>20</b> 2		EFFLUE	NT (ug/L)				lagen alle dig et alle alle alle alle alle alle alle a	INFLUENT (ug/L)			
Date	Totalizer (gallons)	Discharge (gallons)	Flow (gal/dey)	Ţ₽H-ş	B	Ţ	E .	. X	MTBE	TPH-s	B	T	E	x	MTBE
12/01/04	1,789,800	2,635,699	38	•	-	-	-	•	•	-	-	-	-	•	•
12/10/04	1,792,780	2,638,679	331	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
12/15/04	1,795,460	2,641,359	536	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12/22/04	1,798,000	2,643,899	363	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12/29/04	1,800,580	2,646,475	369	-		-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
01/05/05	1,803,140	2,649,039	366	<15	<0.22	<0.32	<0.31	<0.4	<0.18	291	9.1	<0.32	1.2 J	<0.4	72
01/13/05	1,803,290	2,649,189		System turned o			n 1/13/05			-	-		-	-	-
01/20/05	1,804,020	2,649,919	104	Shut down syste	m for repair and	upgrade				-	-	-	-	-	-
04/30/05	1,804,020	2,649,919	-	System still off p	ending repairs a	nd upgrade				-	-	-	-	-	-
05/10/05	1,804,020	2,649,919	•	Restarted system	m with MW-3 only	y				-	-	-	-	-	-
05/20/05	1,805,010	2,650,909	99	Added MW-4 to	the system		<u> </u>			-	-	-	-	-	-
05/26/05	1,807,630	2,653,529	437	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
06/03/05	1,812,100	2,657,999	559	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
06/10/05	1,816,540	2,662,439	634	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
06/17/05	1,819,870	2,665,769	476	Compressor ne	eds repair					-	-	-	<u>-</u> '	-	-
06/24/05	1,823,140	2,669,039	467	Replace with ne	w pump MW-3					-	-	•	-	-	-
06/29/05	1,827,540	2,673,439	880	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
07/08/05	1,829,830	2,675,729	254	-	-	· ·	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
07/14/05	1,829,970	1	23	<2.9	<0.17	<0.22	<0.14	<0.38		4,270	130	3.6 J	348	188	2,790
07/22/05	1,832,760	2,678,659	349	-	-		-	-	-	·	-	-	-	-	-
07/26/05	1,833,920		290	· ·	· ·	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	-
08/05/05	1,833,970	2,679,869		Restart sytem a	fter QWS	······				-	-			-	-
08/09/05	1,836,930	2,682,829	740			·	-	-	-	-	-			-	-
08/19/05	1,837,071	2,683,459	63		<0.10	<0.15	<0.06	<0.40	-		-	·	-	-	-
08/25/05	1,837,920	2,653,819	05	Shut down syste	em for carbon chi	ange				-		·	-	-	-
09/01/05	1,837,980	2,683,879	9	Restarted		L	I	ļ			~		-	-	-
09/09/05	1,838,530	2,684,429	69		· .	· · ·		-	-	-	-			-	-
	1			<u> </u>	1		<u> </u>	L	<u> </u>	<u></u>	l	<u> </u>	L	<u> </u>	

M	Ato:
- 44	ole.

WD PERMIT LIMITS: < = less than laboratory detection level indicated

TPH is analyzed by EPA Method 8015 M

5.0

= no sample / not analyzed

BTEX is analyzed by EPA Method 602 or 8020/8021 \*MTBE 8020/8260

5.0

5.0

NE = Permit Limit not established

In February 2000, the total cumulative discharge amount was corrected to reflect all system maintenance and flowmeter changeouts

NE

since the startup of the system. The total number may be different from previous versions of this table.

NE

5.0

### APPENDIX C

Historic Boring and Well Logs



No.

Press .

State of the second


1

Survey.

8

#### GROUNDWATER ECHNOLOGY

Division of Oil Recovery Systems, Inc.



2

l of

Page



語の読ない

A STATE

同時間

は日本代書

No.

19.1 1

Sector Sector

GROUNDWATER TECHNOLOGY

Division of Oil Recovery Systems, Inc.

Drilling Log

Well Construction Depth (Feet) Graphic Log Sample Number Notes Description/Soil Classification (Color, Texture, Structures) Brown silty, gravelly clay, dry, very stiff, no odor -28 Cl End of hole - 30 ft. 30-

Well Number MW 1



#### GROUNDWATER TECHNOLOGY

Division of Oil Recovery Systems, Inc.

Drilling Log Well Number <u>MW 2</u> Sketch Map Project Arco / Telegraph \_\_\_\_ Owner Arco Petroleum Location 6125 Telegraph Ave. Project Number 20-0651-301 Date Drilled 6/21/86\_\_\_\_ Total Depth of Hole 30\_ft. Diameter 7.5 in.\_\_\_\_ Surface Elevation \_\_\_\_\_ Water Level, Initial 15.01 ft24-hrs. Screen: Dia. \_2\_in, \_\_\_\_ Length \_\_\_\_\_5 ft. \_\_\_\_\_ Slot Size \_\_\_020\_in.\_\_ Casing: Dia. 2 in. Length 15 ft. Type PVC Notes Drilling Company Sierra Pacific \_\_ Drilling Method \_\_ h. s. auger \_\_\_\_\_ Driller \_\_\_\_ L. Pera \_\_\_\_\_ Log by \_\_\_ B.\_\_ Channell\_\_\_\_ Well Construction Log Depth (Feet Sample Number Notes Description/Soil Classification Graphic (Color, Texture, Structures) 0 Asphalt Gray sand (fine), moist, slight odor 2 4 Gray sand (fine), moist, slight odor 6 8 A 2Γ 2 Gray sand (fine), moist, loose, slight 21 10odor 12-Brown silty clay, damp, stiff, moderate odor B 4Γ 14-7 Brown silty, gravelly (coarse) clay, **V** - 6/21/86 wet, stiff, moderate odor 8 16-Brown clayey sand, damp, moderate odor 18-5 8 Brown silty, gravelly clay, very stiff 15 20no odor 22 Brown silty, gravelly clay, very stiff 24 no odor



in the second

E. .....

É E ......

in the second second

kas turnad

nin.  GROUNDWATER TECHNOLOGY Division of Oil Recovery Systems, Inc.


Drilling Log

				Well Number <u>MW 2</u> Dhining Log
Depth (Feet) Well Construction	Notes	Sample Number	Graphic Log	Description/Soil Classification (Color, Texture, Structures)
			CL	Brown silty, gravelly clay, very stiff, no odor
				End of hole - 30 ft.
				•
				``````````````````````````````````````
		-		
02100144			_	Page _ 2 _ of _ 2

	9

#### GROUNDWATER TECHNOLOGY

Division of Oil Recovery Systems, Inc.



新田島

Sec. Sec.

and the second

Sec.

### GROUNDWATER TECHNOLOGY Division of Oil Recovery Systems, Inc.

Well Number <u>MW 3</u>

#### Drilling Log

Image: Security of the secure of the security of the security of the security of the security	clay,
$=_{30}$ End of hole - 30 ft.	
	-
	:




Figure 3A - Test Boring Log No. 1 - Monitoring Well No. MW-4

Date:	ration.						
DEPTH	шщ	ш с хо	PENE.		WELL DESIGN		
IN FEET	SAMPLE NUMBER	LOG & SAMPLE	RESIS. / FT.	DESCRIPTION	#3 SAND 4"ID-0.02 SLOT		
20				28 Feet Below Existing Ground Surface			
- 28 - - 29 -		C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C		Light Brown Sandy Sandy Silty Clay			
- 30 -				Saturated - No Odor			
- 31 -				Bottom of Boring at 30 ft.			
- 32				-			
- 33 -				-			
- 34 -				-			
- 35 -				· 35 -			
- 36 -				-			
- 37 -				-			
- 38 -				-			
- 39 -				-			
- 40				40 -			
- 41 -				-			
- 42 -				-			
- 43 -				-			
- 44				-			
- 45 -				45 -			
- 46	:			-			
- 47 -				-			
- 48 -				-			
- 49 -				-			
- 50 -				50 -			
- 51 -				-			
- 53 -							
- 54							
- 55 -				55 -			
				CC			
Figure 3B - Test Boring Log No. 1							

Figure 3B - Test Boring Log No. 1

- Monitoring Well No. MW-4

Date: 11-13-86

Elevation. WELL DESIGN SAMPLE NUMBER LOG & SAMPLE DEPTH PENE. DESCRIPTION IN RESIS. #3 SAND / FT. FEET 4"ID-0.02 SLOT Existing Ground Surface 0 • AC Payement 1 Black Loamy Clay - Dry - No Odor 1 -2 -Black to Dark Brown Silty Clay - Moist -3 No Odor 4 5 -Headspace = 05 5-1 6 12 Brown Silty Clay w/ some Olive Mottling 7 Moist - No Odor 8 -9 -Olive Silty Clay w/ some Brown Mottling - 10 -- Moist - No Odor 28 5-2 - 11 -Headspace = 0- 12 -- 13 -Brown and Grey/Grn Sandy Silty Clay w/ - 14 some Dk. Grey Streaks - Very Moist -- 15 -16 No Odor 15-5-3 - 16 -Ţ Headspace = 0- 17 -Brown Silty Sandy Clay - Moist - No Odor - 18 -- 19 -- 20 -20-21 -- 22 -Light Brown Silty Sandy Clay - Very - 23 -Moist - No Odor - 24 -- 25 -25-- 26 -

Bottom of Hole at 27 ft.

Figure 4 - Test Boring Log No. 2 - Monitoring Well No. MW-5

- 27 -

Date: 11-13-86

Elevation. WELL DESIGN SAMPLE NUMBER LOG & SAMPLE DEPTH PENE . DESCRIPTION RESIS. IN #3 SAND / FT. FEET 4"10-0.02 SLOT Existing Ground Surface - 0 AC Pavement and Base - 1 Brown Silty Clay, Stiff - Moist - No Odor - 2 -- 3 - 4 - 5 шц Brown Silty Clay, Crumbly - Slightly 5-Moist - No Odor 6-1 36 - 6 -Headspace = No Sample Recovery - 7 Olive Green to Blue Green Silty Clay -- 8 Moist - No Odor - 9 ~ - 10. -10 -Headspace = 06-2 4 - 11 -Brown Silty Clay - Moist - No Odor -12 -¥. -13 -- 14 -- 15 -Headspace = 06-3 28 - 16 -Brown Silty Sandy Clay - Saturated --17 -No Odor -18 - 19 -- 20 -20 Brown Silty Clay w/ Little Sand - Very - 21 -Maist - No Odar - 22 -- 23 -Brown Silty Sandy Clay w/ some Gravel -\_ - 24 -Very Moist - No Odor - 25 -25-- 26 -- 27 Bottom of Boring at 27 ft.

Figure 5 - Test Boring Log No. 3

- Monitoring Well No. MW-5

DATE	DATE OBSERVED: 9-11-87 METHOD OF DRILLING: HOLLOW STEM AUGER							
LOG	LOGGED BY: SAW GROUND ELEVATION: 145' LOCATION: SEE PLOT PLAN FIGURE B-1							
<b>DEPTH (FEET)</b>	CLASSIFICATION		UNDISTURBED SAMPLE		MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	рку РСF)	BORING NO. <u>B-1</u>	SOIL TEST GASTECHTOR
	CLASS	BLOV	IGNN IGNN	BULK	CMO	IN PLACE	DESCRIPTION	READING in ppm
-0-							ASPHALT COVER	
							FILL: Brown, fine <u>SAND</u> , damp, No petroleum odor	
5	SP	12					@ 5' color change to green-gray, becomes medium dense	70 ppm
- 10 -		5					0 10' strong petroleum odor noted	500 ppm
- 15 	Ss	29					NATURAL GROUND: BEDROCK: Green, weathered SILTSTONE with Reddish brown siltstone fragments wet, very stiff, strong petroleum odor noted	500 ppm
20-		14					20' strong petroleum odor noted	500 ppm
-		3					TOTAL DEPTH: 21 FEET	
25-							NO GROUNDWATER	
30-								
35-								
40- JOI				92-	018-	00-0		FIGURE: 3-3

DATE	DATE OBSERVED: 9-11-87 METHOD OF DRILLING: HOLLOW STEM AUGER							
LOG	OGGED BY: SAW GROUND ELEVATION: 150' LOCATION: SEE PLOT PLAN FIGURE B-1							
<b>DEPTH (FEET)</b>	CLASSIFICATION		UNDISTURBED SAMPLE		MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	IN PLACE DRY DENSITY (PCF)	BORING NO. B-2_	<b>SOIL TEST</b> GASTECHTOR
	CLAS	BLO	UND S	BULI	¥õ S	N DENS	DESCRIPTION	READING in ppm
- 0 -							ASPHALT COVER	
							FILL: Brown CLAY with silt, damp, stiff, no petroleum odor	
5	CL	13					@ 5' drive sample not recovered	250 ppm
-							NATURAL GROUND: BEDROCK: Green-gray weathered SILTSTONE	
10-							with reddish brown siltstone	
-		28					fragments, damp to moist, very stiff, slight petroleum odor	220 ppm
-	Ss							
15- - -		32					@ 15' slight petroleum odor noted	200 ppm
20-		38					@ 19' Groundwater noted	-
							TOTAL DEPTH: 21 FEET	
	4							
25-							GROUNDWATER @ 19'	
	4							
30-								
	-							
35								
40								FIGURE: 3-4
20	JOB NO.:13-5782-018-00-00 LOG OF BORING FIGURE: 3-4							

DATE	DATE OBSERVED: 9-11-87 METHOD OF DRILLING: HOLLOW STEM AUGER										
LOG	GED	BY:_	SAV	7	.GROU	ND EL	EVATION: 150 LOCATION: SEE PLOT PLA	AN FIGURE B-1			
<b>DEPTH (FEET)</b>	CLASSIFICATION	BLOWS/FOOT	UNDISTURBED SAMPLE	BULK SAMPLE	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	IN PLACE DRY DENSITY (PCF)	BORING NO. <u>B-3</u> DESCRIPTION	<b>SOIL TEST</b> GASTECHTOR READING in ppm			
- 0 -							ASPHALT COVER				
_	CL						FILL: Dark brown to black CLAY with silt, damp, stiff, no petroleum odor				
5		13					NATURAL GROUND:WEATHERED BEDROCK Brown CLAY with silt, damp,stiff slight petroleum odor				
- 10 - -	CL	14					@ 10' becomes moist, slight petroleum odor noted	60 ppm			
 15 - -		10					<pre>@ 15' drive sample not recovered slight petroleum odor noted</pre>	160 ppm			
- 20- -		15					@ 20' drive sample not recovered slight petroleum odor noted	170 ppm			
- 25- - 30- 35-							TOTAL DEPTH: 21 FEET NO GROUNDWATER				
40-	1	⊥ ÷13	-67	82-	018-	00-0	0 LOG OF BORING	FIGURE: 3-5			

a 1 1

					11-8		METHOD OF DRILLING: HOLLOW STE	
LOG							EVATION: 150 LOCATION: SEE PLOT P	
DEPTH (FEET)	CLASSIFICATION	BLOWS/FOOT	UNDISTURBED SAMPLE	BULK SAMPLE	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	IN PLACE DRY DENSITY (PCF)	BORING NO. B-4	<b>SOIL TEST</b> GASTECHTOR READING in ppn
- 0 -			210-Tax 800.47				ASPHALT COVER	
- - - 5	CL	12					FILL: Dark brown-black <u>CLAY</u> with SILT, damp, stiff, construction debris. Noted, no petroleum odor	50 ppm
							NATURAL GROUND:WEATHERED BEDROCK	
		15	24 - 1 (7 4)				Grey mottled Red-Brown, silty CLAY, damp, stiff, no petroleum odor	100 ppm
- 15 -		12						150 ppm
- 20		36					BEDROCK: Reddish brown weathered SILTSTON wet, hard, no petroleum odor	50 ppm
							TOTAL DEPTH: 21 FEET NO GROUNDWATER	
- - 30- -								
35-								
40-		and the last management	andre and	an a				FIGURE: 3-6

-

LO	CATION M	٩P				PACIF	ICI	ENVI	RON	IMENTAL GROUP, INC. BORING NO. TDD-1 PAGE 1 OF 1			
						PROJECT NO. 331-008.1ACLIENT: Thrifty Station No. 063LOGGED BY: D.A.DATE DRILLED: 6-11-97DRILLER: MDELOCATION: 6125 Telegraph RoDRILLING METHOD: HSAHOLE DIAMETER: 8"SAMPLING METHOD: CALMODHOLE DEPTH: 20.5'							
со	WELL MPLETION		MOISTURE CONTENT	PID	PENETRATION (BLOWS/FT)	DEPTH (FEET)	RECOVERY SAMPLE INTERVAL	GRAPHIC	SOIL TYPE	LITHOLOGY / REMARKS			
						_	1000			ASPHALT 3"; FILL MATERIAL 2'			
E B	ackfilled With Grout		Dp	0	36	2 - 4			CL	SILTY CLAY: yellowish brown; no product odor.			
	 		26			6-			CL	GRAVELLY CLAY: olive brown; moderate plasticity; very stiff; no product odor.			
			Mst	27	34	8-			ML GC	CLAYEY SILT: dark greenish gray; moderate plasticity; very stiff; faint product odor. CLAYEY GRAVEL: dark greenish gray; medium			
		-				- 12 -				dense; faint product odor.			
-			Wt- Sat	1,27	1 39	14 - 16				@15': as above; moderate product odor.			
	-  		Sat	10	40	- 18 - 20 -			GP	SANDY GRAVEL: reddish brown; dense; no product odor.			
F	- 					22 -				BOTTOM OF BORING AT 20.5			
E	-					24-							
F						28-							
						30-							
						34	┿╾┽╴						
	- ·					36							
	-					40							
	 , 			and designed and a second second second		42	-	+4 +1					

LOCATION M	AP			,	PACIF	FIC E	ENV	IRON		NG NO. TDD-2 1 OF 1		
					PROJECT NO. 331-008.1ACLIENT: Thrifty Station No. 063LOGGED BY: D.A.DATE DRILLED: 6-11-97DRILLER: MDELOCATION: 6125 Telegraph RoadDRILLING METHOD: HSAHOLE DIAMETER: 8"SAMPLING METHOD: CALMODHOLE DEPTH: 20.5'							
WELL COMPLETION		MOISTURE CONTENT	PID	PENETRATION (BLOWS/FT)	DEPTH (FEET)	RECOVERY SAMPLE INTERVAL	GRAPHIC	SOIL TYPE	LITHOLOGY / REM	ARKS		
Backfilled With Grout 		Dp	0	13	2 2 4 6 8			FL	ASPHALT 3" SAND - FILL MATERIAL: no product	odor.		
		Mst	101	24				SM	SILTY SAND: dark olvie gray; mediu to moderate product odor.	n dense; faint		
		Wt	705	35	16 18			CL	GRAVELLY CLAY: dark greenish gra plasticity; moderate product odor.	iy; moderate		
		Sat	23	38	20 - 22 - 24 - 26 - 28 - 30 - 32 - 34 - 36 - 38 40 42 44			GP	SANDY GRAVEL: yellowish brown; o product odor. BOTTOM OF BORING			

LOCATION MAP		į	PACIFIC E	NVI	RON		NG NO. TDD-3 E 1 OF 1
			PROJECT NO LOGGED BY DRILLER: M DRILLING MI SAMPLING N	ation No. 063 -11-97 Telegraph Road 8" 5'			
WELL COMPLETION	MOISTURE CONTENT PID	PENETRATION (BLOWS/FT)	DEPTH (FEET) recovery sample interval	GRAPHIC	SOIL TYPE	LITHOLOGY / REM	ARKS
Backfilled			2-		FL	ASPHALT 4" SAND - FILL MATERIAL: no produc	t odor.
With Grout	Dp 0	4				@5': as above; no product odor.	
	Mst 93	8 8				@10': as above; faint product odo	r
	Wt- Sat 67	1 27	14		CL	SANDY CLAY: olive; moderate plas faint to moderate product odor.	ticity; very stiff;
	Sat 32	2 16			GP	SILTY GRAVEL: dark reddish browr dense; no product odor. BOTTOM OF BORING	
			24				
			30				
			34				
			38 40 42				
			44	- 			

LOCATION M	AP				PACI	=IC	ENV	IRON	IMENTAL GROUP, INC.	BORING NO. TDD-4 PAGE 1 OF 1		
					PROJECT NO. 331-008.1A LOGGED BY: D.A. DRILLER: MDE DRILLING METHOD: HSA SAMPLING METHOD: CALMOD CLIENT: Thrifty Station No. 0 DATE DRILLED: 6-11-97 LOCATION: 6125 Telegraph HOLE DIAMETER: 8" HOLE DEPTH: 20.5'							
WELL COMPLETION		MOISTURE CONTENT	PID	PENETRATION (BLOWS/FT)	DEPTH (FEET)	RECOVERY SAMPLE INTERVAL	GRAPHIC	SOIL TYPE	LITHOLOGY / I	REMARKS		
Backfilled With Grout		Dp	15	22	2 2 4 6			CL	ASPHALT SILTY CLAY: yellowish brown mottling; very stiff; faint product			
		Mst	127	30	8- 10- 12-			CL	SANDY CLAY: olive brown; mo moderate product odor.	oderate plasticity; very stiff;		
		Wt- Sat	832	38				SC	CLAYEY SAND: olive; medium product odor.	dense; moderate		
		Sat	10	29				SM	SILTY SAND: strong brown; m product odor.			
	-				22 - 24 - 26 -				BOTTOM OF BOF			
	-				28- 30-	++						
					32- 34- 36-	+++						
					38 <sup>-</sup> 40- 42	++ 						
	-				44							

.

LOCATION M	AP			<u>.</u>	PACIF	-IC	ENVI	RON	IMENTAL GROUP, INC. BORING NO. TDD-5 PAGE 1 OF 1
					PROJE LOGGE DRILLE DRILLII SAMPL	ed B Er: 1 Ng N	Y: D. MDE METH	A. OD:	DATE DRILLED: 6-11-97 LOCATION: 6125 Telegraph Road
WELL COMPLETION		MOISTURE CONTENT	PID	PENETRATION (BLOWS/FT)	DEPTH (FEET)	RECOVERY SAMPLE INTERVAL	GRAPHIC	SOIL TYPE	LITHOLOGY / REMARKS
Backfilled With Grout					2-			CL	ASPHALT 3" CLAY: black; moderate to high plasticity; no product odor.
		Mst	0	41	4 6			CL	SILTY CLAY: pale brown with yellowish brown mottling; hard; no product odor.
		Mst	8	36	-			CL	GRAVELLY CLAY: light olive brown; very stiff; no to faint product odor.
					12			GC	CLAYEY GRAVEL: light olive brown; low plasticity; very stiff; no product odor.
		Wt	0	34	18 -			CL	SILTY CLAY: pale olive; hard; no product odor.
				31	20 -		-		BOTTOM OF BORING AT 20'
					24- 26-	╋╋			
					28- 30-				
					32· 34·				
					36	+-+			
					40				
<b> </b>	-				44				

LOCATION MAP				PACI	=IC	ENV	IRON	IMENTAL GROUP, INC. BORING NO. TDD-6 PAGE 1 OF 1		
				PROJECT NO. 331-008.1A LOGGED BY: D.A. DRILLER: MDE DRILLING METHOD: HSA SAMPLING METHOD: CALMOD CLIENT: Thrifty Station No. 063 DATE DRILLED: 6-11-97 LOCATION: 6125 Telegraph Ro HOLE DIAMETER: 8" HOLE DEPTH: 10'						
WELL COMPLETION	MOISTURE CONTENT	DID	PENETRATION (BLOWS/FT)	DEPTH (FEET)	RECOVERY SAMPLE INTERVAL	GRAPHIC	SOIL TYPE	LITHOLOGY / REMARKS		
Backfilled				- 2-			CL	CONCRETE 5" CLAY: black; high plasticity; moderate product odor.		
With Grout 	Dp	721		4 6			CL	GRAVELLY CLAY: light yellowish brown with gray staining; low to moderate plasticity; moderate product odor.		
	Mst	0		8 - 10 -			CL	SILTY CLAY: dark olive with gray mottling; moderate plasticity; no product odor.		
				12 - 14 -		-		BOTTOM OF BORING AT 10'		
				16 - 18 -						
				20 -						
				24 <sup>-</sup> 26-						
				28 30						
				32						
				36	++					
				40						
				،د						

PROJECT NO. 331-008.1A LOGGED BY: D.A. DATE DRILLED: 6-11-97 DRILLER: MDE DRILLING METHOD: HSA HOLE DIAMETER: 8" SAMPLING METHOD: CALMOD HOLE DEPTH: 10'	
Melt Netrinon Melt Noisture Soll Type Soll Type Soll Type	
Backfilled       Image: Section of the se	along

LOCATION M	AP				PACIF	IC	ENV	IRON	MENTAL GROUP, INC. BORING NO. TDD-8 PAGE 1 OF 1			
					PROJECT NO. 331-008.1ACLIENT: Thrifty Station No. 063LOGGED BY: D.A.DATE DRILLED: 6-11-97DRILLER: MDELOCATION: 6125 Telegraph RoadDRILLING METHOD: HSAHOLE DIAMETER: 8"SAMPLING METHOD: CALMODHOLE DEPTH: 20'							
WELL COMPLETION		MOISTURE CONTENT	PID	PENETRATION (BLOWS/FT)	DEPTH (FEET)	RECOVERY SAMPLE INTERVAL	GRAPHIC	SOIL TYPE	LITHOLOGY / REMARKS			
Backfilled With Grout		Mst	0	24	2 — 4 — 6 —			CL CL	CONCRETE 4"; FILL MATERIAL 8" CLAY: black; high plasticity; no product odor. SILTY CLAY: dark yellowish brown with gray mottling; no product odor.			
		Mst	32	29	8			CL	GRAVELLY CLAY: olive; very stiff; faint product odor.			
		Wt	0	41	14 				@15': as above; medium dense; no product odor.			
		Mst	0	30	18 20 22			CL	SILTY CLAY WITH GRAVEL: pale olive with strong brown mottling; low plasticity; very stiff; no product odor. BOTTOM OF BORING AT 20'			
					24- 26- 28-							
					30- 32- 34-							
					36- 38 <sup>-</sup> 40							
					42 .;4							

LOCATION MAP				PACIE	FIC	ENV		NMENTAL GROUP, INC. BORING NO. TDD-9 PAGE 1 OF 1					
				LOGGE DRILLE DRILLI	PROJECT NO. 331-008.1ACLIENT: Thrifty Station No. 063LOGGED BY: D.A.DATE DRILLED: 6-12-97DRILLER: MDELOCATION: 6125 Telegraph RoaDRILLING METHOD: HSAHOLE DIAMETER: 8"SAMPLING METHOD: CALMODHOLE DEPTH: 20.5'								
WELL COMPLETION	MOISTURE CONTENT	PID	PENETRATION (BLOWS/FT)	DEPTH (FEET)	RECOVERY SAMPLE INTERVAL	GRAPHIC	SOIL TYPE	LITHOLOGY / REMARKS					
Backfilled With Grout	Mst	132	24	2   2   4   6			CL	CONCRETE 5" CLAY: black; moderate to high plasticity; faint product odor. SILTY CLAY: olive gray with light bluish gray staining; very stiff; faint to moderate product odor.					
	Mst	237	7 32	8			GC	CLAYEY GRAVEL: dark olive gray; medium dense; moderate product odor.					
-   ▼ ▼	Wt	0	35	14 — 16 —			sc	CLAYEY SAND: yellowish brown; medium dense; no product odor.					
	- Sat	t O	22	18			GP CL	SANDY GRAVEL: strong brown; medium dense; no product odor. SILTY CLAY: pale olive; very stiff; no product odor.					
				24				BOTTOM OF BORING AT 20.5'					
				32									

### APPENDIX D

Soil and Groundwater ESLs

## TABLE A. ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING LEVELS (ESLs)Shallow Soils (<3m bgs)</td>Groundwater IS Current or Potential Source of Drinking Water

	<sup>1</sup> Shail	ow Soil	
CHEMICAL PARAMETER	<sup>2</sup> Residential Land Use (mg/kg)	Commercial/ Industrial Land Use Only (mg/kg)	<sup>3</sup> Groundwater (ug/L)
ACENAPHTHENE	1.6E+01	1.6E+01	2.0E+01
ACENAPHTHYLENE	1.3E+01	1.3E+01	3.0E+01
ACETONE	5.0E-01	5.0E-01	1.5E+03
ALDRIN	3.2E-02	1.3E-01	2.0E-03
ANTHRACENE	2.8E+00	2.8E+00	7.3E-01
ANTIMONY	6.1E+00	4.0E+01	6.0E+00
ARSENIC	5.5E+00	5.5E+00	3.6E+01
BARIUM	7.5E+02	1.5E+03	1,0E+03
BENZENE	4.4E-02	4.4E-02	1.0E+00
BENZCIA)ANTHRACENE	3.8E-01	1.3E+00	2.7E-02
BENZO(a)ANTHRACENE BENZO(b)FLUORANTHENE	3.8E-01	1.3E+00	2.9E-02
BENZO(k)FLUORANTHENE	3.8E-01	1.3E+00	2.9E-02
BENZO(g,h,i)PERYLENE	2.7E+01	2.7E+01	1.0E-01
BENZO(a)PYRENE	3.8E-02	1.3E-01	1.4E-02
BERYLLIUM	4.0E+00	8.0E+00	2.7E+00
BIPHENYL, 1,1-	6.5E-01	6.5E-01	5.0E-01
BIS(2-CHLOROETHYL)ETHER	1.8E-04	1.8E-04	1.4E-02
BIS(2-CHLOROISOPROPYL)ETHER	5.4E-03	5.4E-03	5.0E-01
	6.6E+01	6.6E+01	4.0E+00
BIS(2-ETHYLHEXYL)PHTHALATE		2.0E+00	1.6E+00
BORON BROMODICHLOROMETHANE	1.6E+00 1.4E-02	3.9E-02	1.0E+02
BROMOFORM	2.2E+00	2.2E+00	1.0E+02
BROMOFORM	2.2E+00	3.9E-01	9.8E+00
	1.7E+00	7.4E+00	1.1E+00
······································	1.2E-02	3.4E-02	5.0E-01
	4.4E-01	1.7E+00	4.0E-03
	5.3E-02	5.3E-02	5.0E+00
CHLOROANILINE, p- CHLOROBENZENE	1.5E+00	1.5E+00	2.5E+01
	6.3E-01	8.5E-01	1.2E+01
CHLOROETHANE CHLOROFORM	8.8E-01	1.9E+00	7.0E+01
CHLOROMETHANE	7.0E-02	2.0E-01	1.3E+00
CHLOROPHENOL, 2-		1.2E-02	1.8E-01
	1.2E-02 5.8E+01	5.8E+01	5.0E+01
	7.5E+02	7.5E+02	1.8E+02
		1.8E+00	1.1E+01
CHROMIUM VI CHRYSENE	1.8E+00	1.3E+01	2.9E-01
	3.8E+00	·····	
COBALT	1.0E+01	1.0E+01	3.0E+00
	2.3E+02	2.3E+02	3.1E+00
	3.6E-03	3.6E-03	1.0E+00
	1.1E-01	3.8E-01	8.5E-03
	1.9E-02	5.4E-02	1.0E+02
1,2-DIBROMO-3-CHLOROPROPANE	4.5E-03	4.5E-03	2.0E-01
DIBROMOETHANE, 1,2-	3.3E-04	3.3E-04	5.0E-02
DICHLOROBENZENE, 1,2-	1.1E+00	1.1E+00	1.0E+01

### TABLE A. ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING LEVELS (ESLs) Shallow Soils (<3m bgs) Groundwater IS Current or Potential Source of Drinking Water

	<sup>1</sup> Shall	ow Soil	
CHEMICAL PARAMETER	<sup>2</sup> Residential Land Use (mg/kg)	Commercial/ Industrial Land Use Only (mg/kg)	<sup>3</sup> Groundwater (ug/L)
DICHLOROBENZENE, 1,3-	7.4E+00	7.4E+00	6.5E+01
DICHLOROBENZENE, 1,4-	4.6E-02	1.3E-01	5.0E+00
DICHLOROBENZIDINE, 3,3-	7.7E-03	7.7E-03	2.9E-02
DICHLORODIPHENYLDICHLOROETHANE (DDD)	2.3E+00	9.0E+00	1.0E-03
DICHLORODIPHENYLDICHLOROETHYLENE (DDE)	1.6E+00	4.0E+00	1.0E-03
DICHLORODIPHENYLTRICHLOROETHANE (DDT)	1.6E+00	4.0E+00	1.0E-03
DICHLOROETHANE, 1,1-	2.0E-01	2.0E-01	5.0E+00
DICHLOROETHANE, 1,1-	4.5E-03	4.5E-03	5.0E-01
	1.0E+00	1.0E+00	6.0E+00
	1.9E-01	1.9E-01	6,0E+00
DICHLOROETHYLENE, Cis 1,2-	6.7E-01	6.7E-01	1.0E+01
DICHLOROETHYLENE, Trans 1,2-	3.0E-01	3.0E-01	3.0E-01
DICHLOROPHENOL, 2,4-	5.1E-02	1.2E-01	5.0E+00
DICHLOROPROPANE, 1,2-	3.3E-02	5.9E-02	5.0E-01
DICHLOROPROPENE, 1,3-	2.3E-03	2.3E-03	1.9E-03
	3.5E-02	3.5E-02	1.5E+00
	3.5E-02	3.5E-02	1.5E+00
DIMETHYLPHTHALATE		6.7E-01	1.0E+02
DIMETHYLPHENOL, 2,4-	6.7E-01 4.0E-02	4.0E-02	1.4E+01
DINITROPHENOL, 2,4-		4.5E-02 8.5E-04	1.1E-01
DINITROTOLUENE, 2,4-	8.5E-04 1.8E-03	1.8E-03	3.0E+00
	4.6E-06	1.9E-05	5.0E-06
DIOXIN (2,3,7,8-TCDD)		4.6E-03	8.7E-03
ENDOSULFAN	4.6E-03 6.5E-04	4.6E-00	2.3E-03
ENDRIN		4.5E+01	5.0E+04
ETHANOL	4.5E+01 3.3E+00	3.3E+00	3.0E+01
ETHYLBENZENE	4.0E+01	4.0E+01	8.0E+00
FLUORANTHENE	8.9E+00	8.9E+00	3.9E+00
FLUORENE	1.4E-02	1.4E-02	3.8E-03
HEPTACHLOR	1.5E-02	1.5E-02	3.8E-03
	2.7E-01	9.6E-01	1.0E+00
HEXACHLOROBENZENE		1.0E+00	2.1E-01
	1.0E+00	4.9E-02	8.0E-02
HEXACHLOROCYCLOHEXANE (gamma) LINDANE	4.9E-02 2.4E+00	2.4E+00	7.0E-01
		1.3E+00	2.9E-02
INDENO(1,2,3-cd)PYRENE	3.8E-01 1.5E+02	7.5E+02	2.5E+00
LEAD		1.0E+01	1.2E-02
MERCURY	3.7E+00 1.9E+01	1.9E+01	1.9E-02
	7.7E-02	7.7E-02	5.0E+00
	3.9E+00	3.9E+00	4.2E+03
		2.8E+00	1.2E+02
	2.8E+00	1.0E+01	3.0E-03
	1.2E+00	2.5E-01	2.1E+00
METHYLNAPHTHALENE (total 1- & 2-) METHYL TERT BUTYL ETHER	2.5E-01 2.3E-02	2.3E-01	5.0E+00

#### TABLE A. ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING LEVELS (ESLs) Shallow Soils (<3m bgs) Groundwater IS Current or Potential Source of Drinking Water

	<sup>1</sup> Shail	ow Soil	
CHEMICAL PARAMETER	<sup>2</sup> Residential Land Use (mg/kg)	Commercial/ Industrial Land Use Only (mg/kg)	<sup>3</sup> Groundwater (ug/L)
MOLYBDENUM	4.0E+01	4.0E+01	3.5E+01
NAPHTHALENE	4.6E-01	1.5E+00	1.7E+01
NICKEL	1.5E+02	1.5E+02	8.2E+00
PENTACHLOROPHENOL	4.4E+00	5.0E+00	1.0E+00
PERCHLORATE	1.0E-02	1.0E-02	6.0E+00
PHENANTHRENE	1.1E+01	1.1E+01	4.6E+00
PHENOL	7.6E-02	7.6E-02	5.0E+00
POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS (PCBs)	2.2E-01	7.4E-01	1.4E-02
PYRENE	8.5E+01	8.5E+01	2.0E+00
SELENIUM	1.0E+01	1.0E+01	5.0E+00
SILVER	2.0E+01	4.0E+01	1.9E-01
STYRENE	1.5E+00	1.5E+00	1.0E+01
tert-BUTYL ALCOHOL	7.3E-02	7.3E-02	1.2E+01
TETRACHLOROETHANE, 1,1,1,2-	2.4E-02	2.4E-02	1.3E+00
TETRACHLOROETHANE, 1,1,2,2-	9.1E-03	1.8E-02	1.0E+00
TETRACHLOROETHYLENE	8.7E-02	2.4E-01	5.0E+00
THALLIUM	1.0E+00	1.3E+01	2.0E+00
TOLUENE	2.9E+00	2.9E+00	4.0E+01
TOXAPHENE	4.2E-04	4.2E-04	2.0E-04
TPH (gasolines)	1.0E+02	1.0E+02	1.0E+02
TPH (middle distillates)	1.0E+02	1.0E+02	1.0E+02
TPH (residual fuels)	5.0E+02	1.0E+03	1.0E+02
TRICHLOROBENZENE, 1,2,4-	3.8E-01	1.0E+00	2.5E+01
TRICHLOROETHANE, 1,1,1-	7.8E+00	7.8E+00	6.2E+01
TRICHLOROETHANE, 1,1,2-	3.2E-02	7.0E-02	5.0E+00
TRICHLOROETHYLENE	2.6E-01	4.6E-01	5.0E+00
TRICHLOROPHENOL, 2,4,5-	1.8E-01	1.8E-01	1.1E+01
TRICHLOROPHENOL, 2,4,6-	1.7E-01	1.7E-01	5.0E-01
VANADIUM	1.1E+02	2.0E+02	1.5E+01
VINYL CHLORIDE	6.7E-03	1.9E-02	5.0E-01
XYLENES	2.3E+00	2.3E+00	2.0E+01
ZINC	6.0E+02	6.0E+02	8.1E+01

#### TABLE A. ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING LEVELS (ESLs) Shallow Soils (<3m bgs) Groundwater IS Current or Potential Source of Drinking Water

	<sup>1</sup> Shal	ow Soil			
CHEMICAL PARAMETER	<sup>2</sup> Residential Land Use (mg/kg)	Commercial/ Industrial Land Use Only (mg/kg)	<sup>3</sup> Groundwater (ug/L)		
Electrical Conductivity (mS/cm, USEPA Method 120.1 MOD)	2.0	4.0	not applicable		
Sodium Adsorption Ratio	5.0	12	not applicable		

Red: Updated with respect to ESLs presented in July 2003 document.

Notes:

1. Shallow soils defined as soils less than or equal to 3 meters (approximately 10 feet) below ground surface.

2. Category "Residential Land Use" generally considered adequate for other sensitive uses (e.g., day-care centers, hospitals, etc.)

3. Assumes potential discharge of groundwater into a freshwater, marine or estuary surface water system.

Source of soil ESLs: Refer to Appendix 1, Tables A-1 and A-2.

Source of groundwater ESLs: Refer to Appendix 1, Table F-1a.

Soil data should be reported on dry-weight basis (see Appendix 1, Section 6.2).

Soil ESLs intended to address direct-exposure, groundwater protection, ecologic (urban areas) and nuisance concerns under noted land-use scenarios. Soil gas data should be collected for additional evaluation of potential indoor-air impacts at sites with significant areas of VOC-impacted soil. See Section 2.6 and Table E.

Groundwater ESLs intended to be address drinking water, surface water, indoor-air and nuisance concerns. Use in conjunction with soil gas screening levels to more closely evaluate potential impacts to indoor-air if groundwater screening

levels for this concern approached or exceeded (refer to Section 2.6 and Appendix 1, Table F-1a).

Aquatic habitat goals for bioaccumulation concerns not considered in selection of groundwater goals (refer to Section 2.7). Refer to appendices for summary of ESL components.

Soil and water ESLs for ethanol based on gross contamination concerns (see Appendix 1, Chapter 5 and related tables). TPH -Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons. TPH ESLs must be used in conjunction with ESLs for related chemicals (e.g., BTEX, PAHs,

oxidizers, etc.). See Volume 1, Section 2.2 and Appendix 1, Chapter 5.

# TABLE C. ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING LEVELS (ESLs)Deep Soils (>3m bgs)Groundwater IS a Current or Potential Source of Drinking Water

	<sup>1</sup> Dee	p Soil	
	<sup>2</sup> Residential Land Use	Commercial/ Industrial Land Use Only	<sup>3</sup> Groundwater
CHEMICAL PARAMETER	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(ug/L)
ACENAPHTHENE	1.6E+01	1.6E+01	2.0E+01
ACENAPHTHYLENE	1.3E+01	1.3E+01	3.0E+01
ACETONE	5.0E-01	5.0E-01	1.5E+03
ALDRIN	1.5E+00	1.5E+00	2.0E-03
ANTHRACENE	2.8E+00	2.8E+00	7.3E-01
ANTIMONY	2.8E+02	2.8E+02	6.0E+00
ARSENIC	5.5E+00	5.5E+00	3.6E+01
BARIUM	2.5E+03	2.5E+03	1.0E+03
BENZENE	4.4E-02	4.4E-02	1.0E+00
BENZO(a)ANTHRACENE	1.2E+01	1.2E+01	2.7E-02
BENZO(b)FLUORANTHENE	1.5E+01	1.5E+01	2.9E-02
BENZO(k)FLUORANTHENE	2.7E+00	2.7E+00	2.9E-02
BENZO(g,h,i)PERYLENE	2.7E+01	2.7E+01	1.0E-01
BENZO(a)PYRENE	1.5E+00	1.5E+00	1.4E-02
BERYLLIUM	3.6E+01	3.6E+01	2.7E+00
BIPHENYL, 1,1-	6.5E-01	6.5E-01	5.0E-01
BIS(2-CHLOROETHYL)ETHER	1.8E-04	1.8E-04	1.4E-02
BIS(2-CHLOROISOPROPYL)ETHER	5.4E-03	5.4E-03	5.0E-01
BIS(2-ETHYLHEXYL)PHTHALATE	6.6E+01	6.6E+01	4.0E+00
BORON	4.6E+04	4.6E+04	1.6E+00
BROMODICHLOROMETHANE	1.4E-02	3.9E-02	1.0E+02
BROMOFORM	2.2E+00	2.2E+00	1.0E+02
BROMOMETHANE	2.2E-01	3.9E-01	9.8E+00
CADMIUM	3.8E+01	3.8E+01	1.1E+00
CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	1.2E-02	3.4E-02	5.0E-01
CHLORDANE	1.5E+01	1.5E+01	4.0E-03
CHLOROANILINE, p-	5.3E-02	5.3E-02	5.0E+00
CHLOROBENZENE	1.5E+00	1.5E+00	2.5E+01
CHLOROETHANE	6.3E-01	8.5E-01	1.2E+01
CHLOROFORM	2.1E+00	2.1E+00	7.0E+01
CHLOROMETHANE	7.0E-02	2.0E-01	1.3E+00
CHLOROPHENOL, 2-	1.2E-02	1.2E-02	1.8E-01
CHROMIUM (Total)	5.8E+01	5.8E+01	5.0E+01
	2.5E+03	5.0E+03	1.8E+02
	1.8E+00	1.8E+00	1.1E+01
CHRYSENE	1.9E+01	1.9E+01	2.9E-01
COBALT	1.0E+01	1.0E+01	3.0E+00
COPPER	2.5E+03	5.0E+03	3.1E+00
CYANIDE (Free)	3.6E-03	3.6E-03	1.0E+00
DIBENZO(a,h)ANTHTRACENE	4.3E+00	4.3E+00	8.5E-03
DIBROMOCHLOROMETHANE	1.9E-02	5.4E-02	1.0E+02
1,2-DIBROMO-3-CHLOROPROPANE	4.5E-03	4.5E-03	2.0E-01
DIBROMOETHANE, 1,2-	3.3E-04	3.3E-04	5.0E-02
DICHLOROBENZENE, 1,2-	1.1E+00	1.1E+00	1.0E+01

## TABLE C. ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING LEVELS (ESLs)Deep Soils (>3m bgs)Groundwater IS a Current or Potential Source of Drinking Water

	<sup>1</sup> Dee	ep Soil	
CHEMICAL PARAMETER	<sup>2</sup> Residential Land Use (mg/kg)	Commercial/ Industrial Land Use Only (mg/kg)	<sup>3</sup> Groundwater (ug/L)
DICHLOROBENZENE, 1,3-	7.4E+00	7.4E+00	6.5E+01
DICHLOROBENZENE, 1,4-	4.6E-02	1.3E-01	5.0E+00
DICHLOROBENZIDINE, 3,3-	7.7E-03	7.7E-03	2.9E-02
DICHLORODIPHENYLDICHLOROETHANE (DDD)	1.1E+02	1.1E+02	1.0E-03
DICHLORODIPHENYLDICHLOROETHYLENE (DDE)	7.6E+01	7.6E+01	1.0E-03
DICHLORODIPHENYLTRICHLOROETHANE (DDT)	4.3E+00	4.3E+00	1.0E-03
DICHLOROETHANE, 1,1-	2.0E-01	2.0E-01	5.0E+00
DICHLOROETHANE, 1,2-	4.5E-03	4.5E-03	5.0E-01
DICHLOROETHYLENE, 1,1-	1.0E+00	1.0E+00	6.0E+00
DICHLOROETHYLENE, Cis 1,2-	1.9E-01	1.9E-01	6.0E+00
DICHLOROETHYLENE, CIS 1,2- DICHLOROETHYLENE, Trans 1,2-	6.7E-01	6.7E-01	1.0E+01
	3.0E-01	3.0E-01	3.0E-01
DICHLOROPHENOL, 2,4-	5.1E-02	1.2E-01	5.0E+00
		5.9E-02	5.0E-00
DICHLOROPROPENE, 1,3-	3.3E-02 2.3E-03	2.3E-03	1.9E-03
DIELDRIN		3.5E-02	1.5E+00
	3.5E-02	3.5E-02 3.5E-02	1.5E+00
DIMETHYLPHTHALATE	3.5E-02		1.0E+02
DIMETHYLPHENOL, 2,4-	6.7E-01	6.7E-01	and the second
DINITROPHENOL, 2,4-	4.0E-02	4.0E-02	1.4E+01
DINITROTOLUENE, 2,4-	8.5E-04	8.5E-04	1.1E-01
1,4 DIOXANE	1.8E-03	1.8E-03	3.0E+00
DIOXIN (2,3,7,8-TCDD)	2.4E-04	2.4E-04	5.0E-06
ENDOSULFAN	4.6E-03	4.6E-03	8.7E-03
ENDRIN	6.5E-04	6.5E-04	2.3E-03
ETHANOL	4.5E+01	4.5E+01	5.0E+04
ETHYLBENZENE	3.3E+00	3.3E+00	3.0E+01
FLUORANTHENE	6.0E+01	6.0E+01	8.0E+00
FLUORENE	8.9E+00	8.9E+00	3.9E+00
HEPTACHLOR	1.4E-02	1.4E-02	3.8E-03
HEPTACHLOR EPOXIDE	1.5E-02	1.5E-02	3.8E-03
HEXACHLOROBENZENE	1.1E+01	1.1E+01	1.0E+00
HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE	1.0E+00	1.0E+00	2.1E-01
HEXACHLOROCYCLOHEXANE (gamma) LINDANE	4.9E-02	4.9E-02	8.0E-02
HEXACHLOROETHANE	2.4E+00	2.4E+00	7.0E-01
INDENO(1,2,3-cd)PYRENE	7.7E+00	7.7E+00	2.9E-02
LEAD	7.5E+02	7.5E+02	2.5 <b>E+00</b>
MERCURY	9.8E+01	9.8E+01	1.2E-02
METHOXYCHLOR	1.9E+01	1.9E+01	1.9E-02
METHYLENE CHLORIDE	7.7E-02	7.7E-02	5.0 <b>E+00</b>
METHYL ETHYL KETONE	3.9E+00	3.9E+00	4.2E+03
METHYL ISOBUTYL KETONE	2.8E+00	2.8E+00	1.2E+02
METHYL MERCURY	4.1E+01	4.1E+01	3.0E-03
METHYLNAPHTHALENE (total 1- & 2-)	2.5E-01	2.5E-01	2.1E+00
METHYL TERT BUTYL ETHER	2.3E-02	2.3E-02	5.0 <b>E+00</b>

INTERIM FINAL - February 2005 SF Bay RWQCB

## TABLE C. ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING LEVELS (ESLs)Deep Soils (>3m bgs)Groundwater IS a Current or Potential Source of Drinking Water

		<u> </u>	
	<sup>1</sup> Dee	ep Soil	
CHEMICAL PARAMETER	<sup>2</sup> Residential Land Use (mg/kg)	Commercial/ Industriai Land Use Only (mg/kg)	<sup>3</sup> Groundwater (ug/L)
MOLYBDENUM	2.5E+03	3.6E+03	3.5E+01
NAPHTHALENE	4.6E-01	1.5E+00	1.7E+01
NICKEL	1.0E+03	1.0E+03	8.2E+00
PENTACHLOROPHENOL	5.3E+00	5.3E+00	1.0E+00
PERCHLORATE	1.0E-02	1.0E-02	6.0E+00
PHENANTHRENE	1.1E+01	1.1E+01	4.6E+00
PHENOL	7.6E-02	7.6E-02	5.0E+00
POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS (PCBs)	6.3E+00	6.3E+00	1.4E-02
PYRENE	8.5E+01	8.5E+01	2.0E+00
SELENIUM	2.5E+03	3.4E+03	5.0E+00
SILVER	2.5E+03	3.6E+03	1.9E-01
STYRENE	1.5E+00	1.5E+00	1.0E+01
tert-BUTYL ALCOHOL	7.3E-02	7.3E-02	1.2E+01
TETRACHLOROETHANE, 1,1,1,2-	2.4E-02	2.4E-02	1.3E+00
TETRACHLOROETHANE, 1,1,2,2-	9.1E-03	1.8E-02	1.0E+00
TETRACHLOROETHYLENE	8.7E-02	2.4E-01	5.0E+00
THALLIUM	4.7E+01	4.7E+01	2.0E+00
TOLUENE	2.9E+00	2.9E+00	4.0E+01
TOXAPHENE	4.2E-04	4.2E-04	2.0E-04
TPH (gasolines)	⊭ 1.0E+02	1.0E+02	1.0E+02
TPH (middle distillates)	1.0E+02	1.0E+02	1.0E+02
TPH (residual fuels)	1.0E+03	1.0E+03	1.0E+02
TRICHLOROBENZENE, 1,2,4-	3.8E-01	1.0E+00	2.5E+01
TRICHLOROETHANE, 1,1,1-	7.8E+00	7.8E+00	6.2E+01
TRICHLOROETHANE, 1,1,2-	3.2E-02	7.0E-02	5.0E+00
TRICHLOROETHYLENE	2.6E-01	4.6E-01	5.0E+00
TRICHLOROPHENOL, 2,4,5-	1.8E-01	1.8E-01	1.1E+01
TRICHLOROPHENOL, 2,4,6-	1.7E-01	1.7E-01	5.0E-01
VANADIUM	2.5E+03	5.0E+03	1.5E+01

### TABLE C.ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING LEVELS (ESLs)Deep Soils (>3m bgs)Groundwater IS a Current or Potential Source of Drinking Water

	<sup>1</sup> Dee	p Soil	
CHEMICAL PARAMETER	<sup>2</sup> Residential Land Use (mg/kg)	Commercial/ Industrial Land Use Only (mg/kg)	<sup>3</sup> Groundwater (ug/L)
VINYL CHLORIDE	6.7E-03	1.9E-02	5.0E-01
XYLENES	2.3E+00	2.3E+00	2.0E+01
ZINC	2.5E+03	5.0E+03	8.1E+01
Electrical Conductivity (mS/cm, USEPA Method 120.1 MOD)	not applicable	not applicable	not applicable
Sodium Adsorption Ratio	not applcable	not applicable	not applicable

Red: Updated with respect to ESLs presented in July 2003 document.

Notes:

1. Deep soils defined as soils greater than 3 meters (approximately 10 feet) below ground surface.

2. Category "Residential Land Use" generally considered adequate for other sensitive uses (e.g., day-care centers, hospitals, etc.)

3. Assumes potential discharge of groundwater into a freshwater, marine or estuary surface water system.

Source of soil ESLs: Refer to Appendix 1, Tables C-1 and C-2.

Source of groundwater ESLs: Refer to Appendix 1, Table F-1a.

Soil data should be reported on dry-weight basis (see Appendix 1, Section 6.2).

Soil ESLs intended to address human health, groundwater protection and nuisance concerns under a construction/trench worker exposure scenario and noted land-use scenarios. Soil gas data should be collected for additional evaluation of potential indoor-air impacts at sites with significant areas of VOC-impacted soil. See Section 2.6 and Table E. Groundwater ESLs intended to be address drinking water, surface water, indoor-air and nuisance concerns. Use in conjunction

with soil gas screening levels to more closely evaluate potential impacts to indoor-air if groundwater screening levels for this concern approached or exceeded (refer to Section 2.6 and Appendix 1, Table F-1a).

Aquatic habitat goals for bioaccumulation concerns not considered in selection of groundwater goals (refer to Section 2.7). Refer to appendices for summary of ESL components.

Soil and water ESLs for ethanol based on gross contamination concerns (see Appendix 1, Chapter 5 and related tables).

TPH -Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons. TPH ESLs must be used in conjunction with ESLs for related chemicals (e.g., BTEX, PAHs, oxidizers, etc.). See Volume 1, Section 2.2 and Appendix 1, Chapter 5.

### APPENDIX E

Production Well Location Map



#### Figure 2. LOCAL WATER WELL LOCATIONS

### APPENDIX F

Existing and Potential Beneficial Uses of Groundwater in Identified Basins

#### EXISTING AND POTENTIAL BENEFICIAL USES OF GROUNDWATER IN IDENTIFIED BASINS TABLE 2-9

GROUNDWATER BASIN	COUNTY	DWR BASIN NO.	MUN®	PROC®	IND <sup>(3)</sup>	AGR <sup>(4)</sup>	FRESH <sup>(5)</sup>
Alameda Creek (Niles Cone)	Alameda	2 - 9.01	E <sup>(6)</sup>	E	Ε	E	
Castro Valley	Alameda	2 - 8	P <sup>(7)</sup>	Ρ	Ρ	P	
East Bay Plain	Alameda	2 - 9.01	E	Ε	E	E	
Livermore Valley	Alameda	2 - 10	E	E	Ε	E	
Sunol Valley	Alameda	2 - 11	E	E	Ε	E	
Arroyo Del Hambre Valley	Contra Costa	2 - 31	Р	Р	Р	P	
Clayton Valley	Contra Costa	2 - 5	E	P	P	Р	
Pittsburg Plain	Contra Costa	2 - 4	Ρ	Ρ	P	P	
San Ramon Valley	Contra Costa	2 - 7	E	Ρ	Ρ	E	
Ygnacio Valley	Contra Costa	2 - 6	P	P	Ρ	Р	
Novato Valley	Marin	2 - 30	P	P	Р	Р	
Sand Point Area	Marin	2 - 27	E	Р	P	Ρ	
San Rafael	Marin	2 - 29	P	Р	P	Ρ	
Ross Valley	Marin	2 - 28	Ε	Ρ	P	E	
Napa Valley	Napa	2.2 & 2 - 2.01	E	E	E	Ε	
Islais Valley	San Francisco	2 - 33	P	E	Ę	Р	
Merced Valley (North)	San Francisco	2 - 35	Р	P	P	E	
San Francisco Sands	San Francisco	2 - 34	Ε	Р	Ρ	E	
Visitation Valley	San Francisco	2 - 32	P	E	Ε	Ρ	
Half Moon Bay Terrace	San Mateo	2 - 22	E	P	P	E	
Merced Valley (South)	San Mateo	2 - 35A	E	Р	Р	E	
Pescadero Valley	San Mateo	2 - 26	E	P	P	E	
San Gregorio Valley	San Mateo	2 - 24	E	Р	P	E	
San Mateo Plain	San Mateo	2 - 9A	Ε	E	E	Р	
San Pedro Valley	San Mateo	2 - 36	Ρ	P	P	Ρ	
Santa Clara Valley (& Coyote)	Santa Clara	2 - 9B	E	E	E	E	
Suisun/Fairfield Valley	Solano	2 - 3	Ε	E	E	E	
Kenwood Valley	Sonoma	2 - 19	E	Р	P	E	
Petaluma Valley	Sonoma	2 - 1	E	Р	Ρ	E	
Sebastopol-Merced Fm. Highlands	Sonoma	2 - 25	Е	Р	P	E	
Sonoma Valley	Sonoma	2 - 2.022	E	Ρ	Ρ	E	

NOTES:

NOTES:
(1) MUN = Municipal and domestic water supply.
(2) PROC = Industrial process water supply.
(3) IND = Industrial service water supply.
(4) AGR = Agricultural water supply.
(5) FRESH = Freshwater replenishment to surface water. (Designation will be determined at a later date; for the interim, a site-by-site determination will be made).
(6) E = Existing beneficial use; based on available information (see references listed in Table 2-8).
(7) P = Potential beneficial use; based on available information. There is no known use of the basin for this category, however, the basin could be used for this purpose (see references listed in Table 2-8).